ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, Judge

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

Volume 6

vs.

NO. C 20-05640 YGR

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant.

Defendant.

Oakland, California Friday, May 31, 2024

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

BY: GARY A. BORNSTEIN,

YONATAN EVEN,

LAUREN A. MOSKOWITZ,

BENJAMIN WYLLY,

MICHAEL J. ZAKEN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

(Appearances continued next page)

Reported By: Raynee H. Mercado, CSR No. 8258

Proceedings reported by electronic/mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	A P	PE	ARANCES (CONT'D.)
2			
3	For Defendant:		
4			2001 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036
5		BY:	MARK A. PERRY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
6			Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
7			333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071
8		BY:	JASON C. LO,
9			JACQUELINE L. SESIA, ATTORNEYS AT LAW
10			Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
11		BY:	San Francisco, California 94111-3715 ANTHONY D. BEDEL, ATTORNEY AT LAW
12			Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
13			1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306
14		BY:	HARRY PHILLIPS, CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
15			
16			
17			
18			000
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX			
2				
3				
4	FRIDAY, MAY 31, 2024 - VOLUME 6			
5				
6	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.	
7	SIMON, BENJAMIN			
8	(SWORN)	933	6	
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BORNSTEIN	933	6	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LO	963	6	
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BORNSTEIN	1028	6	
12				
13	SHOBIN, ALEC			
14	(SWORN)	1039	6	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MOSKOWITZ	1039	6	
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LO	1060	6	
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MOSKOWITZ	1113	6	
18				
19				
20	000			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR (510) 565-7228

1	Friday, May 31, 2024 9:00 A.M.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	000
4	THE CLERK: Good morning. These proceedings are
5	being court-reported by this Court. Any other recording of
6	this proceeding, either by video, audio, including screenshots
7	or other copying of the hearing is strictly prohibited.
8	Your Honor, now calling the civil matter 20-CV-5640, Epic
9	Games vs. Apple Inc.
10	Parties, please state your appearances for the record,
11	starting with the plaintiffs.
12	MR. BORNSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Bornstein, good morning.
14	MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you. Good morning. Gary
15	Bornstein for Epic. Our numbers are dwindling today. I have
16	with me Ms. Moskowitz, Mr. Even, and Mr. Zaken.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
18	MR. PERRY: Good morning, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Perry, good morning.
20	MR. PERRY: Mark Perry for Apple. With me today are
21	Mr. Doren, Mr. Lo, Mr. Bedel, Ms. Sesia, and Heather Grenier
22	from Apple.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
24	Well, I'm glad to be testing out the my configuration.
25	MR. PERRY: A little more real estate over here this

morning, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, there's a little more to 3 accommodate on that side. But glad to know it actually works before I get them all in here. 4 5 Okay. Anything that we need to take care of before we get 6 started? 7 MR. BORNSTEIN: We do have one matter, Your Honor. 8 And if I may, I'd ask Mr. Even to address it. 9 THE COURT: Sure. Mr. Even, good morning. 10 MR. EVEN: Good morning, Your Honor. So, Your Honor, 11 I wanted to speak briefly about the document production. We 12 were hoping to question Mr. Oliver about it today. We were 13 told before the 17th, I believe, that he'll be out after the 14 17th. We hadn't realized that goes all the way through today, 15 but we were told he's still out of the country. We asked when 16 he's going to be back --17 THE COURT: So and he's not in the country right now? 18 MR. EVEN: That's my understanding, Your Honor. 19 MR. PERRY: That is correct, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: So, and when did we know this? Because I 21 told you before, one, that he had to come back, and two, that 22 this would be the last day. 23 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, we discussed this with Epic 24 before he testified two weeks ago, I believe, that he would be

25

out for two weeks.

```
THE COURT: And you gave them the dates?
 1
 2
               MR. PERRY: I said two weeks, Your Honor. I'm not
 3
      sure I gave precise dates.
 4
               MR. EVEN: I don't recall anybody telling us two
 5
      weeks. We were told he's not going to be available after the
      17th. We hadn't realized it's two weeks.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: When is he back?
 8
               MR. PERRY: He returns, Your Honor, I believe
 9
      June 9th or 10th.
10
               THE COURT: Well, how is -- how is that two weeks?
11
               MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I -- I had understood it was
12
      two weeks when he testified last time. I learned over the
13
      weekend when Mr. Even inquired that he is -- that he is no
14
      longer -- that he is still out of the country.
15
                THE COURT: Is he on Apple business?
16
               MR. PERRY: I don't know what he's doing, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Well, he may have to get back here.
               MR. EVEN: So --
18
19
               THE COURT: I want to know what he's doing. And did
20
      you put it in writing? Is there some kind of clarification
21
      that we can have with respect to time?
22
               MR. PERRY: We did not put it in writing, Your Honor.
23
      I had a discussion with Mr. Bornstein when we were discussing
      the order of witnesses two weeks ago and who was available
24
25
      when, and informed them at that time that Mr. Oliver would be
```

```
gone for two weeks from then.
 1
 2
          And I apologize, I don't have a calendar in front of me.
 3
       It was the day before he testified the last time -- or the
      last time.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: He testified --
 6
          Ms. Richman, good morning.
 7
               MS. RICHMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
 8
                THE COURT: He testified on 5/16 and 5/17 according
 9
      to my notes. Two weeks from that time is May 30th or
      May 31st, and now you're saying it's June 10th?
10
11
               MR. PERRY: Yes, Your Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Well, he may have to fly back, wherever
13
      he is.
              That's on you.
14
               MR. EVEN: So before we question him, Your Honor, we
15
      have some serious concerns about the documents that were
16
      produced.
17
                THE COURT: All right.
18
               MR. EVEN: Which we have raised with Apple. I have a
19
      binder, if I may give Your Honor, of some examples.
20
                THE COURT: Sure.
21
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
22
                           So the first thing, Your Honor, and the
23
      most concerning perhaps is that after all the back and forth
      between Your Honor and -- and Mr. Oliver, Your Honor told
24
25
      Mr. Oliver -- Mr. Oliver to go back, review his notes, and any
```

notes that relate to this issue to produce. We understand that's not been done.

THE COURT: You understand that it's not been done?

MR. EVEN: Correct, Your Honor. Because a lot of these documents -- and Your Honor can begin looking at tab F in the binder. And behind that, Your Honor, you can see that most of this is redacted, and I'll talk to that in a bit, but the last page is an email from Mr. Jeff Guebert where he writes "Meeting notes from today's discussion have been captured in Quip," Q-U-I-P, "and are part of our folder that we will be using for this project." And then there are references to some other documents in Quip.

And behind tab G, there's an email from Tanya Washburn saying, "Hi everyone, Here with a link --"

THE COURT: What is Quip?

MR. EVEN: Quip, we understand is some product management repository. We've asked Apple to produce documents from it. All of these emails say that notes, drafts, all of these things, this entire project was apparently handled on this platform. Apple informed us that they did not, quote, unquote, chase the links and did not produce anything from Quip. We don't have any notes from any meeting in what was produced.

Now we don't understand this to be a chase --

THE COURT: First of all, I -- just to make sure that

1 the record is clear. The code name for this was Wisconsin. I 2 recall the testimony being that there were other code names. 3 What were the other code names? MR. PERRY: Your Honor, the previous code name was 4 5 Michigan. The final version was Wisconsin, is my 6 understanding from the testimony. 7 THE COURT: And so why -- well, your response. 8 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, the Court asked Mr. Oliver to 9 search his emails, iMessages and Slacks for communications regarding the project. Mr. Oliver did that the day after he 10 11 testified -- or the day of his testimony and the day after, and found approximately 800 of those communications, all of 12 which we have produced both to the Court and to Epic. We have 13 made redactions for confidentiality and privilege in keeping 14 15 with the other documents produced during the hearing. 16 We did not as -- "chase" is the word I gather the 17 e-discovery folks used, the links in those communications. We 18 understand the request to be for the communications 19 themselves. The parties in this proceeding have not routinely 20 chased links in e-discovery. We did include attachments, 21

and -- and that is the production that was made is the actual communications. There's a second set of documents. To be clear, the Court

also asked for some case studies and other backup for certain assumptions, and there's approximately 40 documents that refer

22

23

24

```
1
      to that as well. So that was two -- two components of the
 2
      Mr. Oliver production.
 3
               THE COURT: Do you have what my instruction was? I
      don't recall using the word Slack iMessage.
 4
 5
               MR. EVEN: I have what was exchanged. I'm sure we
      have the order somewhere if somebody can get it for me.
 6
 7
          But in the exchange in court, you asked Mr. Oliver where
 8
      he's keeping his stuff. He said it's on his computer in some
 9
      note-keeping thing. And you said today you'll go back, you'll
10
      review your notes. Any notes that -- and any notes that
11
      relate to this issue I want produced. So --
12
               THE COURT: "Any" is pretty broad.
13
               MR. PERRY: Yes, Your Honor is --
14
               THE COURT: So and does he have access to Quip?
15
               MR. PERRY: Well, he has access to Quip. Quip is a
16
      sort of white board that is an ongoing thing. It's not frozen
17
      in time at the time that communication was made. In other
18
      words, there is access to Quip. It is a -- it is a
19
      collaborative --
20
               THE COURT: The whole point, Mr. Perry, was to get
21
      the documents relative to the decision-making with respect to
22
      the issues in front of the Court. That was the point.
23
               MR. PERRY: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: And you didn't do it.
24
25
               MR. PERRY: Well, Your Honor, with respect, the
```

```
1
      Court -- as we understood, the order was to produce the notes,
 2
      emails, Slack, iMessages.
 3
               THE COURT: Where do I say Slack, email, and
 4
      iMessage?
 5
               MR. PERRY: Well, Your Honor, it was in the
      discussion with Mr. Oliver. You asked -- the Court asked what
 6
 7
      basis of communications, and then the -- the direction was --
               THE COURT: Okay --
 8
 9
               MR. PERRY: You're going to --
10
               THE COURT: -- so let me make it clear then if you
      obviously didn't understand.
11
12
          I want all of Apple's documents relative to its
13
      decision-making process with respect to the issues in front of
14
      the Court. All of them. All. If there is a concern, then be
15
      overly broad.
16
               MR. PERRY: Your Honor, may I ask time parameter for
17
      the Court's request.
18
               THE COURT: All.
19
               MR. PERRY: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: So let's say from the day that my
21
      decision came out until the present.
22
               MR. PERRY: Yes, Your Honor. That is not what is in
23
      this production, to be clear. That is not what we attempted
      in this production. This production was Mr. Oliver's
24
25
       documents. I just want to make that --
```

THE COURT: So the proceedings here are whether or not to hold you in contempt, that -- not you obviously personally, but Apple -- for failure to comply with my order.

I have been told in this proceeding that much of the decision-making was not written, there were lots of communications and lots of meetings, which is not entirely beyond the pale given that Apple has been held to account many times, and part of the reason for the accounting is because there are things in emails. So now you all don't write things down anymore.

I need to understand what it was people were thinking and how you got to a 27 percent charge from a 30 percent charge that had been found to be anti-competitive.

So am I now clear?

MR. PERRY: It's very clear, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Even.

MR. EVEN: Yeah, and so -- so one correction on that,
Your Honor, is that to be clear, the emails in tabs F and G
and H with the links to the notes in Quip are emails to
Mr. Oliver. So he has the emails. That's why they were
produced, I assume, with the links and he had the access.

We also have an issue, Your Honor, with the documents that were produced because a lot of them were redacted, some documents were withheld. We never got a priv log. Some of them were redacted on the basis of confidentiality which we're

```
1
      not sure about the reason for.
 2
          But to give Your Honor some examples, one is behind tab D
 3
      where, for instance --
 4
               THE COURT: Well, let's take a look at tab D.
 5
               MR. EVEN: So we have a --
 6
               THE COURT: So I'm going to ask some questions.
 7
          There are three people who are redacted in terms of chat
 8
      participants. What is the basis for the redaction?
 9
               MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I don't have the unredacted
      version of this with me. I apologize.
10
11
                           Well, who is -- who redacted it then?
               THE COURT:
12
               MR. PERRY: May I have a moment, Your Honor?
13
               THE COURT: You may.
14
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
15
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Those redactions --
16
               THE COURT: Who are you?
17
               MR. PERRY: Apologize. This is Dana Craig, Your
18
      Honor, also from Gibson Dunn, representing Apple, who assisted
19
      with the document production.
20
                THE COURT: And are you a lawyer?
21
               MS. CRAIG: Yes, I am, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: All right.
23
               MS. CRAIG: Those redactions are phone numbers, Your
24
      Honor.
25
               THE COURT: All right. On the next page, what is the
```

```
1
      basis for the redaction that says "Confidential"?
 2
               MS. CRAIG: I would have to see the document.
 3
      guess is that -- yeah, I would have to see the document, Your
 4
      Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. Go find it.
 6
               MS. CRAIG: Okay.
               THE COURT: That would be now.
 7
 8
               MS. CRAIG: Okay.
 9
               MR. EVEN: So the point, Your Honor, we wouldn't even
10
      know this document is relevant because the only relevant
11
      content of the document was fully redacted for
12
      confidentiality. All I have left is "Do you have a minute?"
      and "Can I call you?"
13
14
               THE COURT: I agree.
15
               MR. EVEN: Another example is in tab A. This one is
16
       redacted for privilege. This is an email from Tanya Washburn,
17
      who we understand to not be a lawyer, to Matt Fischer.
18
               THE COURT: Tab which?
19
               MR. EVEN: A.
20
               THE COURT: H?
21
               MR. EVEN: A, as in apple. Sorry, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: A, as in apple.
23
               MR. EVEN: Yes.
          So it's an email to more than a dozen people including
24
25
      Mr. Carson, including Mr. Fischer.
```

```
And who's Tanya?
 1
                THE COURT:
 2
                           The subject is Wisconsin prep.
               MR. EVEN:
 3
                THE COURT: And who's Tanya Washburn?
                           I understand she's a nonlawyer, Your
 4
               MR. EVEN:
 5
              And this is about a meeting of this work group, and
      the entire substantive content is redacted.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Where is the lawyer?
 8
                MR. EVEN: So there are lawyers, I understand, and
 9
      Mr. Perry may speak more to that, in the "To" line, but there
10
      are many who are not lawyers. And this is a big group as we
11
      heard last week. All the Wisconsin meetings included lawyers
      and nonlawyers.
12
13
                THE COURT: So this is -- this is from June of 2023.
14
               MR. EVEN: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: All right.
16
               MR. EVEN: Another example is behind tab E,
17
       Your Honor, where there's a PDF of what design we'll present
18
      to Phil, who we understand to be Mr. Schiller, for Wisconsin
19
                 This is written from Terry Liu, who I believe is
20
      not a lawyer although I'm not sure.
21
           I'm guessing this is another version of the deck that
22
      we've all been discussing. But the document itself was
      withheld for privilege. So I have something that says here's
23
      the PDF, but I have no ability to question Mr. Oliver at all.
24
```

So are you working on a privilege log?

THE COURT:

MR. PERRY: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: And when is it going to be done? 3 MR. PERRY: I believe it is done this week or early next week, I believe it is done. We have been working on it 4 5 since Mr. Even raised this issue. 6 We also, just to be clear, offered to meet and confer on 7 any particular documents. This is the first time we're 8 hearing specifics. You know, we could explain these things, 9 in other words, with them in front of us rather than here. 10 That conversation has not been had between the parties. 11 But, yes, we are working on the privilege log and we will 12 produce it. 13 MR. EVEN: To be clear, that's not what was 14 represented to us, Your Honor. What was represented to us is 15 that Your Honor did not ask for a priv log and therefore there 16 won't be one. 17 THE COURT: Well, I'm assuming that you don't want to 18 produce everything, that you actually do want to withhold 19 stuff on grounds of privilege. And if you are, then there 20 needs to be a log. 21 MR. PERRY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: That's standard protocol. I shouldn't 23 have to tell you that. MR. PERRY: We -- we are -- as I just said, we are --24 25 have been working on the log and it is almost completed for

```
1
      this production.
 2
               THE COURT: How long is it going to take you to get
 3
      the actual full production that I just ordered?
 4
               MR. PERRY: Your Honor -- yes. If I could make three
 5
      points about that, please.
 6
          First, we will check. "I don't know" is the straight
 7
      answer to your question. I don't know how long it will take,
      but we will find out.
 8
 9
          Second, you know, Epic didn't seek any of this, to be
      clear, before the hearing began. We made that point in the
10
11
      papers. They did not seek any discovery.
12
          I understand the Court's order, but I wish to be clear
13
      that this is coming from the Court, not from Epic. And we
14
      will find out the answer.
15
          The third is when would the Court like to get back to
16
      us -- us to get back to you with --
17
                THE COURT: You're going to talk about it now. I'm
18
      going to have a decision now. So we'll stand in recess. I'll
19
      give you 45 minutes to figure it out. I'll be back here at
20
      10:00.
21
               MR. PERRY: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
           (Recess taken at 9:20 A.M.; proceedings resumed at
      10:02 A.M.)
23
                THE COURT: All right. We are back on the record.
24
25
       Please be seated.
```

1 The record will reflect that the parties are present. 2 Mr. Even, Mr. Perry, where do we stand? 3 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, Mark Perry for Apple. We have consulted the folks that are here in the courtroom 4 5 regarding the Court's request for all information related to 6 injunction compliance from September 10th, 2021, through 7 January 16th, 2024. And our preliminary assessment -- and we'd need to confirm some of this, Your Honor -- is that it 8 9 would involve potentially hundreds of custodians, particularly 10 if we include all the engineering work, which hasn't really 11 been the subject of the testimony here, but many, many 12 engineers were involved. We estimate, Your Honor, that if we limited the search to 13 the commission issues that have been the focus of many of the 14 15 Court's questions, it would be up to 50 custodians. 16 As with any document production, we'd need to balance, you 17 know, the time to completion with -- with completeness. 18 If we could agree with a custodian list with Epic, I think 19 we all know who the principal players are from what we've done 20 so far, and search terms, as we did at the merits stage. And 21 just reference, Your Honor, at the merits stage, we agreed on 22 24 custodians, and that took about four months on top of the production that had already been done. 23 We think we could do this in approximately three months. 24 We could do some of it on the back end. If we had a 25

custodian list and search terms, we can do automatic searches. Some of it will require front-end searches.

This issue of the links, they take significantly more time. They cannot be automatically searched. Judge Hixson held in the *Pepper* case that they don't -- that Apple doesn't produce all of them but the parties negotiate which ones to chase, and we would propose something like that.

And then given the nature of the project, attorneys were involved, as the Court is aware, throughout. Many of these documents are going to require an individualized privilege review which was not the case in the previous production. So that's -- that's the time estimate.

Again, we -- we estimate we could do it in three months if we had an agreed list of custodians and search terms.

I -- I -- I have to stress, Your Honor, this is preliminary, in other words, we met on this, we know -- we know the documents, but we have not pulled these. They don't -- there's no set that exists right now. And we would like to do a little more spade work frankly and submit something next week with hard numbers if the Court would -- would appreciate that so that we can nail down, you know -- these are estimates, the best estimates we have today, but I don't know -- you know, I'd like to do a little more work to make sure we're right on the number of people involved in particular.

MR. EVEN: So, Your Honor, we don't obviously have visibility into what Apple has and doesn't have. What we do know is that there seems to be, at least as a preliminary issue, this Quip repository that has drafts, meeting notes, and all of those things that I don't know why that should take that long. The emails with the links to that are there, and somebody ought to know what -- where that repository is.

I think it needs to be very clear that Apple cannot redact things for confidentiality. We have a protective order in the case, and confidentiality is addressed through that.

I think we need some custodial information which Apple has so far refused to provide us saying that the Court has not asked for any metadata and therefore they're not obligated to give that. That's another issue that I haven't even gotten to.

But we don't know, for instance, for PowerPoints, drafts, et cetera, who -- who created, who modified, when they were created, when they were modified, because everything was produced as essentially paper documents or PDFs.

I think we can at least start there and then meet and confer if there's more that's needed. But those seem like low-hanging fruit that don't need to take four months and that we can start the process and figure out what's going on.

THE COURT: I'm curious why you didn't ask for discovery prior to a hearing.

MR. EVEN: Your Honor, we had -- at the time we thought we had enough information into what Apple has done based on the declaration and things of that nature. We -- I think a lot of that came -- came out on cross where we thought it was pretty clear that what Apple has done was insufficient based on the results. And we didn't think that much more was needed.

It also wasn't clear to us that Your Honor meant for us to seek discovery on this particular issue, and so that -- that's where we left it.

THE COURT: You've been handed some notes. Do you want to say anything else?

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, as to the confidentiality redactions and metadata, we do have an ESI protocol in place. We could, you know, follow that. Much of those issues have been resolved.

We have not been -- to be clear, the few documents that have produced during the trial, we've been trying to get them out quickly to the Court and to Epic because we're in the ongoing proceeding. If we're going to shift this into discovery for the first time, you know, we do have a set of protocols in place that would address the technical things that Mr. Even mentioned that the parties already agreed on and Judge Hixson has already ruled on.

MR. EVEN: Your Honor, with respect, this is the

second time now that this is happening that we're learning here that Apple is in fact working on a priv log and now they're suggesting that they'll use the ESI order.

We've asked for all that the first time we got the documents immediately. That was last weekend, I believe. We said please provide us -- that was during the Memorial Day weekend -- please provide us the documents. Based on the ESI order that you have agreed to, please provide us with the priv log.

I could have had a lot more information. Apple told us we're just not going to do that because we don't think this is your discovery. We think this is the Court, and the Court didn't mention anything about an ESI order or metadata or priv logs or anything of that nature. And we're entitled to redact things for confidentiality other than Northern District rules. I'm not sure which rule that is. It was never cited to me.

That -- those are the answers we got. So this is not new news for Apple. They've known about it for at least since I think it's Saturday night when I sent that email.

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, may I address that, please?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. PERRY: We -- you just heard Mr. Even say they sought no discovery before filing this motion. They sought no discovery before proceeding to this hearing. They thought they had enough.

The Court has requested documents during the hearing. And Apple has produced them within 24, 36, 72 hours for the Court. And we have produced them in paper copy for the Court. And we have not been following the protocols, as I've told Mr. Even over and over again, because we've been trying to get them done during the hearing. We were trying to finish today, as the Court noted this morning. It takes longer to do things with all the bells and whistles. Right?

What I'm hearing the Court request this morning is a more formalized discovery process. I was simply pointing out that that hasn't been done yet, that hasn't been requested by Epic. And that if that is what we are going to embark on, then there are protocols and procedures in place.

I was suggesting we don't need to reinvent the wheel. We can go back to the sort of 2021 period, 2020 period where we had these protocols in place for this case and Judge Hixson's rulings on various things that lay the groundwork for doing it. That was my point there.

MR. EVEN: And our point was simply, Your Honor, that it doesn't take less time to start stamping things confidential and redact them. It doesn't take less time to scrape things from their metadata and produce them at PDF. These things take more time, not less, and Apple took the more time in order to provide a deficient production.

THE COURT: Obviously we're not finishing today. The

question is how much time do you all need to get the documents that will be sufficient to show, which is always the term I used with discovery, I don't need every scrap of paper. The problem is, is that if you are trying to hide something, I don't obviously want people to be able to use wiggle room to hide things.

So if there is nothing, if there's no documentation, then it's pretty simple. It sounds like that wasn't the case.

Decisions were made, analysis was apparently done. And it's not just -- it's not just the commission that you're seeking.

It is all the other restrictions that have been added, that I never authorized, to the protocols that are concerning. All of it is concerning.

So at this point, it appears as if I need contemporary -contemporaneous documents to indicate and to show me what was
actually going on. There are issues of intent that need to be
addressed. And documents can frequently circumstantially
address that.

So we are not done.

I need you all to meet and confer and figure out the most efficient way to get me what I need for purposes of this hearing. You can meet today. I can meet with you in the afternoon. You can meet over the weekend. But I need some kind of resolution of this issue. And I need to get you back on my calendar.

MR. EVEN: Understood.

MR. PERRY: Understood, Your Honor. May I propose that, with the Court's instruction, if we go back to Apple and the custodians and figure out what the size of the box is, the meet-and-confer would be more useful so that we can discuss custodian names and titles and so forth, you know, which is not information we have in court with us today, but we can have by early next week for a meaningful meet-and-confer with Epic and get back to the Court with a proposal.

MR. EVEN: We can meet and confer on Monday if -- if that's helpful for them. As I said, we -- our impression based on the documents we already have is that there is a central repository that should answer a lot of these questions where people had all these documents and meeting notes that are low-hanging fruit. But --

THE COURT: Well, the question is it may be low-hanging fruit, but are we going to continue with the low-hanging fruit, or are we just going to continue once you have what you need? I don't know the answer to that question.

Obviously, you know, I got two boxes of documents. And I certainly haven't looked at them. I don't know that you have had a chance. You know, you gave some examples, but I don't know that you've been able to digest them.

And, you know, I'm going to leave it to you, as the plaintiff, to make decisions with respect to the record that

```
1
       is in front of me. Because decisions are based on the record.
 2
               MR. EVEN: Understood, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: So in terms of my schedule next week, I
 4
      think it is fine if we do this by Zoom because it's really
 5
       just a scheduling issue. Do you all agree? I don't tend to
 6
      like to do things by Zoom, but scheduling, I think it's an
 7
       appropriate mechanism or platform.
               MR. EVEN: That would be fine for us, Your Honor.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right. Give me one minute.
10
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
11
               THE COURT:
                           Ironically enough, I'm teaching a
12
       judges-only session at the 2024 Antitrust Law and Economics
13
       Institute for federal judges on Tuesday.
14
                THE CLERK: Please be seated. Sorry.
15
                THE COURT: So I can meet with you at the end of the
16
      day after -- after I'm at that conference. So I could meet
17
      with you on Zoom at 3:30 or 4:00 o'clock. 4:00 o'clock would
18
      be easier.
19
          Wednesday I'm in jury selection.
20
           I could probably meet with you on Thursday, but I won't
21
       know that for -- I'm not exactly sure. Thursday or Friday
22
      might be an option, but there are still some outstanding
23
      issues with the jury that I have to deal with.
          So we could do Tuesday at 4:00 o'clock.
24
```

MR. EVEN:

Tuesday at 4:00 o'clock would work for

```
1
      Epic, Your Honor.
 2
               MR. PERRY: We will make it work, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. So we'll do it Tuesday at
 4
      4:00 o'clock on Zoom. And then we'll figure out where we're
 5
      going to go from there. Okay?
 6
               MR. EVEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: All right. Safe travels. We're
 8
      adjourned.
 9
               MR. PERRY: Are we -- sorry, Your Honor. Are we
10
      adjourning for the day?
11
                THE COURT: I think so. We don't have -- we don't
12
      have the documents.
13
               MR. PERRY: May I raise an issue, please?
14
          The witnesses who have been testifying are under the
15
      Court's admonishment, as -- as the Court will recall. It's
16
      been several weeks now for several of them. It's creating
17
      hardships at the business. They've been interpreting the
18
      order very broadly to not discuss anything related to the
19
      App Store commissions, links, and so forth. That is an
20
      ongoing part of the App Store that has nothing to do with the
21
      proceedings here, but we've been steering very, very wide.
22
          We would appreciate some quidance from the Court, because
23
      we do have a business to run, that they of course will not
      discuss the proceedings in this court. But as I said, we've
24
25
      been interpreting it very broadly in the hopes that we would
```

1 be done, but it's creating a hardship in terms of meetings and 2 decisions and so forth on the ongoing operations of the 3 company. 4 THE COURT: I -- I can appreciate that. My concern 5 is, at this point, a historic concern. A decision was made, 6 and all of the testimony relates to that decision and 7 everything leading up to that decision. That is what they are 8 prohibited from discussing. 9 MR. PERRY: And, Your Honor, that is absolutely clear and we can accommodate that. It's the ongoing future business 10 11 operations that we're raising the concern, which we can draw 12 that line, I believe, if that's the Court's direction. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Bornstein. 14 MR. BORNSTEIN: That's fine, Your Honor. I -- as 15 long as we're doing -- I apologize. 16 As long as we're doing what Your Honor suggested with respect to Mr. Schiller last week, which is whenever there is 17 18 any doubt as to whether something is within or without the 19 scope of this prohibition, that the folks at Apple err on the 20 side of caution and not discuss the matter at all. 21 THE COURT: I'm not going anywhere. If you have a 22 question, let me know, I'll give you an answer. 23 job. Anything else you want to discuss? 24

MR. BORNSTEIN: May I raise something, Your Honor?

```
1
                THE COURT: You may.
 2
               MR. BORNSTEIN: If Your Honor has the time, we do
 3
      have two witnesses on our side who we were hoping to have take
      the stand today. One is a third party, Mr. Simon. And the
 4
 5
      other is an Epic employee who's come from North Carolina to
      testify. If Your Honor has the time, we would put them on.
 6
 7
       If Your Honor would rather reserve, we'll reserve.
               THE COURT: We can do that.
 8
 9
               MR. BORNSTEIN: They obviously don't affect the
10
      relevant documents.
11
               THE COURT: Any objection?
12
               MR. PERRY: No objection, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead.
14
               MR. BORNSTEIN:
                                Thank you very much for the
15
       accommodation, Your Honor.
16
           In that case, Epic will call Mr. Ben Simon.
17
                THE COURT: Mr. Doren, just come to the mic.
18
               MR. DOREN:
                           Thank you, Your Honor. I saw a green
19
       light.
20
          Mr. Schiller, who will not be back on the stand today, may
21
      he come back in as the corporate representative? Or should we
22
       send him on his way?
23
               THE COURT: For these testimonies, he can.
               MR. DOREN: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
25
                THE CLERK: Good morning, sir. Please raise your
```

1 right hand. 2 3 BENJAMIN SIMON, 4 called as a witness by the plaintiff, having been duly sworn, 5 testified as follows: 6 THE WITNESS: I do. 7 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated and speak 8 clearly into the microphone. Please state your full name and 9 spell out your last name for the record. 10 Benjamin Simon. My last name is THE WITNESS: 11 spelled S-I-M-O-N. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Simon, good afternoon. 13 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 14 THE COURT: Or morning. Good morning. 15 You may proceed. 16 MR. BORNSTEIN: It feels like afternoon, Your Honor, 17 after all that. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 20 Mr. Simon, have you been here in this courtroom before? 21 I have. I testified in this trial. Α. 22 Okay. And have you submitted a declaration to the Court 23 in connection with these proceedings today? 24 I did. Α. 25 And do you stand by the truth of everything that you put

- in that declaration to the Court?
- **A.** I do.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

- Q. So just do some very quick background, where do you work sorry?
 - A. The company is called Yoga Buddhi Co. We usually use the name Down Dog publicly.
 - Q. What does Down Dog do?
 - A. We make a suite of health and fitness web and mobile apps for doing wellness practices at home. Our most popular home is our yoga app.
- 11 Q. And what do you do at Down Dog?
- 12 A. I'm the CEO and president and one of the two cofounders.
- 13 **Q.** Before you were at Down Dog, what did you do?
- 14 **A.** I was a software engineer at Google.
- Q. And what sort of educational background do you have in software engineering?
 - A. I have both a bachelor's and a master's from

 Brown University in computer science.
 - Q. So on which platforms can consumers access the Down Dog app and your content?
- A. So we have native apps for both iOS and Android. And then
 we also have a fully functional web version designed to be
 used in a browser on a laptop or a desktop computer.
 - Q. And how do you all at Down Dog make money?
- 25 | A. We use a subscription model. So when users create an

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN account, they get a free trial period just by signing up. 1 2 They don't have to give --3 (Off-the-record discussion.) 4 THE WITNESS: When users create an account, we give 5 them a two- to three-week trial period. And then at the end 6 of that period, if they want to continue using our service, 7 they have to sign up for an annual or a monthly subscription. BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 8 9 And where can you sign up? On which platforms? 10 From within the apps, on iOS and Android using in-app 11 purchases or Google Play in-app billing. And on our website, 12 users can use a credit card directly or they can use PayPal. 13 What does it cost to subscribe to Down Dog? 14 Our standard pricing, if you purchase within the app, is 15 \$9.99 per month or \$59.99 per year if they choose the annual 16 option. 17 And then if you purchase on our website, the standard 18 pricing is \$7.99 per month or \$39.99 per year. 19 So why is it cheaper? Why do you charge less if somebody 20 signs up on the web versus, say, in the native iOS 21 application? 22 Primarily that's because we pay much lower processing fees 23 for our credit card and PayPal options on the web. 24 And how long has that been the case? How long have you

charged less on the web than on iOS?

- A. Since we first implemented the web purchase options, being able to provide a discount was sort of the reason we implemented that in the first place. So at least five or six years.
- Q. Five or six years ago?
- A. At least, yeah.

- Q. So you touched on this a touch just now, but why is it that you do charge -- sorry. Why not charge the same amount on the web and on iOS and just pocket the difference, make more money for Down Dog?
- A. It sort of never made sense from our perspective why we would make more money depending on where the user chooses to pay. We also particularly care about being able to offer our users the lowest possible price. Our product is specifically intended to be a more affordable option versus, for example, practicing at an in-person yoga studio.
- Q. Okay. So let's -- excuse me. Let's talk about the mechanics of payment.

For people who sign up on your website, what are their options for how to pay?

- A. So they can enter their credit card information directly or they can log in to PayPal and have PayPal facilitate.
- Q. So if they log in through PayPal, who processes that transaction?
- **A.** PayPal does.

Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 34 of 215 937 SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN 1 Q. And if they use their credit card information, how does 2 that payment get processed? 3 We use a company called Stripe. Α. And what is Stripe? 4 0. 5 They're a payment processor. I believe they do more than Α. 6 just credit card processing at this point, but they're widely 7 used amongst developers. 8 So if a user goes to the website, signs in using a credit 9 card, subscribes using the credit card, and Stripe processes 10 the transaction, what does that cost Down Dog? 11 Stripe charges 30 cents flat fee per transaction and then 12 an additional 2.9 percent of the transaction amount. For us, 13 that ends up being in total somewhere between 3-1/2 and 14 6-1/2 percent, given the prices that we're talking about. 15 And what does PayPal charge? 16 PayPal is a similar structure but slightly more expensive. 17 It's 2.99 percent and I think 49 cents. 18 THE COURT: Wait, Mr. Simon. You said it ends up 19 being between 3-1/2 and 6-1/2? 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sorry. If you take the 21 30 percent -- or sorry -- the 30-cent constant fee and then if 22

you compute the overall percentage for our prices between \$8 and \$40 is what we're doing on our website.

So I believe if you do the \$8 times --

23

24

25

THE COURT: Got it. No, I get I now. Thank you.

```
1
                THE WITNESS:
                              Yeah.
 2
      BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
 3
           So the percentage, the effective percentage varies, in
 4
       other words, based on how expensive of a subscription the user
 5
       is purchasing?
 6
          Correct. Lower price transactions are going to be --
 7
       we're going to pay a higher total percentage fee.
 8
                THE COURT: And so because you're talking on top of
 9
       the mic it's very --
10
                THE WITNESS:
                              Sorry.
                THE COURT: -- muddled. There's a -- so, that's
11
12
       fine. Let's just see if we can get it without such --
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, I apologize.
13
14
                THE COURT: Good ahead.
15
                MR. BORNSTEIN:
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
16
           And then I think you answered, but PayPal, what -- what
17
       does PayPal charge Down Dog?
18
           It's 2.99 percent and then a 49-cent constant fee.
19
           And that would translate then into even higher percentages
20
       for you?
21
           That's correct.
       Α.
22
                       So if a user subscribes to Down Dog on their
           All right.
23
       iOS app versus subscribing on the web, is there any difference
       in terms of where they can then practice their yoga?
24
25
           There's not.
```

- Q. And do people who subscribe on an iOS app get the same content as people who subscribe on the web?

 A. They do.
- Q. So do you have any understanding as to why it is that there are subscribers who pay the extra \$2 a month or \$20 a year to subscribe on iOS for the same content?
- A. I think there are some users who actually prefer to use

 Apple in-app purchases, but primarily I think users don't know

 about the cheaper options on our website.
- Q. And what's your basis for saying that, Mr. Simon?
- A. We have a lot of data points, but we also have customer feedback directly from customers who voice this explicitly, saying that they purchased in the app and later found out about the cheaper options on the website.
- Q. Does Down Dog do anything to try to communicate to consumers that the website purchase option is available at a lower price?
- A. We do email our users.

- **Q.** Okay. And what's in the email? What does it say?
- A. There's a few different emails that contain a link. But, for example, after the free trial period ends, we send them an email, and in that email we have a link to purchase on our website for the 39.99 price.
- Q. Okay. I want to shift a little bit and talk about Android for a moment.

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN Was there a time that Down Dog ever informed users inside 1 2 the Android app that there was this website purchase option 3 available for a lower price? 4 Yes. Α. 5 And how long did Down Dog actually have that messaging in 6 the Android app? 7 Until May of 2022, which is when Google finally enforced 8 that we remove the link to purchase on our website. 9 We have a demonstrative to show what some of these screens look like. 10 11 MR. BORNSTEIN: If I could ask Mr. Lion to pulled up 12 CDX-1 and go to Slide 2. 13 (Demonstrative published.) 14 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 15 Great. Okay. 16 So do you recognize this image, Mr. Simon? 17 Α. I do. 18 Can you tell us what it is, please? 19 This is the screen that would appear in our Android app 20 prior to May of 2022, giving the user the option to pay with 21 Google within the app, but it also has the link to pay on our 22 website for a discount. 23 Okay. And so can you just explain the two? What are the

two bubbles on -- buttons on the bottom there, the blue and

24

25

the white?

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN 1 So the blue button which says "pay with Google," if they 2 clicked that, it would start Google's in-app billing flow. 3 And then if they instead clicked the white button: "33 percent off, pay on our website," that would -- that was a 4 5 link that would open into their browser onto our purchase 6 page. 7 Q. Okay. 8 MR. BORNSTEIN: So can we go to the next slide, 9 please. (Demonstrative published.) 10 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 11 12 What are we looking at here? So this is the web page they would land on in their 13 14 browser if they clicked the white button in the previous 15 screen. And when the user landed here on this website screen after 16 17 pushing that white button, were they logged in to their 18 account already, or did they have to do something to get into 19 the account? 20 No. We used a URL that was unique to the user so that to 21 ensure that the user would be subscribing for the correct 22 account that they had used within the app. 23 And you can actually see that at the very bottom here. It's a little hard to see, but it says a receipt will be sent 24

to newtestaccount@gmail.com, indicating that was the email

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN address of the account being used. So this was just a test account, but if it were a user, it would say, you know, garybornstein@gmail.com or something like that? A. That is correct. MR. BORNSTEIN: Okay. We can take the demonstrative down. Has Down Dog ever done anything to study the effect that that button in the Android app had on user behavior? Yes. In I believe it was 2020, Google clarified their policy making it clear that we would not be allowed to indefinitely have that link. And we wanted to know how that was going to affect our business when that ultimately went into effect. So what did you do? So we did what's typically called an A/B test which is essentially an experiment in the app over the course of a month where --**THE COURT:** And you said A/B or A? THE WITNESS: Sorry. It's like A slash B because you do an experiment with an A group and a B group is the idea. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: So in this case, it was the normal

control group of new users who joined the app in that month

would see the link as all users did. But then I believe it

```
was a 10 percent -- a random 10 percent of users who joined that month did not see that link in the app. And then after all of that, we looked at the rates of -- of the users subscribing within each experiment group.
```

BY MR. BORNSTEIN:

- Q. And so what did the data that you reviewed after this A/B test show you?
- A. So what we saw was that users who saw the link in the app who chose to purchase, 90 percent chose to purchase on our website and 10 percent roughly chose to use Google in-app billing.

When the link was removed from the app, that number switched to roughly 50/50 percent. Or half of users subscribing on our website, half subscribing in the app, which is also what we see on iOS where we don't have the link.

- Q. And was there any impact that you detected in the data on overall subscriptions to Down Dog?
- A. Yes. So we also saw that amongst users who created an account, they were 28 percent less likely to subscribe at all whether it was within the app or on our website if they didn't see the link within the app.
- Q. Now, you said Google clarified its policy at some point.

 Did Down Dog eventually remove the link that we saw on the demonstrative?
- **A.** Yes. In May of 2022.

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 41 of 215 944 - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN SIMON -And what effect did the removal of the link permanently 1 Q. 2 have on user behavior? 3 A. So it's hard to know exactly because we -- we don't have the counterfactual, which is why we ran the experiment, but 4 5 when we removed the link, we saw the proportion of users purchasing on our website shift to that same 50/50 that we saw 6 7 in the experiment and also on iOS. 8 We also saw the proportion of purchases that were coming 9 from Android versus iOS fall, which is consistent with a 10 reduction in Android purchases overall. So I'd like to move then to the new guidelines that Apple 11 12 has issued in connection with what it calls the external 13 purchase link entitlement. 14 Are you familiar with the guidelines at least generally? 15 Α. I am. 16 And how is it that you have become familiar with them? 17 We been paying close attention to this, eagerly awaiting 18 adding the link to the iOS app when the injunction went into 19 effect.
 - Q. So why have you been paying attention?

21

22

23

24

- A. It's an immediate significant boost to our business if we can -- if we can offer this link and the lower price to our users.
- Q. So has Down Dog admitted an application to be able to offer the link?

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN 1 Α. We have not. 2 Do you plan to do so? Q. 3 Α. No. 4 Why not? 0. 5 At a high level, there's sort of two reasons. 6 commission, which isn't just being applied like to all of 7 our -- all of our purchase that happen on our website, but 8 specifically to purchases that come from users clicking this 9 link, is -- it makes this economically disadvantageous to us 10 given the number of users we reach outside of the app and 11 don't pay any commission. 12 On top of that, Apple has added a whole set of 13 restrictions that we think makes it unlikely users would even 14 find or use this link. 15 So I want to break down those things. I'll start with the 16 second one, the restrictions that you mentioned. 17 MR. BORNSTEIN: And it might be easier to do this with the demonstrative back up. If we could go to Slide 4 of 18 19 the demonstrative, please. 20 (Demonstrative published.) 21 MR. BORNSTEIN: Great. 22 So, Mr. Simon, what are we looking at here on this screen? Q. 23 This is a modified version of the screen we saw in Android

24

25

to "Pay with Apple."

as if it was on iOS. So they just switched "Pay with Google"

- Q. All right. So to be clear, though, this is not what your screen currently looks like on your iOS app, right?
 - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. Because you can't have the thing on the bottom, the white button?
 - **A.** Correct.
 - Q. All right. So let's look at the white button, 33 percent off, pay on our website.
 - Why -- why is it white here? Why was it white on your Android app?
 - A. We're just trying to call out sort of these two buttons as the primary actions to take on this page, that you're choosing between these two -- two options.
 - Q. And would you be permitted to do this, as you understand Apple's current purchase link guidelines?
 - A. No.
- Q. Would you be permitted to do it if you made the button blue instead of white?
- 19 **A.** No.
- Q. What kind of visual change would you need to make to that button to comply with the guidelines?
 - A. Apple requires that it be -- that it use what they call a plain button style which means that it's just text without any border or background.
 - MR. BORNSTEIN: So can we go to the next slide.

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN 1 (Demonstrative published.) 2 BY MR. EVEN: So what are we looking at on Slide 5 of the demonstrative 3 4 here? 5 So now that button has just been changed to the plain 6 style, just text. 7 And as an app designer, what is your view of the effect of 8 this change on user behavior, likely user behavior? 9 It makes it less prominent, I think. I think Apple's own 10 documents say that the plain style is the least prominent of 11 the options. So it's just more likely that the user might not 12 notice that option, might not realize it's something they can 13 actually click on. 14 And to be clear, this -- does this restriction on button 15 style apply to links that you have to your website for things 16 other than purchases? 17 Α. No. 18 And are there links that you've had to your website for 19 things other than purchases in the app? 20 Yes. Α. 21 For example...? Q. 22 We've had a link to the customer support page on our 23 website from the menu in our app. Another example is in May,

24

25

we did a, what we called a challenge where users could sign up

where if they did a practice every day in May, they would be

- DIRECT / BORNSTEIN SIMON in a raffle to win a free yoga mat. And so we had a sign-up page to set certain preferences around that challenge on our website. And so we would pop up a message in the app with a link announcing that challenge with a link to set those preferences on our website. All right. Well, we'll come back to the pop-ups in a moment. Let me turn back to the -- to the demonstrative. It says on the bottom, 33 percent off, pay on our website. We've gotten rid of the button, but is that text something that you could have on your app under Apple's current

guidelines?

- No. We have to use one of, I think it's five templates they've provided.
- Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let's go to the next slide. MR. BORNSTEIN: (Demonstrative published.)

BY MR. BORNSTEIN:

- What are we looking at now here on Slide 6?
- So now the text has been changed to match one of their templates to get 33 percent off, 33 percent off, go to www.downdogapp.com/purchase. And it also has the little icon indicating that this is a linkout which they also require.
- And like with the button, if this link took the user to something other than a purchase screen on your website, would it be subject to this requirement that it spell out the whole

SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN URL? 1 2 Α. No. 3 So focusing just on the link now for a second, do we have 4 it looking the way Apple requires? 5 I believe so. Α. 6 Now, I want to talk about the placement of the link. 7 Could you, under the current rules, actually have the link in 8 this spot on the app? 9 Α. No. 10 Why not? Q. One of the rules is that the link has to be on a single 11 12 page in the app that the user navigates to that is not part of 13 an in-app purchase flow. So it can't be on this page where we 14 are also doing in-app purchases. 15 So what would you have to do to this page to comply with 16 the guidelines? 17 We'd have to remove the link entirely, or I suppose remove 18 the IAP options entirely. 19 So let's go to this next slide. 20 What are we looking at here? 21 (Demonstrative published.) 22 THE WITNESS: This now has the link removed. 23 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: Okay. And is this what the payment screen looks like on 24

25

your app today?

Α. Yes.

right now?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 So to comply with the purchase link guidelines that exist, 3 you would have to have the exact same screen that you have 4
 - A. Yes. I don't think we can make any change to the in-app purchase screen.
 - And from your perspective as a web design -- as an app designer and the CEO of the business, what effect does this rule have, that you can't have the link to your website purchase on the same page as IAP?
 - I mean, first of all, I think it makes it less likely that the user will ever see the link. This is sort of the exact place where they'd want to make this decision.

I think having it in -- on two different pages also would suggest to users that these are somehow unrelated offerings, and so they might think that these -- they're actually buying something different.

- Before we leave this screen, has Down Dog ever had a link to its website for purchase in an iOS app?
- There were a couple times when we put the link in just during a sale.
- And when you did that, was that something that was allowed by Apple's guidelines?
- Α. It was not.
- So why did you have that button in there when it was not

allowed?

A. At -- at the time, Apple also had a rule that we couldn't communicate this outside of the app, which was a rule they sort of clearly weren't enforcing. So in part, we were testing if they would actually enforce just a temporary link that was only there for three days at a time.

But I think it was a mistake. We haven't -- we haven't done that in years.

Q. So let's move to the pop-ups that you mentioned.

Now, so currently in the Down Dog app, where is it that users -- or when is it that users see this screen that allows them to subscribe?

A. So we show this screen right after they create their account. We pop-up this screen so that they know how long their trial is and what -- what it would cost should they choose to keep using the service.

After their trial ends, we also pop up this screen if they reopen the app or try to start a practice.

And they also, at any point during their trial, can navigate to the account settings, and there's a button that says "Subscribe to Down Dog" which would also take them to this screen.

- Q. And so of these three options, how do they rank in terms of which ones most of your users actually subscribe through?
- A. By far the most important one is the pop-up we do after

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 49 of 215 952 SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN their trial is done and they return to the app. I think less than a third of users actually navigate to the menu or -sorry -- navigate to this page themselves. And so would -- would the new external purchase link quidelines from Apple allow Down Dog to have this screen pop-up when the free trial ends? Well, this screen is allowed after the free trial ends but --Q. Sure. -- the page that had the external purchase link would not. Α. That's a good clarification. Thank you. If you were going to have the external purchase link in your app, would you want it to be on that page that pops up after the end of the free trial? Α. Definitely.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And to be clear, does Apple prohibit app developers from including links to their website more generally for things other than purchases on a pop-up?
- Α. No.
- And you mentioned the -- the May trial that you had going on. Was that in a screen that popped up with a link to your website?
- Yes. Very similar to what would happen with this screen after a trial, that was an announcement that as soon as a user opened the app, we would show a pop-up which contained a link

to our website.

- Q. And so to your understanding, does Apple apply this no pop-up rule to any links other than purchase links?
- A. No.

Q. So I want to go to a different subject now. We're going to call for a moment on your training as a software engineer to help explain something.

You mentioned that when people signed in -- excuse me -- when people hit the button in your Android app to pay on the website, it took them to the website already logged in to -- to their account.

What's the mechanism that Down Dog used to be able to bring someone to the website automatically logged in?

A. So essentially we just need a URL that's unique to the user so that when they arrive in their web browser, we know which user this is. So we would attach, in addition to just downdogapp.com/purchase, we would attach what's called a query parameter, which was a small encrypted token. So it would look like just a random set of text characters to anyone but us.

But that would then get sent when they loaded the web page so that, on our servers, we could decrypt that token and know which user this was who was trying to purchase.

Q. Under the new Apple external purchase link guidelines, could you do that?

- SIMON DIRECT / BORNSTEIN 1 Α. No. 2 And what is it in Apple's guidelines specifically that 3 prevents that? 4 They say that the purchase link has to be for a single 5 statically defined URL. 6 All right. Q. 7 MR. BORNSTEIN: So can -- can we put up Slide 8 of 8 the demonstrative, please. 9 (Demonstrative published.) BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 10 So what is it we see here on this left under the word 11 12 "Static URL"? 13 This is the log-in page on our website which, if you just 14 visit downdogapp.com/purchase and you've never logged into our 15 website before, this is what you would see. 16 Q. Okay. And on the right, we see the screen from before 17 with the person logged in with their email address on the 18 bottom? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Okay. And so what, from your perspective, does the 21 requirement to have a statically defined URL have on the user 22 experience of following a purchase link? 23 So it would mean that after they clicked the link, they
 - That obviously adds a step of friction. So some users who

would have to log in here before they can make the purchase.

24

were on the fence about purchasing are going to decide to -to quit at that point.

It also introduces the possibility that users actually log in or create an account with a different email address, which happens more often than you might think, in which case they would purchase for the wrong account, return to their mobile app, not have access, and usually that leads to them reaching out to our customer support.

- Q. So, excuse me, just to be clear, if the user had already been on your website previously and they clicked on the purchase link in your app, would it take them to the log-in page on the left or would it take them already logged in on the right?
- A. We could sort of decide to do it either way. The way we have our -- our website set up, if -- if you had already logged into -- on our website, you would see the screen on the right. But there's no real reason a user would be logged in on our website on their mobile app -- or sorry -- on their phone unless it was to purchase.
- Q. And why is that?

A. The web version of the actual app is not optimized for a phone dimensions. So we actually show a pop-up saying you should use the native app if you try to access the -- anything sort of but the purchase page or FAQ kind of thing on our website.

- Q. And to your understanding, are dynamic URLs like this prohibited in the app for links other than purchase links?
- A. No.

- Q. Did you use a dynamic link for that May yoga mat challenge?
 - A. Yes, for exactly the same reason, so that when the user arrived on the web page, they would be able to just set their preferences right away and not have to log in to their account.
 - Q. I want to set aside user-facing links that are dynamic URLs. Can you explain, does Down Dog use dynamic URLs for other reasons in the app?
 - A. Yes. In the background, while users are using the app, we're making a ton of -- of Internet requests, mostly to our servers but also to some third parties, for analytics. And all of those are using dynamic URLs with parameters.
 - Q. Can you give some examples of the ways that Down Dog uses dynamic URLs in the app?
 - A. Sure. One example would be for the challenge we've been talking about. Basically when the user opens the app, we would make a request to like downdogapp.com/message with the same kind of token identifying this user so that our server could respond and -- and with the information to display to the user as this pop-up.
 - Q. And is the use -- that sort of use of dynamic URLs

permitted by Apple's guidelines?

A. Absolutely.

- Q. And is this something, this use of dynamic URLs, something that's unique to Down Dog, or is it something that other app developers do?
 - A. Any nontrivial app, I mean any app that's connected to the Internet doing anything nontrivial is going to have to use dynamic URLs.
 - Q. Can -- can you explain why that is?
 - A. Yes. I will try.

Essentially if you want to pass any information up to the server, you're going to need to use something other than a predefined URL.

So you could create, for example, like a library archive browsing app perhaps without ever using dynamic URLs because you're just going to fetch static content.

But as soon as you want to, for example, store data related to a user to sync across devices, that's one of the many, many things you might want to do, you're going to have to make requests that contain information.

- Q. So if there were testimony in this proceeding that the use of dynamic URLs in an app that has user-identifying information of some kind is a serious security risk, what would your reaction to that be?
- A. If dynamic URLs are a security risk, then I think all of

```
SIMON - DIRECT / BORNSTEIN
       iOS is a security risk because the platform gives you every
 1
 2
       ability to make Internet requests with dynamic URLs.
 3
           Web browsing also, every web page has dynamic URLs on it.
       So I think all of the Internet would sort of have to be a
 4
 5
       security risk for dynamic URLs to be a security risk.
 6
          Let's set aside the links and the buttons and things for a
 7
       minute.
 8
           If Down Dog wanted to include in the app just a simple
       statement, "Go to our website, subscribe for less," or,
 9
10
       "Subscribe for X percent off," without a link, could you do
11
       that under Apple's new rules?
12
          No.
       Α.
13
       Q.
          Why not?
14
          There's still a -- there's still a prohibition against
15
       calls to actions, links or buttons. They've just added sort
16
       of this one exception, but that doesn't change the fact that
17
       we can't just write "buy for cheaper on our website,"
18
       "30 percent off on our website."
19
           Apple takes 30 percent of in-app purchases. You can use a
20
       credit card or PayPal on our website. None of that has
21
       changed.
22
          And if you could, would you want to do something like
23
       that?
```

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR (510) 565-7228

In what circumstances?

24

25

Α.

Absolutely.

A. I mean the link is useful, although making it a static link takes away a lot of that use. So we'd like to be able to include a link. But absent that, I think if -- if we could just say there are cheaper prices on our website or list those prices, many users would go find those themselves.

Also it would at least cut out the confusion where users end up mad at us because they feel misled having purchased in -- in the app without knowing about the other options.

Q. All right. I'm going to go to the last subject which you mentioned first, which is the commission and the pricing.

First of all, what's your understanding of what Apple's commission on external purchase links is?

- A. I believe for any purchases made within seven days of the user clicking the purchase link in the app, we would owe Apple 27 percent of the transaction amount for anything occurring within the first year and then 12 percent for recurring subscriptions after the first year.
- Q. Okay. And what effect does that have, if any, on Down Dog's interest in pursuing this entitlement for a purchase link?
- A. That alone really takes away any interest.
- Q. Well, what -- what effect would it have, if any, on Down Dog's pricing on its website?
 - A. We couldn't -- we've only been able to offer the reduced pricing on our website because we don't pay Apple's

commission.

So if we had this link, we would need to raise our prices to match those in the app, which would not just affect our iOS users, that would also affect the website prices for all of our Android users and our web-only users.

- Q. So the 27 percent is obviously not 30. So would you at least save some money by having it be 27?
- A. No. As I said, we end up paying a little over 3 percent even in the best case for the transactions on our website.
- Q. And based on the experience that you had with that A/B testing in the Android app, what would you expect to happen to the total number of subscribers that you got if you raised your website prices?
- A. We would expect it to go down.
- Q. And what would you expect -- excuse me -- based on your experience with the A/B testing that you did, what would you expect in terms of the number -- let me do that question differently.

Let me talk about the people right now who sign up on the web because they got an email from you, for example.

Based on your experience with how people use the app, what's your understanding as to how many users would continue to subscribe that way through the email if there were some kind of clearly communicated purchase link available to them inside the app?

- A. I -- I don't know if I know that precisely. Based on the success of the link on Android, I would suspect that -- that most users will see the link in the app before they receive the email and are likely to use that.
- Q. And so what -- what would that mean as an economic matter to Down Dog if people started clicking on the link who might otherwise have subscribed as a result of getting an email out of the app?
- A. We would have to pay Apple commission on those purchases which, as you're pointing out, are purchases that would have occurred on our website otherwise or anyway and we wouldn't have owed a commission.
- Q. So incidentally, do you -- you mentioned the seven days.

 Do you have data on how much time after clicking on a subscription link in an email from Down Dog people who subscribe actually go through and subscribe?
- A. I do.

- Q. And what does that data show?
- A. So I looked at all of our users who received the email after their trial ended, with the link to purchase on our website between the middle of January and the middle of April. And I looked at the portion of the users who clicked on that link and ultimately did subscribe on our website. And of those users, it was 92-1/2 percent subscribed within -- within an hour. 98-1/2 -- sorry -- 97-1/2 percent subscribed with a

```
1
       day. And 99-1/2 percent subscribed within a week.
 2
           There were only eight of the 1624 users who took longer
 3
      than a week. And also I don't think those users were actually
      acting off of this email at all.
 4
 5
          So taken -- taken as a whole, what effect, if any, does
      Apple's new commission have on the attractiveness to Down Dog
 6
 7
      of this external purchase link entitlement?
 8
          It makes it worse than not using it at all.
 9
               MR. BORNSTEIN: I have no further questions.
10
      you.
11
                THE COURT: Before we get to cross, given your
12
      pricing, though, it doesn't -- the seven-day window doesn't
13
       really impact you because you don't have -- it's either a
14
      monthly purchase or an annual purchase, it doesn't really
15
      matter, right? I mean, do you have --
16
                THE WITNESS: The user is unlikely to make additional
17
      purchases, correct.
18
                THE COURT: Do you sell anything else?
19
                THE WITNESS: No.
20
                THE COURT: So there would be no point in having --
21
      unless they decided to buy a second month within the seven
22
       days, which is probably unlikely.
23
                THE WITNESS: Yeah.
24
                THE COURT: All right.
25
           Cross.
```

```
1
           You may proceed when you're ready, Mr. Lo.
 2
                MR. LO: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                             CROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
       BY MR. LO:
 5
           Good morning, Mr. Simon.
 6
           Good morning.
       Α.
 7
           We have not met. My name is Jason Lo, and I represent
 8
       Apple.
 9
           In your testimony and in your declaration, you refer to
10
       both your company and your app as Down Dog; is that correct?
           That's correct.
11
       Α.
12
           I'm going to adopt that terminology, but if at any point
13
       it's unclear whether I'm talking about the company or whether
14
       I'm talking about the app, feel free to ask me to clarify.
15
       Α.
           Okay.
16
           And my colleague, Mr. Bedel, is handing you a binder of
17
       materials that we may look at through the course of the
18
       examination.
19
           Sir, this is the third time you've testified in federal
20
       court regarding mobile app commissions, correct?
21
           That's correct.
       Α.
22
           Because in addition to testifying in this case relating to
23
       Apple, you testified in December of 2023 in a case that was
       pending in front of Judge Donato, correct?
24
25
           Correct.
```

- OLIVER CROSS Q. And you've also been deposed twice in connection with mobile app commissions, correct? Correct. Α. And in every instance, you understood that the purpose of
 - your testimony was to try to get Google or Apple to lower or to eliminate their mobile app commissions? You understood that that's why you were being called to testify, correct?
 - No, I don't know if that's clear. Removing the anti-steering provisions, I don't think, falls under what you just said and could also have been a reason for me to testify.
 - Okay. Fair enough. Let me rephrase that.

You understood that every time you've been called to testify, it was in -- in favor of reducing the amount of effective commissions you end up paying to Apple or to Google, correct? Because the steering would then allow you to get a customer to go to the website as opposed to making a purchase within the app, correct?

Sure. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- 19 All right. And Down Dog, the company, is a member of the 20 Coalition for App Fairness, correct?
 - It's something we signed up for when that launched, like, four years ago. We don't pay them anything. I don't follow what they do anymore.
 - All right. You signed up in September of 2020. Correct?
 - That sounds right.

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 62 of 215 965 OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 Q. And you understand that Epic Games is one of the founders 2 of the coalition, correct? 3 That's certainly something I understand now. Α. 4 And you understand that the vision of the coalition is to 5 decrease what it deems to be unfair or unreasonable commissions, correct? 6 7 That sounds correct. Α. 8 All right. You started your testimony with counsel by 9 noting that you stand by the truth of your declaration. 10 Did I catch that correctly? 11 Α. Correct. 12 And so it is accurate to the best of your knowledge? 13 Α. Correct. 14 It is complete to the best of your knowledge? Q. 15 Α. Correct. Did you have any help in writing the declaration? 16 Q. 17 Α. There was a back and forth of editing with Epic's lawyers. 18 With Epic's lawyers. All right. 19 And did Epic's lawyers review the declaration before you 20 signed it? 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 All right. You mention in your testimony that Down Dog 23 has not applied for Apple's new external link entitlement, 24 correct?
 - Correct.

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 63 of 215 966 OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 Q. And therefore Down Dog has not released an iOS app that 2 utilizes what is permitted under the new rules, correct? 3 Correct. Α. 4 So you haven't tested actual customer responses to an app 5 that complies with Apple's external link entitlement rules, correct? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 And you have no data about how actual customers would 9 react to an app that complies with the current guidelines, 10 correct? 11 We have data about how users react to similar -- to 12 similar --13 Q. Sir --14 Α. -- treatments. 15 -- do you have data about how actual customers would react 16 to an app that complies with Apple's current guidelines? 17 Α. No. 18 Okay. You noted in your direct examination that one of 19 the things that Apple's quidelines requires is that the in-app 20 purchase function and the external link be on separate pages; 21 do you recall that?
 - **A.** Yes.

23

24

25

Q. And I believe your testimony was, well, if that happens, it might lead to user confusion because it suggests that the two options are unrelated offerings, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. But you have not shown any actual customers an app with those two purchase functions on separate screens, have you?
 - **A.** Hmm....
 - Q. Let me rephrase the question.

In your declaration and in your testimony today, you haven't mentioned showing to any actual customers an app in which the in-app purchase and the external link appears on separate pages, correct?

- A. No, that's not something we would ever even think to test.
- Q. I understand. And so therefore you don't have any data from actual customers who have told you, well, wait a minute, when it's on two separate screens, it's confusing, I thought they were two different options.

You don't have any customers who have actually experienced that and gave -- given you that feedback, correct?

- A. Not about this specific link, no.
- Q. Okay. Now in your direct examination, you discussed some potential ways to use the external link that does not conform to the current guidelines. These are your mockups on what you would prefer to do, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And I believe that would be CDX-1.4 and CDX-1.5 are examples of that, correct?
 - A. I don't have those in front of me anymore so I can't

```
OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN
       confirm that.
 1
 2
           Okay. Well, we'll put that in front of you.
 3
                            (Exhibit published.)
 4
      BY MR. LO:
 5
           For example, we've got CDX-1.4 up right now, correct?
          No, sorry, my screen is blank.
 6
       Α.
 7
           Okay. Now I have it.
 8
           Thank you. So let me reask the question.
       Q.
 9
           CDX-1.4 is a mockup of an app that you would like to do
10
      but you believe you are forbidden from doing under Apple's
       current guidelines, correct?
11
12
          Correct.
       Α.
13
          All right. And you have not created a working version of
14
       an app that looks like CDX-1.4, correct?
15
       Α.
          We have.
16
       Q.
          You have.
17
          Yes. It hasn't been launched this way. Well, actually,
18
       as I mentioned, for a few days during a sale it was.
19
          Okay. But -- so you haven't -- you haven't provided the
20
       Court with any data about how customers would react to this
21
      particular screen, correct?
22
          Not on iOS, but the equivalent screen on Android we have.
23
          Okay. In your direct testimony, you made mention of
```

what's called A/B test. Do you recall that?

24

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. And A/B test, I believe your terminology means that it's a comparison of one version of the app versus another version of the app. Did I catch that generally correct?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. All right. So on CDX-1.4 we have one version of the app which I believe your testimony is you would prefer to do, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And you also understand that there -- the Apple guidelines would require you to make your app in a different way that doesn't look like CDX-1.4, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. And you have not conducted any A/B test to determine how customers would react to something that looks like CDX-1.4 on the one hand versus something that complies with Apple's guidelines as it stands today on the other hand. You have no data comparing actual customer reactions to those two types of apps, correct?
 - A. We do not. I'd be happy to run that experiment and collect that data and report it to this Court if Apple would allow that.
- Q. Okay, but so you haven't -- but the bottom line is you don't' -- you have not done that, correct?
 - A. Correct. Apple has prohibited it.
 - Q. And you have no data as of now as to how much more or less

OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 money Down Dog would make as between those A and B samples, 2 correct? 3 We do not have that data directly, no. Okay. Now, you testified on direct examination that your 4 5 business is a subscription-based model, right? 6 Yes. Α. 7 All right. Let's take a look at your current sign-in 8 screen, which I believe you had in CDX-1. 9 (Demonstrative published.) 10 BY MR. LO: Q. On the left-hand side, is that what the sign-in screen 11 12 looks look on your app? 13 A. It's close. This is showing the log-in screen on our website. 14 15 Q. Got it. But does the log-in screen on the app look 16 substantially the same? 17 Not quite. This actually isn't the first screen they 18 would see. First they'd choose between signing up or logging 19 in. If they choose sign up, they go through an onboarding 20 flow, and then this screen would also tell them something 21 about the free trial they can get if they sign up. 22 Q. Okay. So that is actually my -- my -- my -- the point 23 that I want to clarify with you. When a person downloads your app, the first thing that they see is an option to either sign 24

in or to sign up; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And it looks similar -- I don't think -- well, let me pause there.
 - In your demonstratives, do you have a screenshot of what that first screen looks like? Because if it is, I'm happy to go to that instead.
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - Q. Okay. But you agree that the one on CDX-1.8 on the left-hand side looks substantially similar to what the user might encounter at first, correct?
 - A. It's not the first screen the user will encounter, but it is part of that flow.
 - Q. Okay. All right. And so as part of that flow, when a user downloads the app for the first time, they necessarily have to go to that screen where they are told to either sign in or sign up, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And they can't engage in any of your workouts until they have chosen one of those two options, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. There's no way to skip to that page and do a couple of workout first, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And obviously once the user has either signed in or signed up and then signed in, Down Dog can then customize the app for

- 1 | that particular user based on the log-in information, correct?
- 2 **A.** Yes. We use the dynamic URLs that we were discussing earlier.
- Q. Okay. And so part of the customization once a user signs in is Down Dog can tell the user how much time is left in the
- 6 free trial, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. Down Dog can tell the user where did you just leave off, what was your last workout, correct?
- 10 **A.** Correct.
- Q. And Down Dog might actually suggest things based on what the user has already done, correct?
- 13 **A.** Correct.
- Q. And in fact, Down Dog, the app does do all of those to customize the experience once a user decides to sign in, correct?
- 17 **A.** Correct.
- Q. And a user has to sign in in order to use the app, correct?
- 20 **A.** Correct.
- Q. And you know that many other developers also customize their apps once a user has signed in. That -- that's pretty common in the industry, correct?
- 24 **A.** Absolutely.
- 25 **Q.** All right. Now, to create an account, a user has to

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 70 of 215 973 OLIVER - CROSS 1 provide you with their email address, correct? 2 They can also use one of these third-party options. Α. 3 Got it, okay. They could use, for example, the Facebook 4 sign-in, the Google sign-in, or the Apple sign-in? 5 Α. Correct. 6 If they wanted to create an account with Down Dog, they 7 would provide you with an email address, correct? 8 All of those are creating an account with Down Dog. It's 9 just whether they're providing an email address directly or 10 whether they're providing a credential through Apple, Google, 11 or Facebook. 12 And when they provide a credential through Facebook, do 13
 - you receive the email address as Down Dog?
 - Α. We do.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Okay. So one way or the other, if the user has signed in or signed up, Down Dog, the company, has access to the user's contact information such as email?
 - If they use Apple sign-in, they can choose to hide their email from us.
- A user can opt out. But otherwise you would have Sure. access to their contact information, correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- 23 Okay. And once Down Dog obtains that email address, it 24 can obviously use that email address to send some offers to 25 the -- to the customer, correct?

A. Correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

21

- Q. And you mentioned on direct examination that in fact Down
 Dog does do that, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you understand that under Apple's rules, Down Dog is now permitted to seek other information from customers beyond email addresses?
 - A. That sounds correct.
 - Q. Okay. For example, if the user chose to provide it and if you asked for it, you could get the user's cell phone number and you could text them, if that was what you wanted to do, correct?
 - A. That sounds correct.
- Q. So those communication options are available to you, correct?
- 16 A. From what I'm aware, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now you testified on direct examination that you have an understanding of Apple's external link guidelines, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And you understand that as of January 2024, developers do have some options to steer users out of an iOS app, correct?
- 23 **A.** There is a specific option, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so in your -- one option is in the screen where the user is confronted with either signing in or signing up,

- CROSS 1 Down Dog could use that screen to tell users that they can get 2 special offers on the website. Do you understand that? 3 A. I actually think the way the rules are written, it's unclear if it would be allowed on that screen because it says 4 5 that it has to be on a page that the user navigates to, not a pop-up interstitial or modal, and so I don't really understand 6 7 how it would be allowed on the first screen that is a pop-up 8 by nature. 9 Sure. So let's take a look at tab 3 of your binder which is the Apple guidelines. And that's CDX -- let's go to 3.5. 10 (Demonstrative published.) 11 12 BY MR. LO: 13 Q. And there are three screenshots on the top, and we'll zoom 14 into the top left-handmost one. It might be easier to see it 15 on the screen than on paper. 16 You see that one of the templates that Apple provides in 17 its guidelines is the special offers being presented in a page 18 that has "sign in" or "create a new account," correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. And but you're saying that in your mind, there is 21 some question in terms of whether you could do that in the 22 specific context of your app? 23 A. Yeah. The -- this screenshot doesn't make it clear whether the user navigated to this page. So based on the 24

rules as they're written, I would assume this is not allowed

if this is not a page that the user navigates to.

- Q. Okay. Now, if Apple were to give you the option of putting the special offers on the screen we've been talking about, the sign-in or sign-up screen, is that something Down Dog would want to do?
- A. Maybe.
- Q. Okay.

- A. Almost no users purchase our app before at least completing a practice. So telling them about the purchase option before they've had their trial is not very useful to us.
- Q. Okay. We'll get to that in a minute.
- But -- but if Apple allowed you to put it there, would you agree that it would be automatically shown to every user who downloads your app at least once, the special offer?
- A. Every user who gets to this page, which I'm assuming is a page they have to navigate to. But, yes, it would mean they couldn't create an account without seeing that, I suppose.
- Q. Right. Well, in your app, let's take away the navigation. In other words, I'm saying let's assume that there isn't a problem with this being what you are concerned about being a pop-up.

If you put the special offers on the page where users have the choice of signing in or signing up, then by definition every user who wants to engage with the substance of your app

- OLIVER CROSS / RICHMAN 1 is automatically going to see the special offers at least 2 once, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And in that circumstance, the user would not have 5 to search for it because before they can do anything substantive with your app, before they can engage in a single 6 7 workout, they necessarily have to see that special offer, 8 correct? 9 Well, no. Again, I think they're not likely to want this 10 until after they try the app. And so at that point they're 11 going to have to search for it. Well, stick with my question first. 12 13 The first time that they see it, they're not going to have 14 to search for it. It will be in front of them whether they 15 want to see it or not. Do you agree with that? When it's in front of them, it will be in front of them 16 17 and they won't have to search for it, yes. 18 Okay. So it would be automatically shown and they would 19 not need to search for it at that particular moment. Would 20 you agree with that? 21 At that particular moment before they've ever created an 22 account or used our app, yes, that's correct.
 - Okay. And on this page, as you know, you can not only include the external link, but you can inform the customer that, by the way, if you go there, you can get things for

24

50 percent off, correct?

- A. That is one of the specific templates. I don't think we could say, for example, you could use a credit card on our website.
- Q. I understand. But my question is you could tell the user that they could get the subscription for 15 -- 50 percent off at the website, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And you could tell the subscriber or potential subscriber that lower prices are on the web, correct?
- A. I believe that's one of the templates as well.
- Q. Okay. And again, I'm not saying you -- whether you want to do it or not, but if Down Dog chose to put the external link on the sign-in or sign-up page, then every user would see that offer at least once when they engage with your app, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And so you would agree that the new rules allow an app such as Down Dog to tell customers from within the app that they can purchase the Down Dog subscription from the Down Dog website at a discount. You agree with that as a general proposition, correct?
 - A. Can you restate that?
- Q. Sure. Do you agree that the new rules allow an app such as Down Dog to tell customers within the app that they can

1 purchase a Down Dog subscription from the Down Dog website at 2 a discount? 3 I would say that they disallow it with a single exception. Okay. But a single exception means that they do allow --4 Ο. 5 There is one specific allowance, but it's generally Α. 6 disallowed. 7 When -- one word of caution. I'm going to try to let you 8 complete your --9 Α. Sorry. 10 (Off-the-record discussion.) 11 THE COURT: And only one of you can speak at a time. 12 That's the point. 13 BY MR. LO: 14 Mr. Simon, yes or no, the new rules allow an app such as 15 Down Dog to tell customers within the app that they can 16 purchase their Down Dog subscription from the Down Dog website 17 at a discount. You agree with that, correct? 18 Subject to restrictions including a commission which makes 19 it infeasible for us. So the commission prohibits it even if 20 it's allowed in effect. 21 Well, the commission means that some developer like 22 yourself may not choose to exercise it. But for developers 23 who choose to exercise it, the new rules permit an app to tell 24 users that they can get a discount outside of the app,

25

correct?

1 Α. Well, I'm imagining let's say they said we get 2 100 percent, wouldn't that -- that would -- I think that we 3 would all agree that would be an effective prohibition even though it would technically be allowing a link. 4 5 use -- they can use the commission to effectively prohibit it. 6 Okay. Do the new rules allow a messaging that tells 7 customers within the app that they can purchase their Down Dog subscription from the website as a discount? Yes or no, or 8 9 you don't know? 10 Yes, subject to restrictions. 11 Okay. And if significant discounts were offered on the 12 web, the message in the app could tell the users that you 13 could get significant discounts outside of the app as well, 14 correct? 15 I don't think so we can use the words significant 16 discount, but yes. 17 Q. Okay. Well --18 THE COURT: So you can use the word "discount" but 19 not "significant discount"? 20 THE WITNESS: I think that's correct. 21 BY MR. LO: 22 Well, you can tell them that it's 50 percent off, correct? 23 Α. Correct. You can tell them, if it were the case, you could tell 24

them it were 90 percent off, correct?

- A. Correct. I'm just saying we can't use the language that you just chose to use.
- Q. Okay. All right. So but you would agree that the rules don't completely prevent Down Dog from steering users outside of iOS, correct?
- A. They do not completely prevent steering, I would agree with that.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk about payment processing fees.

 You testified on direct examination that your processing fees are 3.5 percent to 6.5 percent, correct?
- A. That sounds correct, yes.
- Q. And those are the same numbers you included in your declaration, correct?
- 14 **A.** Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Both are references to the processing fees you pay to either Stripe or PayPal, correct?
 - A. I think specifically those numbers are from Stripe, yes.
- 18 Q. Those numbers are from Stripe. All right.
 - And so anytime a credit card purchase is made for a Down Dog subscription on the website using Stripe, Down Dog pays Stripe up to 6.5 percent of the purchase price.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And anytime a customer purchases a Down Dog subscription on the website, Stripe charges the company no less than a 3.5 percent purchase price.

- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Okay. 3.5 percent of the purchase price, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.

- 4 Q. Would those numbers be different if the user chose PayPal?
- 5 A. Yes. They would be higher.
- Q. They would be higher. Okay. So 3.5 to 6.5 is the Stripe
- 7 percentage, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. I take it that for a business such as Down Dog, 3.5 to
- 10 6.5 percent is a material expense that you, as the CEO,
- 11 personally keep an eye out.
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **Q.** Okay. It's not a tiny percentage that you can just ignore
- 14 obviously, correct?
- 15 A. I wouldn't say it's negligible, no.
- 16 **Q.** Okay. All right. Now we mentioned earlier that you gave
- 17 testimony in December of 2023 before Judge Donato. Do you
- 18 recall that?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** And when you testified before Judge Donato, that testimony
- 21 | occurred the same way it occurred this morning, meaning you
- 22 got on the stand and you were asked questions by Epic's
- 23 | lawyers. Do you recall that?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And one of the questions that Epic's lawyers posed

```
1
       to you was: What is your payment processing fee?
 2
           Do you recall that?
 3
           I don't recall it specifically, but I believe that that
 4
       happened.
 5
         Okay. Do you recall that in answer to Epic's lawyer's
       questioning, you told Judge Donato under penalty of perjury --
 6
 7
                THE COURT: So you're talking about the jury trial?
 8
                MR. LO: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
                THE COURT: Because you keep saying Judge Donato, but
10
       it's a jury trial --
11
                MR. LO: Fair.
12
                          (Simultaneous colloquy.)
13
                THE COURT: -- which is different than a bench trial.
14
                MR. LO: Fair enough.
15
          And you testified in the Google case in December of 2023,
16
       correct?
17
       Α.
          Yes.
18
          And in that case, when Epic's counsel asked you what your
19
       payment processing fees were, you told the jury that all in,
20
       it was roughly 3 percent, correct?
21
          I could believe that.
       Α.
22
          Okay. And in fact, not only did you say that the
23
       percentage was all in roughly 3 percent, but you said it was a
24
       tiny percentage.
25
           Do you recall that?
```

- Yes. Compared to 30 percent, I think 3 percent is a tiny 1 2 percentage. Also the vast majority of users subscribing on 3 our website are doing so --
 - Sir, I'm just asking you if you testified that it was tiny.
 - If you say so, I believe it.
 - Okay. And -- and you did say all in that it was 3 percent when you were testifying in front of the jury as recently as December of 2023, correct?
- 10 Can I explain that? Α.
- 11 I'm sure you'll have an opportunity to explain on 12 redirect.
 - Α. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Now, that's not the only time you've testified about your payment processing fees. Because as we talked about earlier, in April of 2021, you testified in a deposition for this case. Do you recall that?
 - Sounds correct. Α.
- And at that point, your testimony was that your payment processing fees to Stripe and PayPal were 2.7 percent. that ring a bell?
- I think at the time, that was the amount. But there would 23 have also been a 30 percent, or something, constant fee.
- So when you testified under oath in your deposition that 24 25 it was 2.7 percent in April of 2021, that number was not

OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN entirely accurate, was it? I suppose. I don't know the exact questioning so I don't Α. know if it would have been clear that I was trying to give an all-in number or giving the percentage number which is obviously the most important. Well, let's take a look at that together then. You can see that in tab 8 of your binder. And it's going to be page 75. And I'll start at line 3 and go through line 8. question doesn't directly relate, but you'll see from your answer why I'm reading it. "Q. You said all fees after Apple's percent; is that what you said? "A. No. Sorry. I'm saying the revenue that we consider is the revenue we receive after any applicable fees including Apple's 30 percent or the 2.7 percent we pay to Stripe and PayPal." Do you see that, sir? Α. I do. Okay. And was that -- and when you gave that testimony, you swore an oath just as you swore an oath this morning, correct? Α. Yes.

Q. That oath included the oath to tell the truth, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 Q. The oath also included the oath to tell the whole truth, 2 correct? 3 THE COURT: Mr. Lo, I'm not a juror. I understand 4 how this works. Move on. 5 MR. LO: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 6 When you gave that prior testimony, both the 2.7 percent Q. 7 and the 3 percent, you understood that you were trying to draw 8 a contrast between Google's fees and the payment processing 9 fees you pay outside, correct? 10 Α. Yes. In other words, Google was charging you 30 percent, and if 11 12 you're paying outside 2.7 percent or 3 percent, then Google's 13 fees seem very high, correct? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And so you had an incentive to characterize your payment 16 processing fees in a lower manner if that was consistent with 17 the truth, correct? 18 Correct. Α. 19 And you understand that in these proceedings, the 20 incentives are a little bit different, correct? 21 Α. Correct. 22 In other words, in these proceedings, it is worse for 23 Apple's position and better for Epic's position if you can

characterize your payment processing fees as a little bit

24

25

higher, correct?

Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 84 of 215 987 OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 Α. I think that's probably correct. 2 Okay. And so that's what you've done. You've said that Q. 3 it it's 3.5 to 6.5 percent in this context, correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 All right. Now, you testified earlier that the 3.5 to 6.5 6 is the amount that you pay to Stripe, correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Is there actually a transaction today in which All right. 9 your effective commission to Stripe is actually 3.5 percent? 10 I believe so. Α. You believe so. Well, we'll do the math together. 11 12 I've got a colleague with a computer in the background. 13 You testified on direct that on the web there are

You testified on direct that on the web there are essentially two purchase options. One of them is 7.99. The other is 39.99 for the year. Correct?

A. Correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. Which of those two transactions did you have in mind when you set the lower bound for Stripe transactions at 3.5 percent?
- 20 **A.** The 39.99 price.
- 21 **Q.** Okay. And the Stripe, as we understand it, is a
- 22 2.9 percent fee plus 30 cents, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. All right.
- 25 I'll represent to you, and I'm sure your counsel will

OLIVER CROSS ' RICHMAN 1 check, that that comes out to 3.65 percent. So it's not 2 3 percent -- 3.5 percent. Do you agree with that? 3 I could believe that. 4 So, again, are there any transactions today for Okav. 5 which you actually pay 3.5 percent to either Stripe or PayPal? There may be international transactions because the prices 6 Α. 7 end up being slightly differently and the fees are slightly 8 different. But, no, it sounds like you're correct that 9 actually the floor is 3.65 percent in the United States. Okay. You didn't have international transactions in mind 10 when you gave the 3.5 to 6.5 range, correct? 11 12 I don't believe so. Α. 13 Now, then the higher end of your range is 6.5 percent. 14 Are there any actual transactions today in which your company 15 pays a 6.5 percent transaction fee to Stripe? Again, I believe that would have been calculated using the 16 17 \$7.99 price. 18 Okay. And, again, would it surprise you to learn that 19 that actually is not 6.5 percent? 20 I could believe that it's slightly different. 21 Okay. So how did you get to 3.5 versus 6.5 that you 22 included in your declaration and that you said on direct 23 examination this morning? Where did that number come from? I believe that I had originally written this as the 24

constant amount plus the percentage fee per transaction, and

- OLIVER CROSS / RICHMAN

 in one of the revisions of the declaration it got edited to be

 in terms of a total percentage.

 Q. Well, but the point is the total percentage even when you

 add the flat fee does not amount to 3.5 to 6.5 percent. You

 understand that, right?
 - A. Yes. It sounds like there was a small math error made.
 - Q. Okay. And was that a math error made on your part or on Epic's counsel's part?
 - A. I don't remember.

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. Well, you signed your declaration on March 12, 2024, correct?
- 12 **A.** That sounds correct.
 - Q. And after you signed your -- well, let's just skip that part.
 - When you provided your declaration to Epic's lawyers, did any of them ask you why is it 3.5 to 6.5 percent given you just testified that it was 3 percent? Was that question posed to you?
 - A. No. I think I said around 3 percent, and I think 3-1/2 percent is around 3 percent.
 - Q. The question is only whether Epic's lawyers posed the question to you as to why it's 3.5 versus 6.5 as opposed to 3?
 - **A.** They did not.
- Q. Okay. Did they ask to see your actual payment terms with Stripe or PayPal?

A. I don't believe so.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did they ask if you actually paid 3.5 percent on any credit card transactions today?
 - A. I don't remember that being an explicit question.
 - Q. Did they ask if you actually paid 6.5 percent on any credit card transaction today?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. Did they ask you if in December 2023, you actually paid 3 percent on any credit card transaction?
- 10 A. Sorry. Can you repeat that?
- Q. Sure. Did they ask you if, in December of 2023, you were actually paying 3 percent all in on any credit card transactions?
 - A. No. Again, we were always discussing the Stripe payment structure. I don't remember exactly how we arrived at -- at the exact 3-1/2 and 6-1/2 percent numbers. It sounds like somewhere in that shuffle a small math error was made.
 - Q. Okay. Was it you or was it Epic's counsel that came up with the 3.5 to 6.5 percent?
 - A. I could believe that it was Epic's -- one of Epic's revisions that changed it from the 2.9 percent plus 30 cents to the 3-1/2 to 6-1/2 percent range.
 - Q. Okay. So Epic's counsel changed it to 3.5 to 6.5. But as you just testified now, Epic's counsel never had a copy of your payment fee structure with Stripe, correct?

- 1 **A.** They had the previous revision which exactly specified that structure.
 - Q. I see.

6

7

8

9

10

18

23

25

- **A.** I should have checked their math, I suppose. It was my sworn declaration.
 - Q. All right. Let's move away from payment processing and talk about commissions and their impact on prices.

Now one of the things you said earlier was that you wanted to pass along some of the savings to your customers, correct?

- 11 **A.** Correct.
- Q. Okay. Currently on the iOS app, you've testified to this, a one-year subscription is 59.99. Correct?
- 14 **A.** Correct.
- Q. And Down Dog makes more than a million dollars a year on the iOS app, correct?
- 17 **A.** Correct.
 - **Q.** You are not in the Small Business Program, right?
- 19 A. Correct.

correct?

- Q. All right. And the way the iOS subscription works is

 Apple takes a 30 percent commission the first year. And then

 if somebody keeps subscribing, it goes down to 15 percent,
- 24 \blacksquare A. Those are now the rules. Those were not always the rules.
 - **Q.** Sure. They are the rules today, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And when the Apple subscription commission drops by
- 3 half in the second year, Down Dog does not pass along that
- 4 discount to the customers, right?
 - A. No.

5

- 6 **Q.** The price remains 59.99 the second year, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
 - Q. How many subscribers --
- 9 A. I don't think Apple would give us -- sorry, I didn't mean
- 10 to interrupt. I don't think Apple would give us any mechanism
- 11 to pass that along when it's billed through Apple.
- 12 **Q.** Okay. How many subscribers does Down Dog currently have?
- 13 **A.** On order of half a million.
- 14 **Q.** And how many of those are past their first year?
- 15 A. I don't have that number off the top of my head.
- 16 Let me see if I can bound it.
- I would -- I would guess it's between 20 and 50 percent
- 18 are in their first year.
- 19 **Q.** Meaning that 50 to 80 percent are past their first year.
- 20 | A. Yeah. 80 percent would be too high. So I would estimate
- 21 | that it's around -- if I was going to give one estimate, I
- 22 would estimate it's like roughly 40 percent are in their first
- 23 year and 60 percent are not.
- 24 | Q. Okay. So a slight majority are past their first year,
- 25 correct?

A. Yeah.

- 2 Q. And I think you answered this earlier, but the reason you
- 3 don't pass along that 15 percent discount after the first year
- 4 | is because you think that the Apple's rules make that
- 5 difficult for you to do logistically; is that right?
- 6 A. Well, I think we'd have to change the price that they're
- 7 charged in the second year, which I don't think is something
- 8 we can do. How else would we pass that along?
- 9 Q. Okay. So it's an Apple restriction that stops you from
- 10 passing along that discount?
- 11 A. Specifically in the second year, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, you don't have that same problem on Android,
- 13 correct?
- 14 **A.** Well, what do you mean?
- 15 Q. Sure, let me explain. On the Android platform, Google
- 16 charges a flat 15 percent commission for both initial
- 17 usubscriptions and for subscriptions past the first year,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Again, that's now the rule. It was not the rule when we
- 20 set this pricing.
- 21 \mathbf{Q} . It is now. It has been the rule since January of 2022.
- 22 Does that sound correct?
- 23 **A.** That sounds correct.
- 24 **Q.** And before January 2022, as you say, Google was charging
- 25 | 30 percent, right?

- A. Correct.
- 2 **Q.** All right. So since January 2022, Google charges a flat
- 3 | 15 percent commission for both initial and subsequent
- 4 subscriptions, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Now, you still charge 59.99 on the Android platform,
- 7 | right?

5

- A. Correct.
- 9 **Q.** All right. And so there's nothing on the Android platform
- 10 that prohibits you from passing along the discount to your
- 11 customers. That's a choice you make, correct?
- 12 **A.** We're planning to revisit our pricing when all of this
- 13 settles. There's been so many changes to the fees on both
- 14 Android and iOS that it hasn't felt prudent to change our
- prices each time there's another change until we think that
- 16 it's stable.
- 17 **Q.** So the reason you have not decreased prices on Android
- 18 | from January 2022 to today, May of 2024, is because you're not
- 19 sure that the prices are stable; is that right?
- 20 | A. I mean I think they lost an antitrust trial in that time
- 21 so I think it's quite possible that the prices aren't stable.
- 22 **Q.** I'm not questioning your motives. I'm just asking you to
- 23 | confirm that that is the rationale of why you have not changed
- 24 \parallel the prices.
- 25 A. Correct.

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 92 of 215 995 OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 Q. Okay. Now that rationale is not entirely accurate, 2 though, is it, sir? 3 I don't know what you mean. 4 Well, what I mean is you were deposed in September 5 of 2023 in advance of the Google trial. Do you recall that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And at that deposition, you testified on four separate 8 occasions that the commission that Google charges you was 9 30 percent, not the 15 percent that has been in place since 10 January of 2022. Do you recall that, sir? 11 I got confused. Again there have been a lot of I did. 12 changes to these rates over the past few years and multiple 13 platforms. 14 Okay. So in your deposition, when you said four separate 15 times that Google charged you 30 percent, did you actually 16 know that it was 15 percent? Or did you not know as of 17 September of 2023 that Google had lowered its rates? 18 one was it? 19 I incorrectly answered. I think all four questions were 20 asked back to back. I was mis- -- I had forgotten that that 21 22
 - change had happened at the time. It was not an intentional --So -- so your testimony is you actually knew before September of 2023 that it was 15 percent, but your testimony was just a -- a slip during the testimony; is that right? Yes.

24

- Q. Okay. So in fact, you did know that it was 15 percent since January of 2022, correct?
 - A. I had at least been aware of that announcement. I don't know if I had been aware of sort of when it went into effect.

 But, yes, there was a time when I had known that and I was -- my recollection was refreshed, I believe, by the lawyer in
 - Q. Okay. And so since January 2022, you've known about the discount and you've made the decision not to pass along that discount to your customers, correct?
 - A. We've made the decision not to revisit our pricing yet, correct.
 - Q. Okay.

that deposition.

- A. We had already discussed changing the pricing once we think that these -- these proceedings are over.
 - Q. Okay. Now, on direct examination, you said that the reason that the web prices are lower is primarily because you deal with lower processing fees. Do you recall that testimony?
- A. I do.
- Q. And I think you previously testified that the Google pricing as opposed to the web pricing is really supposed to reflect the revenue that comes to Down Dog because of the commission that Google takes, right?
- **A.** That sounds correct.

OLIVER - CROSS ' RICHMAN 1 Q. Okay. So let's take a closer look at your revenues from 2 the Google platform, the Apple platform, and the web platform. 3 Currently it's 39.99 for a yearly subscription, correct? 4 Correct. Α. 5 And it's 59.99 if somebody wants to subscribe within an 6 app, correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 It's a pretty big difference, \$20 difference, correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 All right. And we talked about your payment processing 11 fees. For the purpose of this, I'm going to take the median 12 of your 3.5 to 6.5. I'm just going to assume it's 5 percent 13 just to make the math a little bit easier. Okay? 14 A. You can. But most users are buying the annual 15 subscription on our website. So the lower end of that range 16 is the vast majority of the time what we're going to pay. But 17 I'm happy to use 5 percent for your questioning. 18 Q. Okay. So let's take a look at tab 13, and we will put 19 that on the screen as well. And I'll walk through this slowly 20 because there's a lot of information here. 21 THE CLERK: Excuse me, counsel. Is the 22 demonstrative --23 THE COURT: It can be published. There's -- they

will let us know if there's a confidential sealed document. And I take -- this has no number on it. So it's just a

24

```
1
       demonstrative?
 2
                         It's just a demonstrative, yes, Your Honor.
                MR. LO:
 3
                         (Demonstrative published.)
                THE COURT: Go ahead.
 4
 5
      BY MR. LO:
          All right, Mr. Simon. So let's start with the columns.
 6
 7
      And the first column is just the subscription price.
 8
      you'll see that it's 59.99 for the PlayStore or iOS second
 9
      year, and then it's 39.99 on the web. Do you see that, sir?
10
           I do.
      Α.
          Then let's move to the second column. And what has
11
       changed is that where you are paying a 15 percent commission,
12
13
      we've calculated Down Dog's proceeds, meaning that after
14
      Google or Apple takes a 50 percent -- 15 percent commission,
15
      you net $50.99. Do you see that, sir?
16
      Α.
          I do.
17
          All right. Now on the web, you're not subject to a
18
      15 percent commission, correct?
19
          Correct.
      Α.
20
          Okay. So what we did in the last column is we counted the
21
       5 percent payment processing fees. So 39.99 minus a 5 percent
22
      payment processing fee nets you 37.99. Do you see that?
23
      Α.
          I do.
          And so if you look at the last column, you can see how
24
```

much you net when you pay a 15 percent commission versus how

- 999 OLIVER - CROSS ' RICHMAN 1 much you net when somebody subscribes on the web. So it's 2 \$50.99 versus \$37.99. Do you see that, sir? 3 Α. I do. 4 So in fact, whenever somebody subscribes on the Google 5 Play Store, they're paying more, but you end up pocketing \$13 6 more when they do that than when someone subscribes on the 7 web, correct? 8 A. Correct. Again, we set this pricing when the commission 9 rates were 30 percent. 10 Okay. And in the over two years in which Google has 11 lowered its prices, you've decided just to hold on to those 12 prices, correct? 13 Yeah, perhaps naively we thought it wouldn't take two 14 years. 15 All right. Now, have you calculated -- let me back up. 16 Obviously Down Dog sets the prices on the web, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Down Dog also sets the prices in its native apps, meaning 19 the Android apps and the iOS apps, right? 20 Correct. Α. 21 You set the prices in both places? Q. 22 Α. Correct.
- low as you want in another place, you know, whether it makes 24

You could set them as high as you want in one place and as

- 25 economic sense or not, that's all up to you, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Have you calculated what the prices could be within the native app if you decided to pass along the discount to your customers?
 - A. I don't think I understand the question.
- **Q.** Sure.

- A. Why would there be a discount in the native app?
- Q. Exactly. If you wanted to pass along the discount in the native app to the customers instead of taking \$13 more every time somebody subscribes in the native app, have you calculated what the price to the end consumer would be? It wouldn't be 59.99, in other words.
 - A. We've -- we've loosely discussed lowering the in-app price from 59.99 to 49.99 to reflect a better sort of overall difference in commission, which would be a 20 percent reduction to accommodate for the 15-plus, sometimes 30. But that's not something that's ever been stated publicly. It's just discussions with my cofounder.
 - Q. So internally you have described -- you have discussed lowering the price from 59.99 to 49.99; is that right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Would it surprise you to learn that even at 49.99, you would end up making more money on the Google platform than on a web subscription?
 - A. No, it wouldn't. It's designed to be a 20 percent

```
OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN
 1
       reduction, and Android's is only 15 percent.
 2
           Okay. So let's take a look at tab 15 because we've done
       Q.
 3
       the calculation for you.
 4
                         (Demonstrative published.)
 5
      BY MR. LO:
 6
          So there's a little bit of algebra that went into this
 7
       which is beyond my means to explain, but suffice to say that
 8
       if you get to 44.69 for your native app subscription, that
 9
       nets you roughly the same amount as a web subscription.
10
       let me go through the math with you.
11
           Same thing in terms of what these columns mean.
12
       assume for the moment that you charge your PlayStore customers
13
       and iOS second years 44.69. You with me there?
14
      Α.
           Sure.
15
          So at that point, the price difference is only roughly $5
16
       and not $20 between the native app and the web subscriptions,
17
       correct?
18
       Α.
          Yes.
19
          And if we apply a 15 percent commission to 44.69, that
20
       would net you 37.98. Do you see that, sir?
21
          I do.
       Α.
22
                And then again on the web subscription, you're just
23
       subject to the 5 percent, and so you net 37.99. Do you see
       that, sir?
24
```

Yes.

- Q. Okay. And so it's 1 cent difference so it's not exactly identical. But the bottom line is you could lower your native app prices in Android and for second year iOS by \$15 and then end up in the same place revenue-wise as if someone subscribed on the web. You understand that?
 - A. If we're just talking about Android and using the 5 percent in this context is a little weird because we're talking specifically about the 39.99 price. But subject to those caveats, yes, correct.
- Q. Okay. Now on direct examination, counsel for Epic asked you if you raised the prices on the web, would you -- what would you expect to see in terms of the mix of web versus native app subscriptions. Do you remember that question?
- A. I don't remember that exact question.
- Q. Let me -- let me just ask the question brand-new then.

 If you had to raise your web prices and kept your iOS

 prices or your Android prices the same, would you expect there
 to be a shift in terms of the amount of people who subscribe

 on the web versus those who subscribe using an in-app purchase

 mechanism?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And which way would that shift be?
- A. I would expect more users to subscribe in the app if the web prices were higher and closer to those in the app.
 - Q. Okay. Now let's take the flip side of that. Let's assume

OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN that your web prices stayed the same, 39.99, but you lowered 1 2 your native app subscription prices from 59.99 to 44.69. 3 Which way would you expect the shift to be in terms of how customers choose to subscribe? 4 5 I would expect more customers to subscribe in the native 6 app. 7 Q. Okay. So if you had passed along these commissions 8 savings, you would expect that there would be more than 9 50 percent of people who choose to subscribe using the native 10 app, correct? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Okay. We discussed earlier that you were a member of the Coalition for App Fairness. You recall that, sir? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Have you told any other developers that they should try to 16 keep their mobile prices high relative to what they charge on 17 the web? 18 Not that I recall. 19 Okay. So if you were subpoenaed for texts and emails, 20 there would be no such communications between you and other 21 coalition members? 22 I only can think of one developer that I've talked to 23 about this. I'm not even sure they're part of the coalition.

Okay. Has anyone involved with the coalition suggested to

you that you should keep your mobile prices high relative to

24

```
Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 101 of 215
                                                                     1004
                          OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN
 1
       your web prices?
 2
           Not that I recall, no.
       Α.
 3
           Okay. So that decision was made purely by you internally?
       Q.
 4
       Α.
           Yes.
 5
           All right. By the way, have you had discussions with
       Q.
 6
       other developers about the external link entitlement at all?
 7
           I don't think so.
       Α.
 8
           Have you told anybody not to apply for the external link
 9
       entitlement?
10
       Α.
           No.
11
           Has anybody told you that?
       Q.
12
       Α.
           No.
13
           Okay. So, again, no texts or emails about that subject?
       Q.
14
       Α.
           No.
15
           And have you been preserving your texts and emails?
       0.
16
       Α.
           Yes.
17
           Okay. You would agree that the overwhelming majority of
18
       your subscribers consume Down Dog's content using a native
19
       app, correct?
20
           Yes.
       Α.
21
```

Okay. It's nearly a hundred percent; is that right? Q.

Α. No.

22

23

24

25

What percentage would you put it at? Q.

It's about 10 -- or about 90 percent use a mobile app primarily.

- OLIVER - CROSS 1 Q. Okay. Now you did mention on direct examination that 2 Down Dog's content also is accessible through a web browser, 3 correct? 4 Correct. Α. 5 They can access that content from a desktop computer using Q. 6 a browser, correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Now, you did mention that on a mobile phone, it's possible 9 to access the Down Dog content using a browser, correct? 10 You can access our website. You can't really access the 11 content itself. Right. So you haven't -- Down Dog, the company, hasn't 12 13 really put in the effort to make that content easily 14 consumable using a browser on a mobile phone, correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Your development efforts have been to improve the native 17 app experience for your customers, correct? 18 With respect to mobile, yes, correct. 19 Right. Because you know that the majority of your users, 20 90 percent, prefer to consume the content within the native 21 app, correct? 22 That's not really the only reason. It's more we want -
 - we want our customers to have access on all of their devices and we'd rather not put in effort to create an additional way to access it on their mobile phones if we think that the

24

- native app is sufficient, which we do.
- Q. Okay. But you have made a business decision to focus your
- development efforts for mobile users to use the native app as
- 4 popposed to accessing the content through a web browser,
- 5 correct?

- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 **Q.** And in providing the native app experience, Down Dog
- 8 benefits and uses Apple's technology when it's on the iOS
- 9 platform, correct?
- 10 **A.** Correct.
- 11 Q. And when it's on the Android platform, when it's providing
- 12 | native app experience Down Dog is utilizing Google's
- 13 technology and services to provide that experience, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 **Q.** So Down Dog benefits from being a developer for a native
- 16 app, correct?
- 17 **A.** Correct.
- 18 \parallel Q. And -- and you know that the customers like consuming
- 19 their content in the native app, correct?
- 20 **A.** Correct.
- 21 **Q.** Now, even though 90 percent of your subscribers consume
- 22 their content within a native app, whether its Android or iOS,
- 23 | far short of 90 percent of them actually result in a
- 24 commission payment to Apple or Google, correct?
- 25 **A.** Correct.

- Q. In fact, you testified on direct examination that right now only about 50 percent of your users actually subscribe in a way that results in a commission to Apple or Google, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So what you are seeking, sir, is not a commission structure that is proportionate to whether your users are using the native app experience or the web experience. That's not what you want, correct?
- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. You're not looking for a commission structure that would be proportionate to usage of the native app as opposed to the web app. And let me -- opposed a web browser. And let me clarify what that means.

If there was a commission structure that if a user consumes most of their content in the native app, you pay a commission regardless of whether they signed up for the subscription, but if they consume most of your content on a website, you don't pay a commission regardless of whether they signed up for the subscription or not; do you understand what I'm saying so far?

- A. I do.
- Q. You wouldn't want that structure, would you?
- A. I -- I don't think I've testified anything about that kind of structure. I think if it was that kind of structure, we

```
1
       would have to decide do we want to be on iOS at all or just do
 2
      mobile web.
 3
           Yeah.
 4
                Right. Because what you really want is a business
          Okav.
 5
       result where 90 percent of your users continue to consume the
      content within a native app, but you pay essentially no
 6
 7
       commission to either Google or Apple for any of those users,
 8
      correct? That's what would prefer.
 9
                I'd prefer that Apple lower their commission rates.
10
      And then I expect that they would get a lot of -- they would
11
      get a lot of the users purchasing within the app and they
12
      would get a commission.
13
      Q.
          Okay.
14
           It would be lower than 30 percent.
15
                THE COURT: Somebody has a phone on, and it needs to
16
      be off or you need to leave the room.
17
           Are you moving to a new topic?
18
                MR. LO: I am, yes.
19
                THE COURT: All right. I have a meeting in five
20
      minutes. So we're going to go ahead and take a recess until
21
       1:30.
22
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
                MR. LO:
23
                THE COURT: You are instructed not to have any
24
      discussion with any lawyer or anyone with respect to this
```

examination. You're still on cross.

```
OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN
 1
           We'll stand in recess.
                                   Thank you.
 2
                THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
 3
           (Recess taken at 11:55 A.M.; proceedings resumed at
 4
       1:57 P.M.)
 5
                THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated.
           All right. The record will reflect that the parties are
 6
 7
      present. The witness is on the stand.
 8
           You may proceed.
 9
                MR. LO: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
          Welcome back, Mr. Simon.
      Q.
11
      Α.
          Thank you.
12
          A couple of follow-up questions from this morning's
13
       session.
14
           First, you testified on cross-examination that you may
15
      have had one discussion with another developer. I think it
16
      was either about the prices or the entitlement. Do you recall
17
      that discussion?
          No, sorry, that was years ago before this trial even
18
19
      happened is the discussion I was referring to.
20
      0.
          Okay.
21
           I haven't had any discussions with developers about the
22
      entitlement or since that time.
          Okay. And the one that you did recall, who was that with?
23
          DHH is his Twitter handle. It's David something Hansson,
24
```

it's another H. But he's been a sort of vocal critic of

Apple's policies.

They, around the time that this trial started, had a new app, HEY, that was an email client that Apple was requiring them to add in-app purchases to. And so I sent him an email at some point when I think it was because Google started enforcing similar policies, and we had a brief exchange.

- Q. That was in the 2021 time frame?
- A. I think 2020.
- Q. Okay. And other than a couple of emails, any other communications with Mr. Hansson?
- A. No.
- Q. When we discussed the Google pricing and Google lowering its commission to 15 percent, you said -- one of the things you said was you wanted to see if things stabilized. Do you recall generally that discussion?
- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And one of the things you worked in was that Google was just found to be a monopolist; do you recall that?
- A. I do.
- Q. So when you say that you were waiting for things to stabilize, is it your expectation that, if anything, the Google commission is going to get further decreased or eliminated?
 - A. Yeah, I guess that would be my expectation.
 - Q. Right. In other words, you're not really expecting that

somehow as a result of the lawsuit and other factors, somehow you're going to end up paying more than 15 percent to Google that you do currently, correct?

A. That's not my expectation, no.

Q. Okay. So when you say that you're waiting for the Google

Q. Okay. So when you say that you're waiting for the Google situation to stabilize, the only thing that the stabilize -- stabilization might do is result in the commissions going even lower which would mean that your profits go even higher, correct? That's the direction that you would expect the commission and your profits to go, correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. So in the meantime, you're not concerned that if you give a discount to your customers, that somehow you will lose money because of that. The only question is are you going to make even more money going forward if Google's commissions are subject to elimination or further changes, correct?

A. The concern is that if we change our prices too frequently, it's confusing to users. So I agree with your — with what you're saying that we could lower them now and the expectation is we would only lower them further. But if we're going to lower them further, we would prefer not to lower them at all and change our prices when it's finally a time to change our prices and we can keep them that way for years.

Q. And in your mind, that pricing strategy is really for the

OLIVER - CROSS / RICHMAN 1 benefit of your users so that you don't confuse them; is that 2 correct? 3 There are costs to us as well of changing prices. takes developer effort to implement new prices. So it would 4 5 be work on our part. And I also think it's confusing to 6 users. 7 You testified earlier that you have roughly half a million 8 subscribers, correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 And roughly half of them use the Android platform? 11 Yeah, like 40 percent. Α. 12 Okay. So roughly 200,000 to 250,000 of those subscribers 13 are Android users, correct? 14 I believe that's correct. Α. 15 All right. So we went through the math earlier. 16 time somebody subscribes on Android currently, Down Dog is 17 making \$13 more on that subscription than if the user had 18 subscribed using the website. Do you recall that? 19 Yeah, I don't know that those numbers are exactly correct, 20 because you used a 5 percent number which is incorrect. But, 21 yes. 22 Okay. Using those numbers, \$13 multiplied by the number of Google subscribers you have, you're making approximately 23

and waiting for things to stabilize, correct?

24

25

\$3 million a year more by not changing the prices currently

```
- CROSS
                                         / RICHMAN
 1
           I wouldn't agree with that statement.
                                                   Any -- any
 2
      direction that we change our prices, whether it's higher or
 3
       lower, also changes the number of users who subscribe. So if
      we raise those prices, I would expect fewer total
 4
 5
       subscriptions, or vice versa. Sorry, I'm getting confused.
 6
      Can you repeat your question?
 7
      Q.
          Sure.
 8
      Α.
          My apologies.
 9
           Under the current system, by not changing the prices
10
       you're making $13 more per subscriber who comes in on Google
       Play as opposed to who comes in on the web, correct?
11
12
          Yes. I don't think -- again, I don't think the $13 number
13
       is exactly correct. But that is vaguely correct, what you're
14
       saying.
15
          But the amount extra you are making on the Google platform
16
      today by not passing on the commissions is roughly on the
17
       scale of about $3 million per year.
18
           I haven't done that math. I will trust you on it.
19
          Earlier this morning I asked you about the ability for
20
       your app to customize options once a user logs in. Do you
21
      recall that --
22
      Α.
           Do.
          -- testimony?
23
      Q.
           And one of the things you said was, well, we used to do
24
```

the customization through the dynamic URLs. Do you recall

that testimony?

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- We still do. Α.
- 3 And I think what you mean by that is that when somebody 4 goes to the website, you use a dynamic URL to log that person 5 into the website and therefore customize things for that user; is that correct?
- 7 That's not what I was referring to.
 - Then what were you referring to when you said you used the dynamic URLs to customize?
 - I was referring to the fact that from within the iOS app, without the user leaving to a browser, we make many internet requests back to our servers in the background using dynamic URLs, as almost every app in the App Store must.
 - Q. Okay. And in terms of a user who wants to click out, either using your old Google -- the old version of your Google app or if you were to use an entitlement, you agree that it would still be possible to customize the web experience using a static URL, correct? It's possible.
 - It's not possible to customize what they will see immediately upon visiting that URL. If they log in, then of course at that point we can customize.
 - Well, let's take it one step at a time. Number one, if they click out on the link and they are already logged in, then it is customized right off the bat, correct?

- A. We could choose to implement it that way, correct.
- Q. Okay. And if they are not logged in, once they log in on the website, you can customize that experience as well, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. And the reality, though, is that you don't really need to customize the experience for users who go from the app to the web, correct? Because we discussed earlier the majority of them go to the web simply to purchase a subscription after which they come straight back to the app and continue to use the native app. That's the majority of your users' experience in terms of clicking out to the web, correct?
- A. I don't think I understand how the first part of that was related to the second part. Can you repeat it?
- Q. Sure. The majority of users -- let's back up.
- When you had the link built into your Google app, you understood that the majority of users who clicked on that link to go out to an external website did so only for the purpose of making a subscription purchase, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. In other words, they were not clicking out on that link and then intending to consume your content outside of the app.
 - A. I don't think that was their intent primarily, no.
 - Q. Right. And so the customization for those particular

OLIVER - CROSS 1 users, would you agree, is not particularly important? 2 No, I don't agree with that. Α. 3 Well, you agree -- you believe that you want them to be 4 able to log in so that they are making the purchase for the 5 right account, correct? 6 That I agree with. Α. 7 Okay. But once they have logged in, they just need to 8 make a subscription purchase and then they're right back into 9 the app. That is the behavior of the majority of your native 10 app users, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. Q. 13 Now, let's talk about the log-in issue. 14 On direct examination, you said that the log-in adds a 15 step of friction and that people enter the wrong email address 16 more often than you would think. 17 Do you recall that testimony? 18 I do. Α. 19 All right. You haven't provided the Court with any data 20 as to how many of your 500,000 users actually have more than 21 one account, have you? 22 I have not provided that data, no. 23 Okay. And Down Dog offers customers support for its

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR (510) 565-7228

customers, correct?

We do.

24

- Q. And I assume you believe that Down Dog's customer support is timely.
 - A. I think there are periods when we haven't been good at it, but, yes, I would generally say that we have timely customer support.
 - Q. You strive to provide timely customer support.
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. You strive to provide responsive customer support.
- A. Of course.
- Q. So if a user actually had two accounts and purchased it for the wrong account, that's something you would try to resolve very quickly for that user, right?
 - A. Yes. That's actually the most common sort of serious customer support issue that we face.
 - Q. Right. And indeed you would have a financial incentive to quickly resolve that issue for the customer because especially if that customer is going to be a second-year subscriber, if you can resolve it and put them back into the same account, that's going to save you 15 percent on commission for an iOS user, correct?
 - A. Sorry. Can you say that again?
 - Q. Sure. You have -- Down Dog has a financial incentive to resolve a situation where a customer buys a subscription for the wrong account. Would you agree with that?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 115 of 215 1018 OLIVER - CROSS 1 Q. Because if they had one account that they did for the 2 first year and then somehow they did a second subscription, 3 then you might not get the benefit of the 15 percent commission rate for the second year? 4 5 We're talking about website purchases. We're talking Α. No. about a user purchasing on our website with the wrong account. 6 7 Ah. Fair enough. Okay. But even in that situation, you 8 would have a financial incentive to get them sorted out really 9 quickly, right? 10 Yes. I can tell you that users find this process very 11 frustrating. 12 Okay. But you've provided no historical data in your 13 declaration as to how often this has historically happened, 14 correct? 15 No. I can testify to seeing at least 300 cases of these 16 customer support messages myself. 17 Q. Okay, 300 out of 500,000 users. 18 Out of -- out of many. I don't do all of our customer 19 support, obviously.
 - Q. Okay. On direct examination, you gave a statistic, I think it was a 99.5 percent statistic relating to emails that you send to people in their free trial period. Do you recall that testimony?

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. It was actually an email they receive at the end of their free trial period.

And did I get the 99.5 percent number right? 1 Q. Ah. Okay. 2 Α. Yes. And what -- tell me again what the 99.5 percent number 3 4 represents. 5 So this was there were 1624 users who clicked on the link 6 in that email and eventually made a purchase on our website. 7 And of those 1624, only eight made that purchase more than 8 seven days after they clicked the link in the email. 9 Q. Okay. So let me just make sure I understand the facts. 10 THE COURT: Why? Why do we have to repeat testimony? MR. LO: Your Honor, I'm actually going to get to a 11 12 different point, but I want to understand what this number 13 stands for. I --14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. LO: I have no more than two more questions on 16 this, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Because now I'm hearing it the third 18 time. 19 MR. LO: I understand, Your Honor. 20 The 1624, there were more people who clicked on it than 21 the 1624, right? In other words, the 1624 are the ones who 22 clicked and then also made a purchase. 23 A. Correct. So there were people who clicked and then didn't make that 24

25

purchase.

A. Correct.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And this is an email that you sent near the expiration of the two- to three-week trial period, correct?
 - **A.** Immediately after the expiration, correct.
- Q. Okay. But you understand that that is not the time window that is at issue in this case, don't you?
 - A. Well, as I think I testified to, most of our users subscribe after their free trial is done. So it is the time period when I would expect this link in the app to be used.
 - Q. Well, let's go to your demonstratives, then. And let's go back to the Google example. And so that's your CDX-1.2.

(Demonstrative published.)

BY MR. LO:

- Q. You testified on direct examination that this is what your app looked like when you had the external linkout on Android, correct?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you track at that time how quickly people clicked on the 33 percent off from the time they downloaded the app?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Did you track -- well, let's go through your -- your subscription model. When somebody signs on to your app, they get a two- to three-week trial period, correct?
 - **A.** Correct.

And in fact, most of the users don't subscribe during that 1 Q. 2 to two- to three-week trial period. You would agree with me 3 on that? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Because you, Down Dog, actually encourage people don't 6 sign up right away, take advantage of the two- to three-week 7 free trial period, and then just sign up afterwards. 8 actually have that on your website, correct? 9 I'm not sure what you're referring to on our website. Α. 10 Okay. Let's take a look at tab 25. Q. 11 (Demonstrative published.) 12 BY MR. LO: 13 Page 23. This is the FAQs from the Down Dog website. 14 Are you with me? 15 Α. Yes. 16 All right. And the question -- the frequently asked 17 question that is posed on your website is: "Can I delay my 18 subscription start date? Answer: No. Subscriptions start as 19 soon as you purchase. If you are in a free trial period, you 20 may want to wait until the trial is over to purchase. 21 However, if we are running a special sale, this may mean 22 missing out on the best price. We know this can make it a 23 hard decision." 24 Do you see that, sir?

25

Α.

I do.

- Q. Okay. So in the absence of a sale, Down Dog encourages its users don't make the purchase right away, correct? Wait until your free trial is over.
- A. The reason we have this is we get customer support of people asking this specific question wanting to make sure that they can wait until their free trial is over and then purchase. So we're acknowledging that of course users may want to do that.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that your FAQ site suggests to users that they wait until after the two- to three-week trial period is over?
- A. The exact language is you may want to wait. I don't think that's actively suggesting that they do so.
- Q. Okay. Now going back to CDX-1.2, would you agree that for many users, when they first download your app, even though they are in a two- to three-week trial period, at least some of them are going to click through to the website first just to see what the prices are going to be afterwards? That's a reasonable behavior you would expect from your customers, correct?
- A. It's possible.

Q. Right. Because many people, even in a free trial, will know that if at the end of the free trial, it's something super expensive, a thousand dollars a month, then they're not going to go through with the free trial at all. So they're

```
OLIVER - CROSS
                                         / RICHMAN
 1
       going to take a peek at the pricing.
                                             You expect that type of
 2
      behavior, correct?
 3
      A. I wouldn't expect it because we've already labeled that
       it's 33 percent off. So I don't think they need to visit our
 4
 5
      website to know what the pricing is on our website. Surely
 6
       some users are going to do that. I would not expect users to
 7
      do that.
 8
         Okay. But do you expect users to check on the pricing
 9
      before the two- to three-week period expires, correct?
10
          I think it depends on what you mean by check on the
11
      pricing.
12
          We talked about using the external link entitlement in
      your sign-in page earlier. Do you recall that?
13
14
      Α.
           I do.
15
           If you put the discount on the sign-in page, you would
16
       expect that some people, when they sign up, even before the
17
      two- to three-week period starts, they're going to take a peek
18
      at your website and just see what the prices are afterwards,
19
      correct?
20
           If we put on that page, yes, I would not expect zero users
21
      to click on it.
22
      Q.
          Okay.
23
          That's correct.
      Α.
```

expect them to then actually make a purchase on the spot

Okay. And for those people who take a peek, you don't

24

25

Ο.

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 121 of 215 OLIVER - CROSS 1 because they're just about to start a two- to three-week trial 2 period, correct? 3 Well, just to be clear, they can't even take a peek. If they click the link, they're going to arrive on the log-in 4 5 page, and they haven't created an account yet. Okay. But they're -- if they create an account, well --6 7 (Simultaneous colloquy.) 8 BY MR. LO: That's your choice, correct? You could, on the log-in 9 10 page on the website also show them what the prices are. Yes, but we could not customize that for the user. 11 12 Well, your -- but you don't need to, sir. Your prices are 13 7.99, 39.99, or 19.99 on sale. And you can actually customize 14 that depending on whether you are running a sale or not, 15 correct? Those are our standard prices. We do offer different 16 17 price. For example, if a user had previously subscribed and 18 since unsubscribed, we will often offer them a discount. 19 That's a different price we might very much want to show that 20 user on the website landing page, and that's the kind of 21 customization that we cannot do with the static link. 22 Okay. But if they already had it, then they already have
 - a log-in correct?

24

25

Sure. I could imagine wanting to do customizations based on users being in different locations. But sure.

- Q. Sir, for your average user, if they clicked on the link

 from the sign-in or sign-up page, they can immediately go to a

 page where you show them what the current prices are for

 subscriptions, correct?

 A. Yes, assuming there is a single current price, yes,
 - Q. Okay. And there typically is a single current price listed for your U.S.-based customers on your website, correct?
 - A. Yes, although I want to add that if it was during a sale period, they may be seeing a sale price that is not going to be on offer by the time their trial is over.
 - Q. Sure. But you would let them know that.
- A. Sure.

correct.

- Q. Okay. So they would look at the prices at the time that they first open the app. At least some people will do so, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you would then encourage them here's the price but don't buy it right now unless we're running a special price, correct?
- A. No.
- **Q.** You wouldn't?
- A. I already said we said you may do that in the FAQ. I don't think we actively encourage people to wait.
- **Q.** If you wanted to avoid Apple's seven-day window, you would

```
1
       tell your users -- or you could tell your users on the website
 2
      take advantage of the free trial period and come back in two
 3
      to three weeks, correct?
 4
          If all we were trying to do was avoid Apple's commission,
 5
       yes, but we're also risking that these users might -- we're
 6
       losing some subscriptions by delaying people subscribing.
 7
       show, for example, this pop-up right away as soon as they
      create their account. We don't delay showing it until after
 8
 9
      the trial.
10
          Okay. But you have no data in terms of the people who
11
       clicked on the Google app link who go out in terms of how
12
      quickly they actually made a purchase, correct?
13
          Correct. I don't have that data.
      Α.
14
          Okay. And you understand that Apple's seven-day rule
15
       applies from the time that they click out from the link in the
16
       app, correct? That's the metric by which the seven days is
17
      measured.
18
                     That's why I did my analysis by measuring from
          Correct.
19
       the time they clicked the link in the email.
20
         No, sir. The time they click on the email, they're
21
       already on the verge of running out of their two- to
22
      three-week subscription, correct?
23
                          (Simultaneous colloquy.)
                THE WITNESS: That's correct but -- sorry.
24
```

BY MR. LO:

- Q. Let's just -- that is -- that is how your email is timed that you testified to, correct?
- A. That is how the email is timed. That's also how I expect we would time the external purchase link within the app.
- You're assuming that we would put in our log-in page. That is not something that we've ever discussed. Or I don't think we would want to do that. It would not be a good experience.
 - Q. Okay. But you could put your external purchase link in a way that leads people to go out right away. You could do that, correct?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. And you could remind people both on your website and in email that, look, come back in two to three weeks, take advantage of the free period. You could choose to do that, correct?
 - A. We could do that.
 - Q. And in fact, you could even remind your users, both on your website and through an email, when you come back, come straight to the website, don't click on that link again because it will just make me a little bit more money and you're helping out a small business. You could message that if you wanted to. Correct?
 - A. I believe that would be permitted, correct.
 - **Q.** Okay. And in that situation, if those users took you up

1 on your direction, meaning come back in two to three weeks, go 2 directly to the website, you would have avoided the --3 seven -- the seven-day window, correct? 4 Yes. I suppose we could just tell them to wait seven 5 days. There's no reason they have to wait for the trial to be 6 over, given what you're trying to say. 7 Q. Exactly. And indeed all developers with a 8 subscription-based model could offer their customers a free 9 window of trial and suggest that come back after seven days 10 after your trial runs out and come back directly so I make a 11 little bit more money. That's an option that's available to 12 all subscription-based developers, correct? 13 You could make those communications outside of the app, 14 correct. 15 Q. Okay. 16 MR. LO: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Redirect limited to the scope of cross. 18 MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 21 Mr. Simon, you were just asked a series of questions about 22 ways that you could structure the link to encourage people to 23 wait seven days and avoid the commission. 24 Would you actually consider structuring your app that way?

25

Α.

No.

- Q. Why not?
- 2 **A.** Generally we're trying to encourage people to subscribe.
- 3 The -- yeah, I -- I feel like that's obvious that we want
- 4 people to subscribe to our service.
- Q. So the gymnastics to avoid the commission is something
- 6 that would be attractive to you or not?
- 7 **A.** No.

- 8 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions this morning before
- 9 | the lunch break about testimony that you gave in -- in this
- 10 proceeding and in other proceedings. Do you recall that?
- 11 **| A.** I do.
- 12 **Q.** And some of the questions were about the amount that Down
- 13 Dog pays in the payment processing. Do you recall that?
- 14 **A.** I do.
- Q. And a lot of the questions had to do with variances in the
- 16 testimony 3.5, 3.65, and so forth. Do you recall that?
- 17 **| A.** I do.
- 18 \parallel Q. And I think the -- excuse me -- I think the suggestion was
- 19 that you were not truthful. Right? That's, I think, what --
- 20 did you understand that's what counsel was trying to get at?
- 21 **A.** I did.
- Q. Okay. Can we look at your actual testimony rather than
- 23 counsel's characterizations, please.
- 24 You have in your binder, tab 1, the binder that Mr. Lo
- gave you. And we can put this on the screen, too.

```
1
           This is your declaration in this matter, correct?
 2
           Yes.
      Α.
 3
          All right. And this was --
       Q.
 4
                         (Demonstrative published.)
 5
                MR. BORNSTEIN: Excuse me.
 6
           The source of some of the questioning on whether you said
       Q.
 7
       3.5 or something else, right?
 8
       Α.
          Correct.
 9
          All right. Can you look, please, at paragraph 32.
10
       on the bottom of page 8. It's also on the screen if that's
11
       helpful.
12
           Would you please just read what it was you actually
13
       testified the commissions were that you paid for processing.
14
           Do you want me to start at the beginning of this paragraph
15
       or just read the sentences.
16
           Just what's highlighted there.
17
          Okay. "Down Dog's website prices have always been lower
18
       than prices offered in its apps because we pay significantly
19
       lower processing fees for our website purchases, about 3-1/2
20
       to 6-1/2 percent for Stripe or PayPal payments on our website
21
       versus the 15 to 30 percent fee collected by Apple for in-app
22
      purchases."
23
          Do you consider 3.5 -- excuse me -- 3.65 to be about 3.5?
24
       Α.
          I do.
25
           Do you stand by the testimony that you gave in this
```

```
1
       declaration?
 2
      Α.
           I do.
 3
           All right. And what about in the Google trial. Why don't
 4
       we look at what you actually testified to in the Google trial.
 5
                         (Demonstrative published.)
 6
      BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
 7
           Do you recall when you were asked about the Google trial,
 8
       you actually told Mr. Lo you thought you testified "about
 9
       3 percent." And he moved on.
10
       Α.
           I do.
          All right. So is this on the screen here, from page 291
11
12
       of the transcript of the jury trial against Google, is this
       your actual testimony about the prices that you pay for
13
14
       payment processing?
15
           It looks to be that, yes.
16
          And can you -- I'll read the question, you can read the
17
       answer.
18
                And when your users sign up on the web using PayPal
19
       or their credit card, approximately how much does Down Dog pay
20
       to process those transactions?"
21
           What did you respond to that question?
22
           I answered: "It varies because it's structured, I
23
      believe, as like 30 cents plus a tiny percentage. But all in
       all, it ends up being roughly 3 percent."
24
```

Do you stand by that testimony too?

A. I do.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- Q. And can you just explain for a minute what you mean when you say that the percentage varies because of how it's structured?
 - A. Yes. Because it's a constant amount plus a percentage of the transaction, it's different based on the price of the transaction. And one thing I was trying to say earlier was that most of specifically the website purchase options are made for the annual price. So the -- I was primarily thinking about the I guess what is technically 3.65 percent number rather than the higher number.
 - Q. Excuse me.

You recall there were some questions about whether or not you had an incentive to shade the testimony in one way or the other in different proceedings?

- A. I do.
- Q. And the questions were that you had an incentive to go on the lower side in the jury trial against Google and in the higher side in the proceedings today; is that right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And if those were your incentives, Mr. Simon, you did a really bad job, didn't you?
 - A. You could say that.
 - Q. Well, I mean you -- you testified in your declaration "about 3.5 percent." That's less than 3.65, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

7

- Q. So did you move in the direction that Mr. Lo suggested you
- 3 might, or did you go in the opposite direction?
- 4 A. It was the opposite direction.
- 5 Q. Now, the fees that you do pay for Stripe, are they
- 6 publicly available, those numbers?
 - **A.** I believe so.
 - Q. Well, does Stripe have publicly available numbers?
- 9 A. Yes, they do.
- 10 **Q.** And do you pay the published price for Stripe processing?
- 11 A. Yes, I believe we do.
- Q. And is the same true for PayPal? Do you pay the published
- 13 price for processing for PayPal?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. So if there were any doubt about what your numbers were,
- anybody could go to the website for Stripe and PayPal and find
- out what those numbers are?
- 18 | A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. There were a couple of questions with charts and algebra
- 20 | that counsel put together. And I think the implication of
- 21 | those questions was that you make different amounts depending
- 22 on where a subscriber purchases, correct?
- 23 **A.** Correct.
- 24 Q. Now, all of those charts, were they done with iOS pricing
- 25 or were they done with Android pricing?

- A. They were done with, I guess, Android pricing because they were using 15 percent.
 - Q. Yeah, and if it were iOS pricing, what would the price be in the first year?
 - A. They would take a 30 percent commission in the first year.
 - Q. And would that substantially change the numbers that we were looking at?
 - A. Definitely.

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

- 9 Q. Okay. Incidentally, if someone under the new

 10 subscription -- excuse me -- purchase link program that Apple

 11 has, if the user clicked on the link and got a subscription at

 12 that point, what would the commission be that they would pay

 13 in the first year?
 - A. Sorry. Can you repeat that one time.
 - Q. Sure. Under Apple's program, someone clicks a link, they buy a subscription on your website after clicking the link, what's the commission in the first year?
 - A. 27 percent.
- Q. And what happens if that subscription auto-renews for the second and the third year and so on?
- A. I believe Apple is entitled to 12 percent in the following years.
- Q. And is that true even if the user never goes back and clicks the link again?
- 25 **A.** Correct.

- Q. It just continues on and on until the subscription somehow changes?
- A. Yeah, I believe it's true even if the user doesn't use the app within iOS at all anymore.
- Q. Now, there were some -- moving to a new topic. There were some questions about whether or not you could put the purchase link on the initial sign-in screen that people see the first time they pull up the Down Dog app. Do you recall that?
- A. I do.

- Q. And I think the implication there, the questions were if you did that, every user would see the link at least once, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you gave some testimony I want to give you the opportunity to amplify on. You said that people wouldn't follow the link and sign up before doing the practice. Can -- can you explain what you were talking about there?
- A. Yes. We've done a lot of experiments around specifically what's often referred to as the onboarding flow of how -- how a new -- what the brand-new user experiences.

And so throughout that we've -- we found out that, as one example, most apps that do free trials do auto-renewing trials where you actually sign up with in-app billing right away, and then you're automatically charged at the end of the free trial period.

We did an experiment testing that versus a what we call a truly free trial. And even though -- even though users wouldn't auto-renew, we found that we got more total subscriptions at the end of that because if you make them do an auto-renewing trial, a lot of users won't even try out the app.

So we have -- we have a lot of data that suggests t=at users try out the app, and then that's why they -- they purchase. We've also experimented with like how long the trial period should be.

So there's just a ton of data we have at this point suggesting that the users need to try out the app before most of them will purchase.

- Q. All right. So if Down Dog did have the purchase link on that -- that sign-in screen that counsel was asking you about, would you find that to be a useful structure for -- or useful design for getting people to click on the link?
- A. No. A very common pattern is that basically you want to do an onboarding flow and collect information from the user before you ever present pricing.
- Q. And if the link were in fact on that sign-in page, under Apple's rules, could it be anywhere else in the app?
- A. No. I believe the rule says it has to be on a single page. I actually think the rule says it has to be on a single dedicated page, if I'm not mistaken, which also would suggest

- it can't be on your log-in page. That's not a dedicated page to the purchase link.
- Q. Well, let's just hypothesize for a second that counsel was right that you could put it there, even if there might be some confusion in the -- in the guidelines.

Suppose a user had signed up and was in their free trial period, and they decided that they did in fact want to subscribe. What would that user have to do to get back to the link on the sign-in page?

- A. I suppose they could log out of the app or uninstall the app and reinstall it.
- Q. Is there any other way for them to get back to that sign-in page link after they've started their free trial?
- A. No.

- **Q.** What do you think about that as a user experience?
 - A. I think they're going to be very confused, and frustrated probably with us.
 - Q. There were some questions right at the beginning of your cross-examination -- or counsel's examination about whether you had data on how Apple's current external purchase link guidelines would affect user behavior. Do you recall that?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And you said you had something similar. What were you talking about?
 - A. I think mostly I was think --

```
1
                MR. LO:
                         My objection -- my cross-examination was the
 2
       lack of data. I don't think recross is the place to inject it
 3
       for the first time.
                THE COURT: Overruled.
 4
 5
                THE WITNESS: Would you mind repeating the question?
      BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
 6
 7
          Sure. In response to counsel's question about data, you
 8
       said "I have something similar," and I just want to give you
 9
      the opportunity to explain what that is that counsel didn't
10
      give you. What were you talking about?
          I think I was primarily referring to the data we've talked
11
12
       about on Android where we tested having the link and not
13
      having the link.
14
         Great. Thank you.
15
                MR. BORNSTEIN: I have no further questions, Your
16
      Honor.
17
                THE COURT: Recross limited to the scope of the five
18
      topics.
19
                MR. LO: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.
20
                THE COURT: All right.
21
           Sir, you're excused.
22
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
                THE WITNESS:
23
                THE COURT: Next witness.
24
                MS. MOSKOWITZ: Lauren Moskowitz for Epic.
25
           Your Honor, Epic calls Alec Shobin.
```

```
1
                THE COURT:
                             How do you spell the last name?
 2
                MS. MOSKOWITZ:
                                 S-H-O-B-I-N.
 3
                THE COURT:
                             Thank you.
 4
                MS. MOSKOWITZ:
                                 Thank you.
 5
                THE COURT:
                            Sir, please stand so you could be sworn.
 6
                THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, sir.
 7
 8
                                ALEC SHOBIN,
 9
       called as a witness by the plaintiff, having been duly sworn,
10
       testified as follows:
11
                THE CLERK: Thank you.
12
           Please be seated and speak clearly into the microphone.
13
       Please state your full name and spell out your last name for
14
       the record.
15
                THE WITNESS: My name is Alec Shobin, S-H-O-B-I-N.
16
                THE COURT: Good afternoon.
17
                THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
18
                THE COURT:
                            You may proceed.
19
                                 Thank you, Your Honor.
                MS. MOSKOWITZ:
20
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
       BY MS. MOSKOWITZ:
22
           Good afternoon, Mr. Shobin.
23
           Good afternoon.
       Α.
24
           Where are you employed?
       Q.
25
           Epic Games.
       Α.
```

- Q. And when did you join Epic?
- A. In January 2019.

- **Q.** And what is your current title?
 - A. Director of marketing.
 - **Q.** And what is your overall role or responsibility in that position?
 - A. I'm responsible for marketing the Fortnite ecosystem, as well as mobile growth.
 - Q. So let's take those in turn. Can you tell us a little bit more about your work in connection with the Fortnite ecosystem growth?
 - A. Yes. My team is responsible for marketing the latest events and products in Fortnite, making sure that players are engaged and entertained and aware of cool things that they might be interested in.
 - Q. Could you give the Court an example of a project that you've worked on in that area?
 - A. Yes. One of the recent things we worked on was the Item Shop, making it feel more premium. It hadn't been updated in many years. And with some of the new games launching in Fortnite, we wanted to make it easier for players to find different things that they're looking for and kind of highlight the cool things that might interest them.
 - Q. Can you remind the Court what the Item Shop is?
 - A. The Item Shop is where players can buy outfits and other

- Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 138 of 215 SHOBIN - DIRECT / MOSKOWITZ 1 kind of cosmetic items and dances that they can use in the 2 game. 3 And you also mentioned working on growing mobile for Epic. Did I get that right? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 And just to set the table, on what mobile or which mobile 7 platforms are Epic's apps available? 8 IOS and Android. Α. 9 So let's start with iOS. Which of Epic's apps are 10 available on iOS? We have Rocket League Sideswipe, among others, on iOS. 11 12 Rocket League Sideswipe. And I'll ask about that in a 13 moment. 14 We've all heard about Fortnite. Is Fortnite available on 15 iOS today? No. Fortnite was kicked off the App Store in August 2020. 16 17 Q. And has Fortnite returned since then? 18 It has not. Α. 19 Rocket -- you mentioned Rocket League Sideswipe. 20 Rocket League Sideswipe? 21 Rocket League Sideswipe is a multiplayer game where
- 22 players in race cars try to knock giant soccer balls into each 23 other's goals.
 - Does Epic offer in-app purchases on the Sideswipe iOS app?
 - We do not.

Q. Why not?

- 2 A. Epic disagrees with Apple's business practices around
- 3 monetization for apps in the App Store.
- Q. So let's talk about Android for a moment. Which of Epic's
- 5 apps are available on Android?
- A. In addition to Rocket League Sideswipe, we distribute
- 7 Fortnite on Android as well.
- 8 Q. And we may not be as familiar with how apps can be
- 9 distributed on Android. How does Epic make Fortnite available
- 10 on Android?
- 11 A. Epic allows players to download Fortnite directly from
- 12 Epic on Android.
- 13 **Q.** And does Epic distribute Fortnite any other way on
- 14 | Android?
- 15 **A.** No. We do not.
- 16 **Q.** Is it available on any stores, for example?
- 17 **A.** No.
- 18 | Q. Is it available on the Google Play Store?
- 19 A. No. It is not on the Google Play.
- 20 **Q.** And what is the Google Play Store?
- 21 **A.** The Google Play Store is basically Google's version for
- 22 Android distribution similar to the App Store.
- 23 **Q.** Does Epic also distribute Fortnite through Samsung's
- 24 Store?
- 25 \parallel A. That's correct. We do sell it through the Galaxy Store.

Q. Okay.

- A. Sell it, distribute it.
- Q. And going back to the first distribution method you mentioned, the directly from Epic's website. Can you explain for the Court a little bit more that process, what that is?
- A. Yes. We have a landing page for Fortnite that players can find by searching on Google or looking at our website. They then press a download button to initiate the process. And they quickly run into a number of scare screens that Google implements throughout the process that players need to navigate, checking various settings, allowing for the app to be distributed.

We see pretty significant dropoff when players are faced with these scare screens, even though they go to that page to download Fortnite, they're showing that intent, they still don't make it through the process because of these jarring screens.

Q. We'll come back to that. Let's talk about Apple a bit more here.

Are you familiar with Apple's app review guidelines?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And how have you had occasion to become familiar with Apple's app review guidelines?
- A. When Fortnite was distributed in the App Store, I was partially responsible for making sure that we could release

SHOBIN - DIRECT / MOSKOWITZ 1 updates, Fortnite updates, about every two weeks. 2 And so one of my responsibilities was being familiar with 3 the app review guidelines so that we could continue to update 4 Fortnite. 5 In your current role, even though Fortnite is not on the 6 App Store, do you continue to have exposure to Apple's app 7 review guidelines? 8 I do. Α. 9 And in what capacity do you continue to have that 10 exposure? 11 A. Working on mobile growth, I try to stay aware of any 12 changes to the app review guidelines, looking for potential 13 opportunities. 14 Are you familiar with the recent updates to Apple's app 15 review guidelines relating to external purchase link entitlements in the U.S.? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And when did you first learn about this update that they 19 were making to their review guidelines? 20 In January of this year. Α. 21 And in January, did you -- did you sit down and study the 22 details of those guidelines at that time? 23 No. Discussed them with the broader kind of mobile team.

Why didn't you sort of read them cover to cover and study

24

25

them at that time?

- A. Some of the other team members looked into it a bit more.

 We decided it wasn't an option for us to pursue.
 - Q. Did there later come a time where you did sit down to study the revised guidelines?
 - A. Yes. I wanted to be able to share my perspective on them here today. So I reviewed them much more in depth.
 - Q. And I think you just mentioned that the team had decided that they weren't going to take advantage of it, but I'll just ask you specifically: Is, to your knowledge, Epic considering implementing in-app purchases on Rocket League Sideswipe based on Apple's new external link?
 - A. No, we are not.
 - **Q.** Why not?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- A. We still disagree with Apple's business practices around making purchases through the external purchase link.
- Q. All right. Let's talk a bit more in detail about the external purchase link entitlement.

And if you could please turn to your -- in your binder to CX-13, which is in evidence and we'll also put it on the screen.

(Exhibit published.)

BY MS. MOSKOWITZ:

- Q. Have you reviewed this document before?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And what are we looking at here?

- 1046 SHOBIN - DIRECT / MOSKOWITZ 1 Α. These are Apple's app review guidelines. 2 And let's please turn to page 12 which is where Q. 3 section 3.1.1.(a) appears. Do you see where I am? 4 Α. Yes. 5 (Exhibit published.) 6 BY MS. MOSKOWITZ: 7 What is this provision? 8 This is where Apple introduces the external purchase link Α. 9 entitlement in the app review guidelines. 10 Is this where you, as a developer, would go to learn about 11 the actual requirements that the external purchase link must 12 comply with? 13 This is the starting point, but if you see the "Learn more 14 about these entitlements" section, entitlements is a link that 15 takes you to another page. That page then lists out a number 16 of different other links for different regions or countries. 17 And you need to find the link to the U.S. entitlement and then click through that for more information. 18 19 Q. All right. So let's -- let's go to CX-3. 20 (Exhibit published.) 21 BY MS. MOSKOWITZ: 22 Do you see that up on the screen?
 - Α. Yes.

- And have you reviewed this document before? 24
 - Α. I have.

SHOBIN -· DIRECT / MOSKOWITZ 1 Q. This is also in evidence, of course. 2 What is this document? 3 This is Apple's, I think it's like a developer blog where they tell more information about how to actually request the 4 5 entitlement and the associated rules. 6 And how do you get to this page? 7 As I mentioned before, in the app review guidelines, 8 clicking that entitlements link, going to that other page that 9 has a number of different links for other countries, finding 10 the link to the U.S., then that will bring you here. 11 Q. All right. So let's talk about some of the requirements that are found in here. And if we could start on page 5. 12 13 (Exhibit published.) 14 BY MS. MOSKOWITZ: 15 Starting on the bottom, do you see a section that's labeled "In-App System Disclosure Sheet"? 16 17 Α. I do. 18 And there's a picture of a screen that appears on the 19 right; do you see that? 20 Yes. Α. 21 What is this section of requirements conveying to you? 22 This is where Apple first introduces the scare screen that 23 they show whenever someone clicks the external purchase link.

And have you seen screens like this, not exactly like

this, but of this sort before in the context of your work at

24

Epic?

- A. This is similar to the screens that Google shows during the direct download process on Android.
- Q. And you mentioned it a bit, but just to come back to it.

 In your experience in connection with those screens that are imposed by Google, how have those screens affected the user experience of actually going through the installation flow on Android?
- A. Even though on Android players are showing the intent of downloading Fortnite by going to the landing page, clicking the link to begin that process, we see significant dropoff as soon as players see screens like this.
- Q. And just at a high level, we'll dig in, but at a high level what is your overall view on this screen popping up with the requirement that the screen be presented to users?
- A. It's kind of strange to me, honestly. I don't believe any screen is shown when people are making purchases of physical goods. I don't see a screen like this when I'm ordering an Uber or ordering something on Amazon, or making other web purchases.

So it's bizarre to me that people need to see a screen like this to make a web purchase when people have been making web purchases for decades now.

Q. And in terms of the screen itself, what it's presenting in here, do you have any views on the presentation of what the

screen looks like in addition to it being presented at all?

A. Yes. So I'm an iPhone user myself, have been for many years. And I actually haven't seen a kind of full screen interrupt like this for other things.

If you do location sharing, which is presumably very sensitive information, that's a much smaller screen that comes up with less words. Then I see just like the 50 percent of this is big bold text, and maybe 25, 30 percent of this screen is then much smaller text that you will have to read through, and a few buttons at the bottom. It's just a lot of information, and it seems unlike some of the other screens that I've seen in the Apple ecosystem.

- Q. And you had mentioned the screens that were -- or are presented on Android. Are those full-screen takeovers like this?
- A. No. They are smaller than this screen.
- Q. So the dropoff that you see in the Android screens are from screens that are smaller than this?
- A. Yes.

Q. Let's talk about the language itself. Let's start with the bold language.

Do you have any concerns as a developer of what's being communicated in this bolded language?

A. Yes. Where it says "Apple is not responsible for the privacy or security of purchases made on the web," that

```
immediately implies that purchases made on the web may have
 1
 2
      privacy or security issues.
 3
          And what is the concern to you as a developer for that
       implication?
 4
 5
          Like I said before, people have been making purchases on
      web for decades now. You know, I buy things on Amazon
 6
 7
       regularly, and I'm not usually concerned about privacy or
 8
       security. But by calling that out, it makes a much less kind
 9
      of neutral message, and you immediately kind of question the
10
      place that you're going to when you see something like that on
11
      the screen here.
12
          And let's move to the second half of the screen, the other
13
       language below the bold. Do you have any concerns about the
14
      messaging that's being communicated in this section of the
15
       screen?
16
          I do. Where it says, "Related features such as
17
       subscription management and refund requests will not be
18
       available," that's quite concerning because people offer, you
19
       know, refund requests and honor them on websites all the time.
20
      Q. And what about this language in your view is sending a
21
       different message to users?
22
          In particular, the refund requests will not be available,
23
       I think like me, as a consumer, I would immediately kind of
```

25

refund?

question like, oh, the place I'm going to or can I not get a

What's going on here? And I'll cancel out of this.

```
1
          All right.
                      Let's look at some of the other requirements.
 2
       Still on page 5 a bit above this screen, there's a section
 3
       "Style and Icon." Do you see where I am?
 4
                            (Exhibit published.)
 5
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
 6
      BY MS. MOSKOWITZ:
 7
          And what are the requirements that are being communicated
 8
      here?
 9
          This is where Apple is talking about what the link must
10
       look like.
                  They're characterizing it as what they call a
11
      plain button style, and they show these among other, quote,
12
      unquote, button styles in the human interface guidelines.
13
          But it does go on to say here that it can't be enclosed in
14
       shape that uses a contrasting background fill. The background
15
       surrounding the text must match the background of your apps
16
             That means that what you're showing is basically just
       text and not a button. And so it's like a link.
17
18
          And why, in your view, is that not a button?
19
          When we make buttons in Fortnite, we usually have some
20
       kind of, you know, big bold shape around them. We have some
21
      kind of color in the background of the button that's different
22
       from the background of the app. That's what players in
23
       Fortnite are generally looking for when they want to interact
      with something or navigate a page, they look for a button.
24
```

So if you had the choice, what would you want this

- SHOBIN DIRECT / MOSKOWITZ 1 external purchase link to look like if you were going to 2 deploy it? 3 I would make it look like a button. Like I said, we 4 typically have some kind of, like, big bold rectangle around 5 text in the center, and then maybe yellow in the background of the button. That's pretty normal for our ecosystem. 6 7 Do you have any concerns with how users might react if you 8 did not have it look like every other button in Fortnite but 9 looked like a plain link? Yes. I don't think we have many, if any, just like text 10 links in Fortnite itself. And so, you know, like I said, 11 12 players are normally looking for some kind of button, maybe a 13 yellow button. And so they may not see this. If they do see 14 it and it's just like a link on a page, it may look like some 15 kind of hack or something that wasn't intended to go there 16 because it doesn't really align with sort of our branding and 17 our normal UI. 18 Do you have personal experience at Epic with using buttons 19 versus links? 20 I do. Α. 21 Can you tell us a bit about that experience. 22 Yes. We were recently taking a look at the landing page 23 that you can go to on web to download Fortnite. There are a
 - lot of different options there previously. Fortnite, in addition to being able to directly download it on PC, for

example, you can also play it on a number of different streaming services.

And we wanted to, for the most part, majority of players are looking to access it by downloading it directly from us instead of using the streaming services, which will either require some kind of log-in or maybe a subscription to them. And so they're less used.

And so in order to kind of help players find what they were looking for, this direct download, I asked the team to turn that into kind of a big yellow button in the middle of the page and deprioritize the lesser used options with a kind of link to more ways to play. So they could still find if that's something that they're looking for, but this was generally to make the process easier for players that were looking to find how to download Fortnite.

- Q. So when you wanted to deprioritize options, what style do you use?
- A. I asked the team to just make it look like a link.
- Q. And based on your experience, how do you expect your users would react to seeing the link -- a link in lieu of a button for purposes of making a payment?
- A. I think firstly they probably wouldn't see it because it's not something they're looking for. They're looking for these yellow or blue buttons in most cases. And then if they did see something like this, it would really not look consistent

```
1
       with rest of our UI, the different screens in the game.
                                                                 Ιt
 2
      might look like, you know, Fortnite was hacked or something
 3
       like that if they saw something that was so out of place as to
       just be a link.
 4
 5
          And just to follow up on one term in there, "UI" refers
      to...?
 6
 7
          User interface.
      Α.
 8
          Let's look at the templates requirement which is also on
 9
      page 5. What is your understanding of the requirements set
      forth here?
10
          This is where Apple is requiring specific language to be
11
12
      used around the links to the external purchase page. I think
13
      there's five to seven different options. It's kind of unusual
14
      to me because as far as I know, Apple doesn't have
15
       requirements about specific language that appears in apps and
16
       any other kind of scenario.
17
          What is your view on the restrictions here on these sort
18
      of options that they're allowing or requiring you to -- to
19
      comply with?
20
          It's a little bit generic. It's not really custom
21
      tailored to each, you know, what developers might be offering.
22
      For instance, Epic offers Epic rewards when people make
23
      purchases through our website today.
           Based off of this, well, you can say things like lower
24
```

prices offered or get X percent off. Normally, when we're

SHOBIN - DIRECT MOSKOWITZ 1 promoting things in our web purchase flow, we really 2 emphasize, you know, I think the 5 percent or more Epic 3 rewards that you get when you make a purchase. And so that's exactly the kind of thing that we would want 4 5 to couple with this external purchase link, but we would not 6 be able to. 7 So would you be able to say, "For five percent Epic rewards go to our website," under these requirements? 8 9 Α. No. 10 Let's talk about yet another requirement. The Court has heard a bit about, so we'll cover it briefly, page 4 has a 11 12 list of requirements, and I'm going to talk about the fourth 13 one. Do you see where I am? 14 Α. I do. 15 And what is this fourth bullet, "The link must be statically defined" requirement conveying to you? 16 17 This is saying that the external purchase link must lead 18 all players basically to an identical page that's completely 19 generic and doesn't necessarily factor in any of their current 20 experiences in the game, like filtering out purchases that 21 they already made, for example. 22 And what's wrong with that? 23 People could click this and then go to a page where they

would see things that they already purchased. They could get They could attempt to purchase it again. I could

24

```
1
       see all kinds of issues coming up from that. Normally we try
 2
      to remove that from any screens if they've already bought
 3
       something so they don't attempt to buy it again.
          So if you had a choice, what type of link would you
 4
 5
      provide in an external purchase link in lieu of this
       statically defined link?
 6
 7
          We would want to use some kind of dynamic link. Players
 8
       are already logged in to Fortnite using their Epic Games
       account. Naturally if they're going to another page to make a
 9
10
      purchase, they would likely -- I mean, me as a user would want
      to be automatically logged in to that page so it took into
11
12
       account my previous purchases.
          And sticking with this list, I'll go to another
13
14
       requirement, the last one. This says that the link must not
15
      be displayed on any page that is part of an in-app flow to
16
      merchandise or initiate a purchase using in-app purchase.
17
      you see that?
18
      Α.
          I do.
19
          And what's your view of this requirement?
20
          This is extremely confusing to me. It's saying that you
21
      can't have this link in an in-app flow to merchandise. But in
22
      Fortnite, there's really two screens that players know to
23
      navigate to to make purchases. They're the Item Shop that I
      mentioned before, or they would go to the V-Bucks page.
24
```

If we aren't allowed to include this link on any of those

- · DIRECT / MOSKOWITZ pages, I don't even know that players would know to find it. 1 2 I doubt that they would know that there's kind of different 3 purchasing flows that they could use or be able to make that 4 comparison accurately. 5 In your experience, where would you put, if you had a choice, an external purchase link? 6 7 I'd want to show something like this side by side with 8 where they're making the other purchases, either in the Item 9 Shop or the V-Bucks page. 10 And are you allowed to do that? 11 Α. It appears not. 12 And separate from the purchase link and the link 13 requirements we've talked about, does Apple allow developers 14 to include within their app other calls to action that talk 15 about alternative payment mechanisms? 16 As far as I know, they don't. 17 Would Epic like to be able to have calls to action inside 18
 - the app that talk about alternative payment methods that don't also at the same time include a link to that website?
 - We would. Α.

20

21

22

23

24

- Can you give me an example of how that might arise?
 - Yes. One of the things I work on is our kind of Crew subscription product. Each month people can buy a pack and they'll get a new outfit from that pack. We usually start advertising and promoting that outfit with a big reveal about

five days before it becomes available for purchase.

We do this every month just in our message of the day,

make the purchase in several days, and then they'll be able to

revealing this outfit to players, telling them to get ready to

unlock it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you can tell them about that in the app today, right?

 That would be -- well, if you had an app, you would be allowed to tell them that today, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Would you be able to tell them about going to the website to make a purchase of that Crew subscription to get some sort of Epic rewards; could you talk about that?
- A. Sounds like not without the link to that website present there. And that's exactly what we would want to do, you know. This new outfit is going to be available for purchase in five days. Go to our web store to get Epic rewards when you purchase it there.
- Q. I just want to turn briefly to the commission that Apple is going to charge. Are you familiar with the fee that Apple is imposing on these external purchase link entitlements?
- A. I am.
- Q. And how much is Apple charging for that?
 - A. They're charging 27 percent.
- Q. And do you have an understanding as to the time period in which Apple will be collecting that commission?

- A. They collect that commission on all purchases made within seven days of someone clicking that link.
 - Q. And what is your understanding of how that seven-day period would operate in practice?
 - A. As many purchases as someone makes, after clicking that link, Apple would collect the 27 percent commission on it.

 Even if those purchases weren't necessarily a result of clicking that link, if they, you know, saw an ad on our social media channel several days after clicking it, and they happened to open that page again and make a purchase, they will still collect the commission on that.
 - Q. And does Apple collect the 27 percent only for one purchase made within those seven days?
 - A. No. It would be for all purchases.
- Q. And for apps that Epic has, do users of those apps or games sometimes make more than one purchase in a week?
 - A. They do.

- Q. And with respect to Epic's apps and games, do users of those games sometimes play on more than one platform in seven days?
- A. They do. Fortnite is available on a number of different platforms.
- Q. So if a user had an iOS app and also plays on their PC, for example, and they click on a link on the iOS app, but then for the rest of the week they play and buy on their PC, does

```
1
       Apple collect 27 percent on each of those purchases?
 2
           They would.
      Α.
 3
           To your knowledge, does Epic plan to incorporate this
 4
      external purchase link into any of its iOS apps in the U.S.?
 5
      Α.
          We're not considering it.
 6
          Why not?
      Q.
 7
          We continue to disagree with Apple's business practices
 8
       around this. The 27 percent remains prohibitive, especially
 9
       compared to the 30 percent that they were charging for in-app
10
      purchases.
           And as somebody who works on UI, the limitations around
11
12
      where you can show this, what it will look like, I don't even
13
      think players would be able to find it in the game and know
14
      that it was another option. So it would not be worthwhile for
15
       us.
16
                MS. MOSKOWITZ: Pass the witness.
17
           Thank you, Mr. Shobin.
18
                THE COURT: Cross?
19
                MR. LO: May I proceed?
20
                THE COURT: You may proceed.
21
                MR. LO:
                         Thank you.
22
                             CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
      BY MR. LO:
24
           Good afternoon, Mr. Shobin.
25
           Good afternoon.
       Α.
```

1061 SHOBIN - CROSS / LO 1 Q. You mentioned earlier that there is a game called Rocket 2 League on the iOS App Store. Did I catch that correctly? 3 Rocket League Sideswipe. Α. 4 Sideswipe. That game is distributed by a subsidiary of Ο. 5 Epic Games called -- is it Psyonix? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And Epic Games, the parent company, as you noted, is not a 8 current developer for iOS, correct? 9 We don't have any other apps in the App Store, as far as I 10 know. Right. Well, and -- and Epic Games, as far as you know, 11 12 is actually not an approved developer for iOS currently; is that your understanding? 13 14 I don't actually know the approval status there. 15 0. Okay. 16 Now, you mentioned in direct examination that you have 17 currently no plans -- or Epic currently has no plans to 18 utilize the external link entitlement, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 All right. 0. 21

Now, if Epic were to utilize it, one of the places it would likely send users to is the Epic Games Store. That's a website that is already set up to accept commerce for Epic, correct?

We do have a website where people can make purchases of

22

23

24

```
V-Bucks.
```

- Q. Right. And that's -- that is part of the Epic Games

 Store, as you call it, correct?
 - A. I think it is a component of that.
 - Q. Okay. And so if the rules were altered in a way that Epic found acceptable, it would send users potentially from a native app to the portion of the Epic Games Store where they could buy V-Bucks, correct?
 - A. We would send them to a website that uses that payment processing where they could choose to buy V-Bucks and likely offer the Epic rewards for those purchases.
 - Q. Right. Because on the Epic Games Store website currently you're obviously not paying a commission to any third parties to process payments, it's just whatever Epic's payment processing fees are, correct?
 - A. I don't know all of the details there.
 - Q. Okay. Has Epic made any efforts to discourage developers from using the external link entitlement?
- **A.** Not that I'm aware of.
 - Q. Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Sweeney publicly announced on the day that Apple announced the external link entitlement that Epic will contest Apple's bad faith compliance plan in District Court?
 - A. I did not know that.
 - MR. LO: Okay. Let's pass out some binders.

```
1
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
 2
                THE COURT: You may approach.
 3
                              Thank you.
                THE WITNESS:
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. And then do you have -- there we
 5
       go.
 6
           Thank you.
 7
      BY MR. LO:
          Are you aware that Mr. Sweeney previously tried to get
 8
 9
      companies such as Valve to take actions that might force Apple
      to reduce its commissions?
10
          I'm not familiar.
11
      Α.
12
          Okay. Let's take a look at tab 38.
13
                         (Demonstrative published.)
14
                THE WITNESS:
                              Okay.
15
      BY MR. LO:
          Tab 38 is an email from --
16
17
                MR. LO: Go ahead.
18
                MS. MOSKOWITZ: Objection, Your Honor.
19
      trying to object to you approaching the witness. The mics
20
       aren't working at the table. I apologize.
21
           Foundation. He's nowhere on this email. He just said he
22
      wasn't aware. We're going to be going through a Tim Sweeney
23
      cross-examination, it seems, through Alec Shobin. It doesn't
      seem appropriate.
24
25
                THE COURT: All right. Let's -- give me the exhibit
```

```
1
      number again.
 2
               MR. LO: It's tab 38, Your Honor. And I will attempt
 3
      to lay the foundation.
 4
                THE COURT: All right. Let's hear the question.
 5
                MR. LO: Okay.
 6
          Sir, have you seen this email before?
      Q.
 7
          (Reviewing document.)
      Α.
 8
           I don't believe so.
          Okay. Back in 2017, this email actually received some
 9
10
      press coverage because it was disclosed as part of the
      exhibits. During that period, were you aware that Mr. Sweeney
11
12
      emailed to Mr. Newell of Valve in an attempt -- well, well,
13
      this particular email. That's let's start there.
14
               MS. MOSKOWITZ: Objection.
15
                THE COURT: Overruled.
16
          Were you aware or not?
17
                THE WITNESS: I was not. I was not working at Epic
18
      at this time, either.
19
      BY MR. LO:
20
      Q. Okay.
21
          Let me show you one particular statement on this, and I'm
22
       just going to ask you whether you agree or disagree with a
23
      particular statement.
                THE COURT: So, no, you're not. I'm not going to
24
25
      have you do that as a back door to get something in. Ask a
```

Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 162 of 215 1065 SHOBIN - CROSS / LO 1 question. 2 MR. LO: Sure. 3 Sir, do you have a view as to whether large developers 4 such as Epic should get a different set of restrictions from 5 smaller developers? I think developers should have the same kind of terms. 6 7 In other words -- and this is a term that's not my Okay. 8 In other words, you don't believe that the small 9 developers, quote, unquote, little people, should somehow be 10 subject to -- subjected to more strenuous restrictions in 11 terms of their apps on the iOS or the Android platform than 12 larger developers, correct? You think everybody should be 13 subject to the same rules. Would you agree with that? 14 Similar rules make sense to me. 15 Okay. And do you have an understanding as to whether 16 Mr. Sweeney has the same philosophy, meaning that everybody 17 should have the same set of rules? Do you have an 18 understanding one way or the other? 19 I don't know with certainty. I assume he does. 20 Okay. Has Epic had any conversations with other 21 developers outside of Epic about whether they intend to use 22 the external link entitlement? 23 I don't believe so. Α.

24

25

Okay. Let's turn to -- it was in your original binder, but we'll put it up on the screen, CX-3.5. And that's the

```
1
       disclosure sheet.
 2
                            (Exhibit published.)
 3
                THE WITNESS: (Reviewing document.)
 4
      BY MR. LO:
 5
           It's also on the screen which will probably frankly be
 6
      easier to read on the screen, sir.
 7
      Α.
          I see it.
 8
          Epic does not have any data about how actual users are
 9
       going to react upon seeing this disclosure sheet, right?
10
      You've done no study.
          This is only based on my professional experience working
11
12
      with other web flows and showing player screens. I don't have
13
      data or a specific study on this screen, no.
14
          Sure. And you can't tell the Court, for example, what
15
      percentage of users who encounter this screen will click
16
       "Continue" as opposed to "Cancel"? That's not data you have,
17
       correct?
18
          I don't have data on this specific screen, but knowing how
19
      players interact with these screens on our Android web flow, I
20
       suspect a significant amount of people would cancel out of
21
      this, myself included.
22
      Q. Okay. But that's your suspicion. You have no data on
23
      that, correct?
           That's my professional experience.
24
25
                Do you have any data as to the reasons why people
           Okay.
```

1 would click "Cancel" upon seeing this specific screen?

- A. Like I said, I don't have data on this specific screen.

 But when you show someone a jarring screen that has a lot of text on it taking over the full screen like this, I assume that even more people would turn back than they do in our Android flow.
- Q. Well, you testified on direct examination that this screen suggests that the website that the person is going to may not be private or may not be secure. Do you remember that testimony?
- A. Yes.

- Q. You have no actual data as to actual users that have responded to you, saying, yeah, I saw this thing and it really made me think that the website I was going to was insecure or not private. You have no data on that, do you?
- A. I've not asked individuals what they think of these sentences, but in my experience and just seeing this myself --
- Q. Sir, you have no data, yes or no.
- A. No data.
 - Q. Okay. And you have no data in terms of whether this disclosure sheet might tell some users something that they didn't already know, you don't have data on that one way or the other, correct?
 - A. I've not asked any users what they make of this information.

SHOBIN - CROSS / LO Okay. For example, in the small print, the first sentence 1 Q. 2 says, "Any accounts or purchases made outside of this app will 3 be managed by the developer. Example." 4 Do you see that statement? 5 Α. I do. 6 You have no data as to whether actual users might read 7 that and realize for the first time, oh, Apple's not going to 8 manage the accounts or purchases. You don't have any data one 9 way or the other as to whether that might be new information 10 for actual users of apps, correct? 11 I think if you're going to a website to make a purchase, 12 you'll assume that whoever runs that website is --13 Q. Do you --14 -- maintaining that. Α. 15 0. Do you have data on whether all users know that or not? 16 Α. I certainly don't have data on all users --17 Q. Okay. 18 -- what they think. Α. 19 You would agree that some users probably don't know that 20 when they're clicking out, that the accounts or purchases made 21 outside of the app will be managed by the developer; you would 22 agree that at least some users might not recognize that, 23 correct? 24 I think most people in the world have been making

25

purchases on the web for decades now. I would be surprised if

1 people found this as new information. THE COURT: Most people in the world? Really?

THE WITNESS: That would be in America.

BY MR. LO:

That's what you want to say?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Sir, let's start with the basics. Do you agree that in the context of an external link, meaning going from an app, tapping on something, and then going out, at least some users might not recognize that any accounts or purchases made outside of this app will be managed by the developer? agree that at least some people might find this to be new information? Or do you think a hundred percent of people already know this?
- I think if you're going to a website and making a purchase, you assume that whoever's maintaining that website is responsible for that.
- Okay. So your testimony is that you believe that a hundred percent of the people who encounter this disclosure screen understand the information that is highlighted on the screen. That's your belief, correct?
- I mean I'd say that I don't think this would be new information to the vast majority of people that see this.
- So is it a hundred percent or is it not a hundred percent? That's my question.
 - Maybe a small fraction of people are not familiar with

```
But, again, like I don't have data on how everyone
 1
       this.
 2
       interacts with the web.
 3
                But you're basing your testimony on your
 4
      professional opinion. Your professional opinion is that at
 5
       least a small portion of people will find the highlighted
      passage to be new information, correct?
 6
 7
      Α.
          Potentially.
 8
          Okay. Now, let's put those aside. Let's talk about what
 9
       you believe to be the majority.
10
          You believe that the majority of people who tap on the
11
       link understand that any accounts or purchases made outside of
12
      this app will be managed by the developer, correct?
13
          Fortnite already manages purchases and manages its own
14
      accounts so --
15
          Sir, correct or incorrect?
16
          Can you repeat the question?
17
          Sure. You believe that the majority of people who would
18
       click on an external link, as dictated by the Apple
19
      quidelines, would already know this information that is
20
      highlighted on the screen that any accounts or purchases made
21
      outside of this app will be managed by the developer, correct?
22
          Yes, I would think so. You're clicking on a link that's
23
      going to a website, it's then leaving the app, you're going to
       another page. I think people would be able to understand
24
```

that.

- SHOBIN -- CROSS / LO 1 Q. Okay. Putting aside everything else that is on the 2 screen, would you agree that if the majority of people already 3 know that the purchases or accounts will be managed by the 4 developer, then a reminder of something that they already know 5 is not going to change their mind; would you agree with that? (Reviewing document.) 6 Α. 7 Can you clarify? 8 What don't you understand? Q. 9 Repeat the question. Α. For those people that you believe know ahead of 10 time that if I tap on this click -- if I tap on this link, the 11 12 accounts or purchases will be managed by somebody else. So if 13 we then show them just this text, putting aside everything 14 else on the screen for now, that's not going to change their 15 mind, right, because you're just telling them something they 16 already know. 17 I think that sentence in and of itself would not change 18 their mind. 19 Okay. So if a person knew this, then saw this particular 20 sentence, they're going to click "Continue" under your 21 professional opinion because we're just telling them something 22 they already know, correct? 23 I mean I think they're more likely to cancel out of this
 - 2. Sir, I'm -- I'm limiting my question to just one portion

because of everything that's going on here with the --

24

```
at a time. You'll have your opportunity to talk about other
 1
 2
       portions of it.
 3
           With respect to whether accounts or purchases will be
 4
       managed by the developer, your testimony is that the majority
 5
       of people know that. And so if they know that, then seeing
 6
       this is not going to suddenly make them cancel, correct?
 7
          I don't think that this sentence in and of itself would
 8
       cause someone to cancel.
 9
       Q.
          Okay. Good.
10
           Then let's take the next sentence: Your App Store account
       store payment method and related features such as subscription
11
12
       management and refund requests will not available.
13
           Do you see that sentence?
14
       Α.
          Yes.
15
          First, is it your belief that 100 percent of people who
16
       are going to click on the link, the external link, already
17
       know that fact?
18
           (Reviewing document.)
19
           Well, I mean, through our web purchase flow --
20
                          (Simultaneous colloquy.)
21
       BY MR. LO:
22
          Yes or no, sir.
23
           -- still -- can you repeat it again?
       Α.
                  Is that information in that sentence that starts
24
       Ο.
25
       with "Your App Store account," in your professional opinion,
```

```
1
       does everybody who clicks on an external link know the
 2
       information in that sentence? Yes or no?
 3
           I think they'd be confused by that sentence.
      Α.
 4
           Do they know that information or do they not?
      0.
 5
      Α.
          (Reviewing document.)
 6
           I can't really say.
 7
      Q.
           Okay.
 8
          But --
      Α.
 9
           I'll take that. For those who don't know that
10
       information, would you agree that it's helpful to provide that
11
       information for them so that they can make an informed
12
      decision?
13
           I think it's confusing the way that it's calling out
14
       refund requests, saying those won't be available. Fortnite
15
       offers refunds today. We have a customer service team that
16
       can handle that kind of thing. Furthermore you're not using
17
       your App Store account anyway, you're using your Fortnite
18
       account. So that's somewhat irrelevant.
19
           Sir, I'm not asking you if it's relevant or irrelevant.
20
      For those people who don't know that this is going to be the
21
       case, would you agree that it would be good to let them have
22
      that information before they leave the native app? Yes or no?
23
      Or you don't have an opinion on it one way or the other.
           I mean if someone was opening a website to begin with --
24
```

No? Or you don't have an opinion one way

25

Sir, yes?

Yes?

1 or the other? 2 I'm sorry. Can you repeat the exact question again? Α. 3 Sure. For those people who don't know the information in 4 that sentence that starts with "Your App Store account," would 5 you agree that it would be a good thing to give them that 6 information so they can make an informed decision? Yes, no, 7 or you have no view on that one way or the other? 8 I mean people should be able to make an informed decision. Okay. And I take it that your answer is the same as the 9 previous sentence which is that if that sentence tells users 10 something they already know, then they're just going to hit 11 12 "Continue," right? They're not going to cancel by seeing information that they already know. Would you agree with 13 14 that? 15 Personally seeing the "Refund request will not be 16 available" line in its own, I would cancel out of this. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Would you agree that if the message simply informed the 19 user that the refunds would have to be processed by the 20 developer, that that's not going to cause anybody to un- --21 unjustifiably click "Cancel"? Would you agree with that, if 22 the statement was more neutral? 23 Neutral language could be helpful. Α. Okay. And so if -- I know you dispute whether this 24

language is neutral. But you don't have a problem with

```
SHOBIN - CROSS / LO
 1
       telling people who are about to tap out of the app that, hey,
 2
       your refund is going to be -- is going to be handled by Epic
 3
       Games. You don't have a problem telling users that, correct?
           It still seems strange that you need to say this at all
 4
 5
       because you're not saying this about Uber --
           Sir.
 6
       Q.
 7
           -- you're not saying that about Amazon --
 8
          Sir, do you think it is a bad idea to let people know that
 9
       when they tap out of the app, that the refunds are going to be
10
       clicked -- are going to be handled by Epic Games. Do you
       think that's a bad idea?
11
          I'm just really not sure it's necessary. People have been
12
13
       making purchases on the web, on their phone for a long time
14
       now.
15
          Well, do you believe that every single person who taps out
16
       knows that the refunds will now be handled by Epic Games; is
17
       that your opinion?
18
           I mean I know that when I purchase something --
19
       Q.
          Sir.
20
          -- through Uber --
21
       Q.
          Yes?
22
           -- I need to contact Uber --
       Α.
23
           Sir, yes or no, is it your view that 100 percent of
```

by Epic Games or whomever the developer is, yes or no?

24

25

individuals who tap out know that the refund will be handled

1 I mean it's hard to say that because I don't know of a 2 single app that's using the external purchase link. People 3 haven't gone through this flow before but --4 (Simultaneous colloquy.) 5 BY MR. LO: 6 Okay. So you don't know one way or the other, right? 7 But I mean generally when people are making purchase from 8 Fortnite, they're contacting us about those things. 9 Okay. So you can't imagine a single person who, upon tapping on that link, doesn't realize that the refunds will be 10 handled be Epic Games; that's your testimony, right? 11 12 I mean it's possible. Α. 13 So you -- for those people that you concede are Okay. 14 possible, do you think it's a good idea to at least let them 15 know that, hey, your refund is going to be processed by Epic 16 Games just so they have that information and can make an 17 informed decision? For those individuals, would you agree 18 with that? 19 Why don't you show a pop-up like that for Uber? 20 Sir, focus on my question. Do you agree that is a good 21 idea or you disagree? You think it's a bad idea to let people 22 know that when they tap on it, your refund is going to be 23 canceled by -- is going to be processed by Epic? Good idea or bad idea or no view whatsoever? 24

I like giving people the ability to make an informed

```
1
       decision, also know that our website likely clearly has
 2
      options for refunds and things like that.
 3
          Okay. So you -- you -- you like giving people the
 4
       information so they can make an informed decision, correct?
 5
           I think clear communications are good.
      Α.
          Okay. And, again, I know you'll quibble with the language
 6
 7
      here, but if the language were neutral and you're just giving
 8
      users information, you would agree -- an information that they
 9
       already know, you would agree that they're not going to just
10
      cancel out because for the sake of canceling out, right?
       you're giving them neutral information that they already know,
11
12
       they will then just say I already know this, continue.
13
      Correct?
14
           (Reviewing document.)
15
           I still feel like the way --
16
      Q.
          Correct?
17
          -- that all of this is presented is going to turn people
18
      away.
19
          I'm not asking about this specific language. I'm taking
20
       your view that there is a neutral way to express these
21
      concepts to the user.
22
           In that situation, if you expressed it in a neutral way
23
       and you tell the users something they already know, can we
       agree that they're -- that a -- that they're smart and they'll
24
```

just click "Continue" because they're just seeing something

```
1
       they already know; would you agree with that?
 2
           That's not my experience with people going through the
      Α.
 3
       Android download flow scare screens.
 4
          So you think -- follow my question.
 5
           Assume we have neutral language that you believe is
       neutral that gives information to consumers. In that
 6
 7
       situation, even if you are giving them information they
 8
       already know, you believe that they are capable of making a
       smart decision which is I already know this, I'm just going to
 9
       click "Continue," don't you?
10
          It's possible, but I still just disagree with the
11
12
       existence of this scare screen overall in this kind of
13
       context.
14
          Okay. It's possible.
15
       Α.
          Yes.
16
       Q.
          All right.
17
           Let's go to Epic's opening papers, and we'll put it up on
18
       the screen, but if you want to look at it on paper, let's turn
19
       to tab 13.
20
                         (Demonstrative published.)
21
       BY MR. LO:
22
          And it's going to be pages 8 to 9. And it's the section
       "URL Restrictions."
23
           First, have you ever read Epic's papers in connection with
24
```

these proceedings?

SHOBIN - CROSS / LO I have not. 1 Α. 2 Why don't you go ahead and read this to yourself. Q. 3 I'm going to ask you a specific question. I'll represent to 4 you this is what Epic submitted to the Court. 5 Α. (Reviewing document.) 6 THE COURT: What page and line are you at? 7 MR. LO: Pages 8 to 9 and --8 THE COURT: I see it. 9 MR. LO: Line 23. 10 This is supposed to be the "URL THE WITNESS: Restrictions" section; is that correct? 11 12 BY MR. LO: 13 Yes, it's about those restrictions, yes. 14 (Reviewing document.) Α. 15 And specifically just give you the context, the -- this is 16 Epic's submission regarding the restriction as to whether you can include parameters in the URL. Just to give you a little 17 18 bit of context. 19 (Reviewing document.) 20 Okay. 21 All right. And so again, you understand having read this 22 now that this is in part about the inability for a developer 23 to use a -- parameters with their URL, correct? 24 Α. Yes.

And let's start with line 25. It says, "Users who

```
follow the link must navigate anew on the web page to find the purchase they want to make and may also need to sign in again to make the purchase." Do you see that statement?

A. Yes.

Q. I take it you generally agree with that statement,
```

- A. Because of these restrictions, that's what would happen.
- Q. Right. Exactly. This is -- this -- you agree that Apple's restrictions cause this to happen, meaning what's on the screen.
- A. Yes.

correct?

- Q. Okay. Now the statement says the user may also need to sign in again to make the purchase. Do you see that, sir?
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. And you agree with that, in other words, sometimes they need to sign in, sometimes they don't, correct?
 - A. I assume you need to be in a signed in state to make a purchase.
 - Q. Sure. And when you land -- when one lands on that page, there are two options. One is the user is already signed in on their browser and therefore no longer needs to sign in, correct?
 - A. I don't know if that's necessarily how it works, just being signed in on a browser, then you click this link and then it opens another page, will you be signed in there? I

don't know.

- Q. If a user is already signed in to Epic Games Store and then they click on a link within an app that takes them to the Epic Games Store, you don't know whether that browser remains signed into the Epic Games Store?
- A. So they would have been signed in on the browser already on their phone.
- Q. Sure.
- A. Then they would have clicked this link. Yeah, I don't know actually what would happen.
- Q. Oh, you don't -- you don't know whether they would remain signed in if they're using the same browser?
 - A. If it was like a different window, no, I'm not sure exactly what would happen there.
 - Q. Hmm. Okay.

Now, the sign-in issue, Epic goes on to write that this will result in a frustrating experience that users may abandon before completing a purchase or may lead users purchasing products for the wrong accounts. Do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you agree that forcing users to do a sign-in is a frustrating experience that may make users abandon the process?
- A. I know that I frequently forget my kind of log-in information, and that will alone will kind of cause me to

```
SHOBIN - CROSS / LO
 1
       abandon making a purchase.
 2
           So --
      Q.
 3
           Can you repeat the question?
      Α.
 4
                  I think you've answered it. You think it's a bad
           Sure.
 5
      design to have to have people sign in before they make a
 6
      purchase, right? It's frustrating.
 7
          Well, I think you should -- it's fine to be signed in to
 8
      make a purchase, but I know that when I'm navigating from, you
      know, in this case it would be a game you're already logged in
 9
10
      to Fortnite, you're going to make a purchase requiring a
       second log-in there, for me as a player would be kind of
11
12
      annoying.
13
          All right. Let's take a look at tab 14. And I want to
14
      talk a little bit about page 3 of tab 14. And I want to talk
15
      a little bit about how the Epic Games Store operates.
16
      A. Okay.
17
                         (Demonstrative published.)
18
      BY MR. LO:
19
          Do you recognize that page 3 of tab 14 is just the front
20
      page of the Epic Games Store?
21
      Α.
          Yes.
22
                Then let's turn to page 5. And I'll represent to
23
      you, in case you didn't know that, that this is one of the
       games that is offered on the Epic Games Store.
24
```

(Exhibit published.)

```
1
      BY MR. LO:
 2
           Do you recognize it?
 3
      Α.
          I do not.
 4
          Okay. Now I want you to make two assumptions for my
 5
       following questions. The first is that you, the user, has not
 6
      purchased this particular game. Okay? Are you with me?
 7
      Α.
          Okay.
 8
          And -- and the second is you have not logged in to the
      Epic Games Store yet. Okay. You got those two assumptions?
 9
10
      Α.
          Okay.
          Now, on the Epic Games site when we're at this particular
11
12
      Womanizer game, there are three options, buy now, add to cart,
13
      and add to wish list.
14
           Do you see that on the right-hand side of the Epic Games
15
      Store page?
16
      Α.
          I do.
17
          Okay. Can you tell the Court what happens when somebody
18
      clicks on the "buy now"?
19
          I don't know.
      Α.
20
          All right. Well, we did try that. And if we look at
21
      page 6.
22
                         (Demonstrative published.)
23
      BY MR. LO:
      Q. -- it immediately leads the user to the sign-in page.
24
```

other words, you can't engage in the buy now without signing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SHOBIN - CROSS in first on the Epic Games Store. Did you know that, sir? If you aren't already signed in, like I said before, I kind of expect that you'll need to sign in at some point to make a purchase. Well, let's go back to the original page. Okay. let's go through the other options. (Demonstrative published.) BY MR. LO: So going back to page 5. Do you know what happens when a user clicked "Add to cart" on the Epic Games Store? I do not. I -- I don't work on the Epic Games Store. Okay. It forces a sign-in exactly the same as what we You can't add to your cart unless you first sign in to the Epic Games Store. Did you know that that's how the Epic Games Store functions? I did not, but like I said, I expect that you would need to be able to sign in at some point to be able to do some kind of payment processing, and this is different than going from within Fortnite where you've already signed in and then trying to buy something. Okay. But the way that Epic Games Store provides its functionality, one cannot go and add a couple of games into

the cart before deciding whether to sign in or not. The --

before you can add the first item to the cart, they have to

```
Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 981 Filed 06/05/24 Page 182 of 215
                                                                   1085
                            SHOBIN - CROSS / LO
 1
       sign in first. Did you know that, sir?
 2
           I did not.
      Α.
 3
                What about the "add to wish list," can you guess
          Okay.
 4
       what happens when a user clicks on the "add to wish list" on
 5
       the Epic Games Store?
 6
           Does it make you sign in?
       Α.
 7
          Exactly. So every option on every product in the Epic
 8
       Games Store, the user has to sign in first before they can do
 9
       anything else. Correct?
10
           Any reason to disagree with that?
       A. From what you've just shown me, if you're not signed in on
11
12
       this computer at all and you're pressing "buy now" or "add to
13
       cart" or "add to wish list," yeah, it looks like it makes you
14
       sign in.
15
       Q. Okay. And Epic doesn't believe that forcing a user to
16
       sign in before they can add something to the cart will be a
17
       frustrating experience for users, right?
18
          I don't know what they were thinking exactly when they
19
       made this.
20
          Does Epic think that forcing a user to sign in first
21
      before they can add something to their cart is a disservice to
22
       the many developers who choose to list their products on the
```

A. Can you repeat that?

Epic Games Store?

Q. Sure.

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to say.

```
- CROSS / LO
    Does Epic believe that forcing users to sign in before
somebody can add something to cart or to add to their wish
list inhibits the ability of third-party developers to sell
their products on the Epic Games Store?
    I don't know that I could speak to what folks at Epic were
Α.
thinking when they made this specific functionality.
   Let me ask you because you're here testifying in your
professional capacity, do you believe that a design that
forces people to sign in before you can add something to cart
or add to a wish list is added friction and does a disservice
to the developers who choose to list their games on the Epic
Games Store? Do you believe that in your professional
opinion?
    I don't know if I have an opinion on that.
   No view one way or the other?
0.
   No. Like I said, I don't work on the Epic Games Store.
Α.
   Well, I'm not asking you about your work. I'm just asking
you -- you've been testifying about the user experience.
you think it's a bad user experience to force people to sign
in before they can add something to cart?
    I kind of wonder what -- what Amazon does for things like
       I don't necessarily know why they would need people to
sign in before adding things to the cart. There may be a
reason. But having not worked on it, it's really hard for me
```

- SHOBIN CROSS 1 Q. Okay. By the way, Epic does offer a number of ways for 2 users to sign in, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 So, for example, if you go to tab 12. 0. 5 (Demonstrative published.) 6 BY MR. LO: 7 We can see what those options are, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And some of those options include a Facebook sign-in and a 10 Google sign-in, correct? I don't know if this is necessarily the latest set of 11 12 options. I have seen a Facebook sign-in and a Google sign-in 13 at some point in the ecosystem. But I'm less familiar with 14 this exact screen as it appears in game today. 15 I'll represent to you that we took this screenshot within 16 the last couple of weeks. 17 Α. Okay. 18 So it's relatively contemporaneous. 19 Uh-huh. Α. 20 Okay. Are you familiar with the Google and Facebook 21 sign-in functionality whether it's on the Epic Games Store or 22 through your other professional experience? 23
 - I've used Google sign-in frequently.
- Okay. And with the Google sign-in, what a user can do is 24 0. 25 to use their Google credentials in order to sign in to their

```
Epic Games account, correct?
```

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. From what I understand, yes.
- Q. Right. So if you are in a browser where you are otherwise signed in to your Google account, and then you go to the Epic
- 5 Games Store, then you can just choose to use that same
- 6 credentials, that same set of credentials to sign in to your
- 7 Epic Games account, correct?
- A. Yes, in a browser. I suppose that this is in game as well.
- Q. Right. Meaning that you would no longer need to remember
 what your password is because your password is already part of
 your signed-in Google account, correct?
 - A. It makes it an easier experience, yes.
 - Q. Right. So, again -- well, would you agree that a number of Epic's users have Facebook accounts and have Google accounts; you understand that, right?
 - A. I don't know the exact amount, but presumably that's why those options are here.
 - Q. Right. And so for those people who are coming to the Epic Games Store website, whether through an external link or just by using the browser, they can easily take advantage of their Google sign-in or their Facebook sign-in functionality, correct?
 - A. Those options are available here.
 - Q. All right. And let's just see how Epic describes those

```
1
       options. Let's take a look at tab 15, page 2.
 2
                         (Demonstrative published.)
 3
      BY MR. LO:
 4
          This is the portion in the Epic Games Store about linking
 5
       your social accounts to your Epic Games Store. And it reads,
       "We offer support to integrate Facebook and Google logins
 6
 7
      within our Epic account system. This allows you to log into
 8
       your Epic account without requiring a separate password as
       long as you're actively logged into Google or Facebook."
 9
10
           Do you see that, sir?
11
      Α.
          Yes.
12
          Okay. And is that consistent with your understanding of
      how the Google and Facebook log-ins work?
13
14
          Yes. I usually choose those options so that I don't have
15
      to enter a separate password.
16
          Okay. And, again, that would apply whether one is
17
      navigating directly to the website from the browser or whether
18
      one is led to the browser through a link in an app, correct?
19
          I believe so.
      Α.
20
           Okay. You yourself are an iPhone user, as I understand
21
       it, correct?
22
      Α.
          Yes.
23
          And you are familiar with the Keychain tool on iPhones?
                          (Simultaneous colloquy.)
24
25
       / / /
```

BY MR. LO:

1

2

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. -- familiar with the Keychain tool on iPhones?
- 3 **A.** What is the Keychain tool?
 - Q. It's a tool to, among other things, store your passwords.
 - A. Just general password management system?
 - Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Yeah, I believe I use that.
- Q. Okay. So you understand that that is another way that you can use to store and to use your passwords when you hit a website that requires a log-in, correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes. It's similar to Google's kind of password autopopulate feature.
 - Q. Okay. Now, I want you to assume with me that an app includes an external link that takes a user to the Epic sign-in page. Are you with me so far?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. If the user then signs in, whether using the Keychain, using Google, using Facebook, at that point Epic would have the ability to show the user what they were browsing in the app, correct? Once a user logs in.
- 21 **A.** It -- the link is taking them to the sign-in page?
- 22 **Q.** Yes.
- A. I think after they signed in, they would need to figure
 out where to go in the -- I think it depends on which sign-in
 page. I don't really understand.

- Q. Well, Epic is capable of designing its website so that as soon as the user signs in, it can show that user here's what you were previously browsing in the app, correct?
 - A. Which website are you talking about?
- Q. I'm saying that Epic, with all of its many developers, have that capability to design its website to function that way. Would you agree? Or do you not know?
- A. Can you clarify?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. Sure. If a user signs in to the Epic Games Store, does Epic have the capability to then show that signed-in user here's what you've been browsing previously in your last session?
- A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- And so that would mean that Epic could also show the user what they were browsing in an app if they came from an app once they signed in, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in that situation, once they've signed in, if the payment information is stored, then the user would no longer need to enter the payment information, correct?
- A. I don't know exactly what would happen with the payment information but potentially.
 - Q. Well, Epic does store payment information, correct?
 - A. I -- actually don't know exactly what they do there.

1 Q. All right. 2 This is probably some -- something like that. Α. 3 Okay. Let's take a look at the motion again. And 4 let's -- let's tap 13 and let's go to page 17, line 3. 5 (Demonstrative published.) 6 BY MR. LO: 7 This is again just statements that Epic has made to the 8 Court. And it's talking about going to the generic page of 9 the developer's website. So I'll start with the sentence in line 4: To complete a 10 purchase, the user would need to again, A, log in to their 11 12 account even though they were already logged in to their 13 account in the app, and B, search for the product they wanted 14 to purchase. 15 Do you see that? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. And that suggests, at least the way I read it, is 18 that the user would need to do both of those things. 19 need to log in. And then after they log in, they would need 20 to search for the product that they had wanted to purchase. 21 Is that how you read that sentence, too? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And that's actually not accurate, correct? Because once a user logs in, Epic has the technology and the software 24

developers to then show them the product that they wanted to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

```
They wouldn't need to search for it again, correct?
      purchase.
          I don't know if we could actually maneuver people around a
      page like that necessarily just based off of their account if
      they're clicking a kind of generic link. So I'm not really
      sure.
          You don't know if once a user signs in, Epic can
      dynamically show to them here's what you've been browsing or
      here's what's in your cart? You don't know whether Epic has
      the capability of doing that, sir?
          I'm just trying to think about how our, like, V-Bucks
      purchase page works. Most of that is displayed on I think
      like one screen. So it would be taking someone to a part of
13
      the screen that they were looking at. It's potentially
14
      possible.
          Well, let's talk about another sophisticated developer.
      You understand you're a user of Amazon.com, correct?
      Α.
          Yes.
          And you understand that as soon as you log in to Amazon
19
      and even when you're on the Amazon.com home page, there are
      customization options on that home page that, among other
      things, show you what you've been looking at in the past,
22
      correct?
23
      Α.
          Yes.
          And among other things, it may suggest new items to you
```

based on what you've purchased in the past, correct?

- A. Yes, I've seen those.
- Q. So developers do have tools to show a user what they have been previously looking at once they sign in. That's technology that is readily available to all developers, correct?
 - A. Okay.

- Q. So, again, once a user logs in to their account, you agree that a sophisticated developer or even just a competent developer could make their website so that the user would not need to also search for the product they wanted to purchase; would you agree with that?
- A. No. I still think this would be really difficult in the context of our V-Bucks purchase page. If they were just scrolling through there, and I mean the external purchase link isn't even available on that page so they would then have to leave it, find that link somewhere else, take them out through that scare screen, log in to this other page. I don't know if we'd be able to track like just which V-Bucks pack they were even looking at on a given screen and navigate them back to that.
- Q. You don't think that Epic has the ability to track that information?
- A. I -- the way the V-Bucks tiles are laid out, like someone, if they wanted to make an external purchase, they wouldn't be clicking into it. They would just be looking at them on the

screen, and then they would have to navigate back to that other screen outside of the purchase flow with the external purchase link.

But, like, we don't track just what people are looking at on a screen. Maybe if they clicked it, we would then be able to show it to them. But it's just hard for me to imagine how all this would work in the context of the external purchase link in the way that Fortnite works today.

Q. All right. Let's take a look at Epic's own privacy statements to see what Epic says it tracks. And that's in tab 20 of your binder.

(Demonstrative published.)

BY MR. LO:

- Q. And let's take a look at section B, and it starts towards the bottom of page 2.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And it says: We collect some information automatically when you visit, access, or use the Epic services. This includes information about your game play or application usage, purchases, entitlements, and other activity in the Epic services typically associated with your account if you are logged in, into your Epic account, or use a third-party account to access the Epic services or with an identifier we have assigned to your device or profile.

Any reason to disagree that Epic actually tracks all this

SHOBIN - CROSS / LO 1 information automatically when users are using the Epic 2 services? 3 If that is saying they tracked us, then I believe it. 4 Then let's read also the first bullet point below 5 that starts with usage information because it provides a little bit of clarity on what that means. 6 7 Usage information is statistics about how you interact 8 with the Epic services, including the application you used or 9 the game you played, how long you used or played it and when, 10 game play attempts, progression and results, and it goes on. But at the -- near the bottom, it includes page views, time 11 12 spend on a page, number of clicks, and platform type. 13 Do you see that, sir? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Again, any reason to believe that is not an accurate 16 statement about the information that Epic already collects? 17 Α. No. 18 Okay. So Epic actually does collect information about 19 what the user's looking at. Whether it chooses to show that 20 information to the user after they log in is really at the 21 discretion of Epic, correct? It's not something that Apple is 22 prohibiting or forbidding, correct? 23 I suppose that's true, but in the context of the V-Bucks

page, I mean there's like three or four packs of different
V-Bucks that are on a given screen. I don't understand how we

24

- SHOBIN -- CROSS / LO 1 could actually, like, navigate someone specifically to one of 2 those packs if they had an intention to purchase one of them. 3 Well, if a user is looking at a screen with four different 4 packs, Epic has the ability to show those same four packs when 5 the user logs on to the Epic Games website, correct? Potentially, yeah. 6 Α. 7 Okay. And if a user happens to be on a specific screen 8 with a specific pack, Epic knows that and it's got the 9 capability to show that specific pack on the Epic Games 10 website after the user logs in, correct? 11 Α. Maybe. 12 Earlier on we were looking at the Epic Games Store for a 13 specific game. 14 Now if a developer wants to put a website link on the Epic 15 Games Store, Epic allows that, right? 16 I'm not as familiar with what people can do on the Epic 17 Games Store since I don't work on that. 18 Q. Okay. But you are familiar with the usage of external 19 links and what you say is friction associated with the use of 20 external links, correct? As part of your professional job? 21 Just designing some of the websites I've worked on.
 - are with respect to the links that appear on the Epic Games

Okay. Let's take a look at what the Epic Store guidelines

Store. And that's in tab 21.

22

23

24

25

(Demonstrative published.)

1 BY MR. LO: 2 Have you looked at the content guidelines for the Epic 3 Games Store before? 4 No. I'm not familiar with them. Α. 5 Okay. But you are familiar with interpreting rules for 6 links because you --7 THE COURT: Stop. 8 MS. MOSKOWITZ: I've tried to wait it out, but the 9 Epic Games Store, the foundation, the witness doesn't know 10 this stuff. And if they wanted an Epic Games Store witness, 11 they could have put it on their list, but they didn't. 12 just not capable of speaking to these issues. 13 THE COURT: Response. And how is it within the 14 scope? 15 MR. LO: Well, first, Your Honor, on the scope, both 16 sides agreed that we would call the witnesses only once so we 17 would not be on cross, be just limited to the scope on the 18 direct examination. 19 **THE COURT:** All right. So what about foundation? 20 MR. LO: It's fine if he wants to say he doesn't know 21 it, but I think that goes --22 THE COURT: You keep going on when he says he doesn't 23 know it. MR. LO: Well, but, Your Honor, he is testifying as 24

25

to what causes friction. And I think it's fair game to ask

```
1
      him about his own property or the -- the company's own
 2
      property. And at the minimum, if he knows, purports to know
 3
       everything about how Apple's purported friction works and has
 4
      no understanding of what goes on in their own product
 5
      pipeline, I think at a minimum it undermines his credibility.
      And more importantly I think it establishes that Apple may
 6
 7
      have a good faith basis for some of the rules that it puts in
 8
      place.
 9
                THE COURT: How does he have any -- his testimony
       impact at all Apple's good faith when Apple is not even --
10
      he's not testifying on behalf of Apple?
11
12
                MR. LO: Because the --
13
                THE COURT: Are you going to put someone else on that
14
       said that they specifically looked at these regulations from
15
       Epic's store in deciding? When I get all these documents, am
       I going to show -- am I going to see information about Epic's
16
17
      processes as the foundation for the good faith of Apple?
18
                MR. LO: No, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Well, then it's not relevant to their
20
       good faith, is it?
21
                MR. LO: It is in this way, Your Honor, if I may.
                                                                    Ιf
22
      Epic -- if I can show that Epic --
23
                THE COURT: I'm asking you, the issue of good faith
      relates to Apple's conduct. If they never looked at this
24
```

stuff, then it's not relevant.

It -- it is insofar as what the industry is 1 MR. LO: 2 In other words -doing. 3 THE COURT: Are you going to have an industry expert 4 come in? 5 MR. LO: He purports to be somebody who is knowledgeable about how links work, about what the security 6 7 implications are of links, about what friction is. This is a 8 witness that purports to come in here and say that. 9 And so if Epic is imposing the very same limitations that 10 they say have no basis in Apple's own rules, then we believe that that is relevant to that issue. 11 12 And I can -- I can lay the foundation, Your Honor. They 13 have rules about how many times a developer can put in a link. 14 They have rules about where that link can go. They have rules 15 about what can show up at that link. 16 And I can go into more detail, but I'm worried that the witness is in the presence of the courtroom. But they have in 17 18 their own store the very restrictions that they are 19 challenging Apple on and saying that Apple has no real basis 20 to put those restrictions in place. 21 Response. THE COURT: 22 MS. MOSKOWITZ: First, counsel completely 23 mischaracterizes the entire testimony that this witness has given. He has given his testimony as a developer, not as a 24

store manager. He has repeatedly said he doesn't understand

```
or have knowledge about the operation of the store, which is a
 1
 2
      completely other aspect of Epic's business. Epic of course is
 3
       a large company and wears a lot of hats.
 4
          Mr. Shobin is here wearing his personal knowledge hat as a
 5
       developer and user interface experiences as a developer, and
       speaking to Apple's requirements and the impact on users of an
 6
 7
       app, not anything about what Mr. Lo is trying to achieve with
 8
      him.
 9
                THE COURT: Well, I disagree that it's that limited.
10
           But you really have to work on this exam. You're driving
11
      me crazy. I need you to be short without these incredibly
12
       long questions where every time you ask a question, he asks
       you to repeat it three times.
13
14
           So move along.
15
                MR. LO: I understand, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: And we will be done with this witness
16
17
      today. So get to it.
18
      BY MR. LO:
19
      Q. Turning to tab 21, Mr. Shobin.
20
                         (Demonstrative published.)
21
      BY MR. LO:
22
          And going to the section that starts Page 4, linked-to
23
       content.
24
                THE COURT: Have you ever seen this document?
25
                THE WITNESS:
                              I have not.
```

BY MR. LO:

- Q. Are you aware, Mr. Shobin, that Epic puts restrictions on where a developer may put in links on the Epic Games Store?
 - A. I'm not aware of that.
 - Q. Okay. And you are not aware that Epic requires that any links on the Epic Games Stores, the contents of the external website to be consistent with what is in the Epic Games Store; are you aware of that?
 - A. No, I'm not aware of any of the details around links allowed in the Epic Games Store.
 - Q. Can you think of any reason why it would be a good practice for Epic Games to require that the external website be consistent with the description that a developer places on the website on the Epic Games Store itself?
 - A. I think I don't understand what that means exactly.
 - Q. Okay. Are you aware that when a developer on the Epic Games Store wants to include a link, that the -- where that link goes has to meet Epic Games' content restrictions?
 - A. I wasn't aware of that.
 - Q. Okay. Can you think of any good reason why a store such as Epic Games would want to control what content appears on a third party's website?
- A. I don't really know under what context this would be used exactly.
 - Q. Did you know that the Epic Games Store allows its

```
1
       developers to only provide one link on a single page in a
 2
      single location to an external website?
 3
           I -- I don't know exactly what people would be linking to.
 4
           Okay. Now, you are a player of Fortnite, correct?
      Ο.
 5
      Α.
          Yes.
 6
           Okay. And so you are familiar with what's called the
 7
      Creator Economy?
 8
           Loosely, yes.
      Α.
 9
          Okay. And what is the Creator Economy?
10
          I don't know that I'm familiar enough to explain that.
      Α.
11
          What -- well, you are aware that Epic allows third-party
12
       content creators to create rooms and maps for Fortnite,
13
      correct?
14
           Okay. Yes, through UEFN and creative violence.
15
          Okay. Right. So you have played games with those
16
      third-party content in those games, correct?
17
          In rare occasions. I mostly play LEGO Fortnite
18
      personally.
19
          Okay. And are you aware whether those content providers
20
       can provide any external links in their pages?
21
           I don't know what rules are associated with that.
22
          All right. Well, let's put a little bit of context first.
23
      Let's take a look at tab 33.
                         (Demonstrative published.)
24
25
       / / /
```

SHOBIN - CROSS / LO 1 BY MR. LO: 2 Do you recognize this as an example of something that a 3 third party might create that people can use within the Epic 4 Fortnite games, just a room that a third party might create? 5 A. A room? No, I don't really recognize this. I usually 6 play LEGO Fortnite myself. 7 Q. Okay. So and are you familiar with the -- let's take a 8 look at tab 26. 9 (Demonstrative published.) 10 BY MR. LO: Have you ever seen -- first of all, you understand what an 11 12 island creator is, right?

13 **A.** Yes.

14

15

19

20

23

24

- **Q.** Okay.
- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. And you understand that this is the set of rules that

 Fortnite puts in for island creators who want to create

 content for the Fortnite game?
 - A. It says Fortnite island creator rules.
 - Q. Okay. Let's take a look at 4.3.5.
- 21 (Demonstrative published.)
- 22 **BY MR. LO:**
 - Q. This is a section about what a creator of an island can and cannot do. And I want to focus your attention on examples of things that aren't allowed in your island's metadata.

```
SHOBIN - CROSS / LO
 1
           Do you see that, sir?
 2
          Yes.
      Α.
 3
          Okay. And you see that Fortnite forbids a message in any
 4
      kind of island that says go to onlinestore.com to learn more.
 5
      Correct?
 6
           That's what it says.
      Α.
 7
          Okay. Any reason to -- any reason to believe that that is
 8
      not an actual rule that Fortnite puts in place?
 9
           It appears to be a rule.
      Α.
10
                And another example of something that somebody
           Okay.
       cannot do is to say that it's available now for 19.99,
11
12
       correct? So they can't include pricing, correct?
13
          As far as I know, people can't actually sell bespoke items
14
       in their Fortnite islands to begin with. And so I can't -- I
15
       don't really understand what the use case would be for saying
16
      that something is available for a certain price. I don't
17
      think that they can sell things --
18
          Right.
      Q.
19
          -- for use in Fortnite islands.
20
          Nor can, under 4.3.5.A, the island include any calls to
21
       action outside Fortnite, correct? That's another rule that
22
      Epic imposes on third-party creators who want to create
23
      content for the Fortnite ecosystem, right?
```

25

If players can't even sell different items that they've

made in their Fortnite islands, I don't know even know what

```
1
       the use case would be for other calls to action outside of
 2
      Fortnite, unless they are entirely unrelated from Fortnite.
 3
         Okay. And -- and the reason Fortnite -- Fortnite doesn't
 4
       allow users to steer players outside of the ecosystem is
 5
      because it doesn't want those players to be scammed once they
       leave the Fortnite ecosystem, right?
 6
 7
          The -- I guess like the creator economy, I think is what
 8
       you called it. This is all managed by a different team within
 9
      Epic. I work on our first-party experiences like Battle
10
      Royale, LEGO Fortnite, and Rocket Racing and Festival.
11
       I don't really know what -- what would cause this requirement
12
      to have come about. Presumably someone was thoughtful about
13
       it.
14
          All right. And let's go to something that you probably do
15
       know about, which is you previously worked on iOS app
      marketing, correct?
16
17
      Α.
          Yes.
18
          And you are aware that many children play Epic Games,
19
      right?
20
           I don't know the exact, I quess, data on our users.
21
          Okay. But you understand that children play Fortnite, no?
      Q.
22
           I think we have age-gating processes set up in the game
23
      to -- to prevent people that are underage from playing.
24
          Okay. And you are aware that in the iOS ecosystem,
```

there's something called ask to buy?

- A. I don't know what that means.
- 2 Q. You don't know that Apple has a system where a child
- 3 cannot buy a product within an app unless the parent approves
- 4 | it?

- 5 **A.** Is that Apple's parental controls?
- 6 **Q.** It is, yes.
- 7 A. Okay. I'm not particularly familiar with it.
- Q. Okay. But you are familiar that there are parental
- 9 controls in the iOS ecosystem, right?
- 10 A. I've heard that, yes.
- 11 **Q.** And if a child plays the game and the parental controls
- 12 are on, you understand that those parental controls can
- 13 prevent the child from making an unauthorized purchase,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. Well, that's what you're telling me now.
- 16 Q. You didn't understand that independently?
- 17 | A. I didn't do a lot of research on Apple's parental
- 18 controls.
- 19 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of Epic's parental
- 20 controls?
- 21 **A.** Yes. I made a video promoting to parents how to use them.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. Because for a very long time, Epic did not have any
- 23 parent controls, correct?
- 24 A. I'm not sure when Epic actually added parental controls.
- 25 \parallel Q. Okay. Well, you are aware that Epic was sued by the FTC

```
1
       last year?
 2
                MS. MOSKOWITZ: Objection, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Sustained.
 4
      BY MR. LO:
 5
           Sir, would you agree that one difference when a user exits
      the native app is that parental controls might not be
 6
 7
      available unless the developer has enabled it?
 8
           Can you -- can you clarify?
      Α.
 9
          Let me -- let me rephrase the question.
10
           You agree that not all developers have instituted parental
11
      controls in their games, correct?
12
           I don't know what all developers have done.
13
          Well, you know that Epic itself didn't institute parental
14
      controls for a very long time, correct?
15
          I don't know when Epic added parental controls.
16
                THE COURT: That wasn't the question. Answer the
17
       question. When you did -- you said you did a marketing thing
18
       for parental controls.
19
                THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20
                THE COURT: Were there -- did you not know that at
21
       some point they didn't have parental controls?
22
                THE WITNESS: I -- I wasn't really sure what was in
23
       it before then. People asked me to make a video promoting
24
      them so that parents could learn how to use them.
25
                THE COURT: And as you sit here today, you really
```

don't think that all game developers have or have not had parental controls? Do they or do they not? Just answer the question.

THE WITNESS: That maybe some don't, maybe some do.

BY MR. LO:

- Q. Okay. And for the -- for the games that don't have parental controls, would you agree that some parents might see the disclosure sheet, what you call the scare screen, and decide to stop their child from clicking out to the external link because some parents may have a concern that if they click out, the child is going to be able to make a purchase?
- A. I -- I don't understand how if the child clicks that link, the parent will see that screen.
- Q. Children often play their iPads or their iPhones in the presence of their parents, correct?
- A. Maybe. I -- I don't have kids myself.
- Q. Fair enough.

And would you -- would you at least agree that in some cases, if the child is in the game, taps on something that takes them out of the game, and what you call the scare screen, the disclosure screen, comes up, some parents might take notice and say what's going on, they're no longer shooting at somebody, farming something, there's this big white screen, that some parents might see that and pay attention to see what's happening; do you agree with that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1110 SHOBIN - CROSS / LO Α. Maybe. I don't know if the normal, like, behavior is that the parent is watching the child play, honestly. So it's hard for me to say. Maybe -- maybe they would notice it. Okay. And in that situation, at least some parents might notice that and stop their kids from going to a site that doesn't have parental controls, correct? I don't think the screen actually said anything about parental controls on it, though. The screen says you're going to an external website. Some parents might see that and decide not to let their kids go to an external website, stay in the game, correct? THE COURT: Okay. Move on. MR. LO: All right. Sir, if a child ends up from a game to an external website, one way to prevent the child from making a purchase
 - is if the child doesn't have the parent's log-in credential, right? If the child doesn't have the credentials, they can't make a purchase; would you agree with that?
 - If the child can't log in and you need to be logged in to make a purchase, I can understand how they wouldn't be able to make a purchase then.
 - Right. But if the game developer is able to pass the log-in information in the URL, then every time the child clicks on a link, the child then becomes automatically logged in to the third-party website, correct? That's what Epic

```
1
       wants to do.
 2
                THE COURT: Mr. Lo, they can make the purchase while
 3
      they're there. I don't see how that helps you.
                                                        They're in
 4
      the game. That means they can make the purchase.
 5
                MR. LO: Your Honor, they cannot make the purchase in
      the game if the parental controls are on.
 6
                THE COURT: All right. Fine. Next question.
 7
 8
      BY MR. LO:
 9
          Sir, outside of the external links, you would agree that
10
      Epic uses emails as a way to extend offers to customers,
11
      correct?
12
          We do use emails to communicate with players.
13
          Okay. And in fact, those emails are of great value to
14
      Epic in terms of communicating with -- communicating offers
15
       and purchases, purchasing options to players, correct?
          It depends. Emails in general have a low kind of open
16
17
       rate and click through rate. It has -- it has some value.
18
          Okay. Well, in -- while you were doing the marketing for
19
       Fortnite, were you aware that Epic had a program where they
20
      paid users $10 if they subscribe to emails?
21
          I wasn't aware of that.
22
          So let's take a look at tab 17 and see if that refreshes
23
      your recollection.
                         (Demonstrative published.)
24
25
       / / /
```

```
1
      BY MR. LO:
 2
           In the 2022, January 2022 time frame, were you working on
 3
      Epic Games promotions?
 4
          This is an Epic Games Store promotion, and I don't work on
 5
      that team.
 6
          Okay. So you're not aware that the Epic Games Store
 7
      offered $10 to folks if they subscribed by email?
          I didn't know this, but seeing it now, I wish I signed up.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: You what?
                THE WITNESS: I wish I signed up and got these $10
10
11
      coupons. It sounds like a good deal.
12
                THE COURT: It didn't refresh.
13
          Next question.
14
                MR. LO: Your Honor, may I take 30 seconds to
15
       consult? I may be done.
16
                THE COURT: You may.
17
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
18
                MR. LO: Your Honor, we'll pass the witness.
19
                THE COURT: Redirect, limited to the scope of the
20
      last exam.
21
                MS. MOSKOWITZ: May I proceed?
22
                THE COURT: You may.
23
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
                MS. MOSKOWITZ:
24
25
```

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. MOSKOWITZ:

Q. I'm going to try to keep this brief and jump around.

You were asked sort of sentence by sentence on that scare screen about whether one sentence in isolation would cause a user to cancel. Do you remember those questions?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And to your knowledge, is that -- any of that information, even if correct, popped up for physical goods like Uber or other types of purchases on Amazon, for example?
- A. No. When I order an Uber or a Lyft, I don't see anything like that.
 - Q. And if you go to link out to Amazon.com, their website, do you get a pop-up that says any of that?
 - A. I do not.
 - Q. And can you say that even a completely correct pop-up screen would not cause even a single user to drop out? In your experience, let me -- withdrawn.

In your experience with users seeing screens, is the fact that the screen is shown at all, separate and apart from what language may be in there, a cause of friction and a cause of user dropoff?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So even if the language on that screen was a hundred percent accurate and neutral and -- and not bold, is there

possibility of user dropoff?

- A. Yes. I myself would probably just cancel out of it.
- Q. You were also asked a few questions about adding to cart and adding to wish list and -- and being signed in before you could do that. Do you remember those questions?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you view signing in before adding something to the cart as the same thing as being logged in within an app, going to buy something, being sent somewhere else and being asked to log in again, navigating back to the same thing you were already looking at, then adding it to the cart and then buying it, are those the same?
- A. No. I don't believe so. And I don't think there's even a cart in Fortnite where you would be doing that kind of thing.
- Q. So in your view, do the questions that you were asked about how this store -- Epic Games Store allows people to add games to the cart analogous to the restrictions that Apple's imposing on the external link entitlement?
- A. It seemed like a totally different scenario.
- Q. You were also asked a few questions about the -- the restrictions on what these links can do. And you were being asked questions that implied that they were being protective of users. Do you remember those questions?
- **A.** Yes.
- **Q.** Does Apple require those same restrictions on links in

1 apps for any other type of link other than when someone is 2 going to make a purchase? 3 I don't know all of the requirements that Apple has for 4 links that appear in apps. 5 Are any -- to your knowledge, do those requirements appear for any type of link within an app other than a purchase link? 6 7 I think there are more requirements around the purchase 8 links than I've seen for -- for anything else. 9 You were asked a few questions about how creators may work 10 within Fortnite. Do you remember those questions? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And I think you testified that you're not completely 13 familiar with how all that of that works; is that fair? 14 Yes. We have a separate team that kind of works on these 15 third-party experiences, whereas I'm on the first-party games 16 team. 17 So it's possible you don't know the answers to these questions, but let me just try a few. 18 19 I think you mentioned that your understanding is that 20 creators who create content within Fortnite are not able to 21 sell or create anything for sale; is that your understanding? 22 Yes. From what I understand, people just purchase the 23 same kind of cosmetics in our Item Shop that they can in our

first-party experiences and then they can use those in

third-party-made experiences. There isn't a scenario where

24

```
1
       someone would have like a website or an out-of-game place
 2
      where you'd be going to make a purchase and then use those
 3
       items back in game. I don't think it works that way.
 4
          So in your view, is creators being able to create
 5
       experiences within Fortnite but not sell anything the same, in
       your view, or comparable to a developer creating its own app
 6
 7
       that happens to be distributed through a store?
 8
          It seemed quite different because the external purchase
 9
       link, you were going to a page to buy something that then you
10
       can use directly in the game itself. Whereas like I don't
11
       even know what creators would be driving to out of the game.
12
       It would be something that's entirely unrelated from the game
13
      play experience itself. Maybe they want to sell like T-shirts
14
      or something for real life usage.
15
          And briefly on parent controls, I just want to see if I
16
       understand this correctly. You were asked a few questions
17
       about Apple's parental controls and if a person was within the
18
       game and the parental controls were enabled, that they would
19
      be stopped from being able to make an in-app purchase.
20
       that your understanding of what those questions were about?
21
      Α.
          Yes.
22
          And of course that implies they needed to be stopped
23
      because the purchase information was already stored within the
       IAP system; is that your understanding?
24
```

Α.

Yes.

```
1
      Q.
           And so if a user follows a link externally to Epic's
 2
      website, hypothetically, would they have any payment
 3
       information stored unless their parents already allowed them
      to or they themselves already input the information?
 4
 5
          They wouldn't have any payment info stored if they hadn't
      Α.
 6
      entered it already.
 7
         Okay. So could this hypothetical individual linking out
 8
      make any purchases on that external purchase link without
 9
       someone having provided them with their credit card
10
       information or PayPal credentials?
          No. You would need the payment information to be able to
11
12
      do that.
13
                MS. MOSKOWITZ: No further questions, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Recross limited to the scope of those
15
       four topics.
16
                MR. LO: Nothing further for the witness, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: All right, sir, you're excused.
18
                THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. I will -- I'd like you to pick up
20
       these binders and take them back, and to give you the ones
21
       that you gave me today. I may return others the next time
22
       you're here.
23
           We'll next meet Tuesday at 4:00 p.m. Pacific on Zoom.
           Okay. Safe travels. We're adjourned.
24
25
                THE CLERK: Court is adjourned.
```

1	(Proceedings were concluded at 4:17 P.M.)
2	000
3	
4	
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
6	
7	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
8	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
9	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to,
10	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
11	hearing was taken, and further that I am not financially nor
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
13	\mathcal{D}_{α} \mathcal{M}_{α} \mathcal{M}_{α}
14	Rayne H. Mercedo
15	Raynee H. Mercado, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR
16	Friday, May 31, 2024
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	II